# ASSESSING POLLUTION FROM TRACE METALS ELEMENTS AND ANALYSING ECOLOGICAL RISKS IN THE TAILINGS FACILITY AND SURROUNDING SOILS AT THE SABODALA MINE

by Jana Publication & Research

Submission date: 15-Sep-2025 01:37PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 2690343513 **File name:** IJAR-53820.pdf (2.18M)

Word count: 6414 Character count: 29763

#### ASSESSING POLLUTION FROM TRACE METALS ELEMENTS AND ANALYSING ECOLOGICAL RISKS IN THE TAILINGS FACILITY AND SURROUNDING SOILS AT THE SABODALA MINE

#### Manuscript Info

Manuscript History Received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx Final Accepted: xxxxxxxxxxxx Published: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

#### Kev words:-

Mine tailings, contamination indices, trace metals elements and ecological risk.

Contamination and pollution of soil by trace metal elements (TM) pose serious pose environmental and health problems because they are not biodegradable. Trace metals are naturally present in soils in

varying concentrations. However, these concentrations increase with mining activities, forming stocks of pollutants that are potentially toxic to the environment. This study seeks to quantify the TM content in the tailing 10 cility and surrounding soils at the Sabodala mine. A total of seven samples of mine tailings and soil were taken from the tailings pond (S1 to S7) and eight from the soil surrounding the 122d (S8 to S14). Geochemical characterization was performed using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES). The results obtained show that the average concentration values are very disparate and vary depending on the metal and the samplir site. The average concentrations of As (378.30 15/kg), Sb (96.92 mg/kg), Ni (119.59 mg/kg) and Cd (4.31 mg/kg) in the mining tailings are sign 49 cantly higher than those in the surrounding soils (10.16; 5.82, 22.99 and 0.50 mg/kg for As, Sb, Ni and Cd, respectively). Based on contamination indices (IP and I<sub>geo</sub>), mining residues are moderately to heavily contaminated with arsenic, antimony, cadmium, and nickel, unlike the surrounding soils. The distribution maps for As and Sb reveal local and irregular pollution in the tailings facility. The ecological risk potential of arsenic (293.3) in mining residues is high, unlike that of antimony (34.92), cadmium (38.4), and nickel (39.75). In the soil surrounding the tailings facility, the ecological risks posed by trace metals are low. The average ecological risk index for trace metals in mining residues is considerable (406.37) and low for surrounding soils (21.65). Arsenic is the main contributor to this index (72.17% for mine tailings and 36.02% for 19 s). While these results provide valuable information on the quality of the mine tailings and surrounding soils in the tailings facility, the contamination status must be assessed periodically. Knowledge of the spatial distribution of pollution must serve as a basis for future tailings pond rehabilitation projects.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2025. All rights reserved.

#### Introduction:-

The footprint of mining is deeply ingrained in human existence. However, this need for development 16 ries with it a risk: environmental pollution[1, 2, 3]. Trace metals such as arsenic, cadmium, antimony, nickel, etc. are among the toxic elements released into the soil, water, and air during mining and mineral processing. Such heavy metals can contaminate soil 45 groundwater, which will later have an impact on human health [4, 5, 6]. Several studies have shown that these heavy metals can be transferred from the soil into the food chain via plant 44 s [7, 8, 9]. Several studies have examined TMs pollution at min 10 sites and their surroundings, as well as the impact of mining activities on the environment [10, 11, 12, 13]. These studies have shown that pollution from TMs can irreversibly affect the ecosystem if suitable protective measures are not taken quickly. Due to erosion in contaminated areas, TMs can be dispersed into river systems or in the form of aerosols, several kilometres away from their sites of origin. This widespread 34 amination makes the phenomenon even more devastating and difficult to control [14, 15, 16]. This study aims to assess the level of pollution in mining waste and the soil surrounding the tailings pond caused by trace metals and their ecological risks. This approach will enable us to estimate, from a qualitative and

3 4

13 14

quantitative perspective, the degree of contamination of the surrounding soil by TMs and to consider appropriate 17 prevention and risk management measures.

#### 18 MATERIAL AND METHODS

19

20 21

22 23 24

26

27

29

33

#### Geographical location and description of the Sabodala tailings facility

Sabodala is located in southeastern Senegal, approximately 700 kilometres from Dakar, within the Mako greenstone belt, which forms the western part of the Birrimian gold province. The area studied is in the town of Sabodala, which is part of the Sabodala district, the Saraya department, and the Kedougou region. The study area comprises the first tailings storage facility of the Sabodala gold mine, co 2 ing approximately 380 hectares, and the surrounding land (Figure 1). Following the latest raising of the dike, the maximum storage capacity is 48.8 million m<sup>3</sup>, of which 35 million m<sup>3</sup> was already occupied by waste in February 2024 [17].




