

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: c

Date: 14/09/2025

Title: MULTIDISCIPLINARY CARE FOR RARE CONDITIONS:DENTAL MANAGEMENT OF MUCOPOLYSACCHARIDOSIS TYPE-VI ;A CASE REPORT.

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision ...**YES**.....

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality	YES			
Techn. Quality		YES		
Clarity		YES		
Significance	YES			

Reviewer Name: Emmanuel KUBANA

Date: 14/09/2025

Reviewer's Comment

The manuscript presents a rare and clinically significant case of Mucopolysaccharidosis type VI (MPS VI) with detailed oral and systemic manifestations. The topic is relevant for pediatric dentistry and rare disease management, and the emphasis on multidisciplinary care is appropriate. The paper is generally well written, but several areas require clarification, deeper discussion, and improved structure to enhance scientific value and readability.

Detailed Reviewer's Report

2. Strengths

- Addresses a rare clinical condition, contributing to the limited literature on MPS VI dental management.
- Case is thoroughly described, including systemic, neurological, and oral manifestations.
- Use of figures (clinical photographs) enhances clarity.
- Highlights the importance of multidisciplinary care, which is critical in MPS cases.

3. Major Concerns

1. Novelty and Significance:

- The case is valuable, but the discussion could be strengthened by comparing findings with recent international literature (e.g., Nourbakhsh et al., 2024; D'Avanzo et al., 2021).
- The manuscript should explicitly state what this case adds beyond existing reports.

2. Methodological Details:

- The description of dental treatment (e.g., use of GIC, atraumatic extraction, CPP-ACP) should include rationale and expected outcomes.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- Radiographic limitations are mentioned but not adequately discussed in terms of diagnostic impact.
- 3. **Discussion Section:**
 - The discussion is somewhat descriptive; it should better integrate the clinical findings with published literature.
 - For example, link oral manifestations such as macroglossia and anterior open bite with pathophysiological mechanisms and management challenges.
- 4. **Ethical and Consent Issues:**
 - Consent from parents/guardians is mentioned but should be clearly stated as *written informed consent for publication*.
- 5. **References:**
 - Some references are outdated or duplicated (e.g., Hampe et al., 2020 appears twice).
 - Ensure uniform formatting according to journal guidelines.

4. Minor Concerns

- Language requires minor grammatical editing for clarity (e.g., “diffficulty” → “difficulty”).
- Figures should have clear legends and be cited in the text sequentially.
- Abstract should specify the *main finding and contribution* rather than restating background.

5. Recommendation

Major Revision – The manuscript has potential for publication but requires stronger discussion, updated references, and minor corrections to enhance clarity and scholarly impact.