Figure 1: Geographical location and location of sampling stations. 28

#### Preparation of soil samples

30 The soil samples collected in the fi42 were first dried in a room at room temperature. The samples were then sieved. 31

Chemical analysis was performed on the fine fraction (particles Ø< 63 µm) of the sediments, to which trace metals

preferentially bind [18]. 32

#### Determining the pH

34 Ten (10) grams of the fine fraction (particles Ø<63 μm) of each sample, taken separately, were placed in a 100 ml 35

beaker. Next, 25 ml of distilled water was added to the beaker containing the fine fraction, and the mixture was

36 37 stirred for one (1) hour. The pH was then measured by immersing the Hanna pH meter probe into the supernatant.

Finally, the reading was taken after the digital display of the pH meter had stabilised.

#### Determining the electrical conductivity (EC) of soils and mine tailings 38

The principle consists of shaking 10 g of soil in 50 ml of distilled water for two (2) hours (1/5 aqueous extract). After filtration, electrical conductivity (EC) is measured using a *Hanna*-type multi-parameter meter. Assessing soil 39

- midity and salinity is essential to understanding how these factors affect plant growth. Table 1 shows the assessment
- 42 of soil acidity and salinity according to pH[18] and electrical conductivity [19].

#### 43 Table 1: Assessment of soil acidity and salinity based on pH and electrical conductivity[18, 29]

Ph	Appreciation of soil pH	EC (mS/cm	Appreciation of the of soil salinity
< 3.5	Hyper acid	EC < 0.6	Unsalted
3.5 < pH < 4.2	Very acid	0.6 <ec 1.2<="" <="" th=""><th>little salty</th></ec>	little salty
4.2 < pH < 5	Acid	1.2 <ec 2.4<="" <="" th=""><th>Salty</th></ec>	Salty
5 < pH < 6.5	Low Acid	2.4 <ec 6<="" <="" th=""><th>very salty</th></ec>	very salty
6.5 < pH < 7.5	Neutral	EC > 6	extremely salty
7.5 < pH < 8.7	Basic		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
> 8.7	very basic		

#### traction of metals from soil matrices

The extraction and determination of total TMs in soils were carried out using a mixture of hydrofluoric, perchloric, and nitric acids in accordance with standards NF X 1147 and NF ISO 14869-1. The principle consists of digesting 45 47 1.2 g of particles with a diameter of less than 63 µm in 2 ml of nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) ≥ 65%, 10 ml of hydrofluoric acid (HF) at 40% and 2 ml of perchloric acid (HC1O<sub>4</sub>) at 60% in a 100 ml conical glass flask on a hot plate at 100°C for 49 2 hours. The hot solution was cooled and filtered through filter paper. The resulting solution was diluted with 50 ml 50 of distilled twater and stored in QuEChERS (Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged, and Safe) tubes. After extraction, trace metals are analysed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). 51

#### Calculation of trace metal content in soil 52

The calculation of ETM levels is based on the following formula: T (ppm) =  $C \times V/S$  where:

- T = Concentration of the element in mg/kg.
  - C = Concentration of the element in mg/L as determined by ICP-OES.
- 55 56 S = Weight of soil sample in g (1.2 g).
- 57 V = Final extraction volume in mL (50 mL).
- 58 For water samples, the ICP-OES-determined levels are the concentrations of TMs in the water.

#### Pollution index

44

53 54

59

60

61 63

64

66

67

68 69

70

The pollution index is the average of the ratios of trace metal concentrations in soil samples to threshold limits. We took four trace metals (As, Sb, Ni, and Cd) and the limits of FNOR NF U44-041[20]. This index provides an overall indication of the pollution level of each sample. If the pollution index is less than one, the environment is not polluted; otherwise, the environment is polluted. It is calculated using the following formula:

#### PI = ([As]/20 + [Sb]/2 + [Ni]/20 + [Cd]/2)/4

Geo-accumulation index (Igeo) 65

> The geo-accumulation index is 4 oposed by [21] in [22] to determine sediment contamination by metals. This index, which is empirical in nature, compares a given concentration with a value considered to be the geochemical background. Thus, the coefficient 1.5 (correction factor) accounts for variations in background levels that may be caused by lithological effects. The Igeo is calculated using the following formula:

$$I_{geo} = \log_2(\frac{Cn}{1,5Bn})$$

- Cn: 111 centration of metal n in soils.
- Bn: Geochemical background for metal n.
- 72 73 1.5: constant taking into account natural fluctuations in the content of a given substance in an environment as well as anthropogenic fluctuations.

- The geochemical backgrounds for the metals As, Sb, Ni, and Cd are 12.9, 16.64, 92.35, and 0.54 mg/kg,
- 76
- respectively. This data was  $\frac{23}{100}$  tained from the reference site. The  $I_{geo}$  values enable seven contamination level classes to be defined  $\frac{33}{100}$  shown in Table 2. The Geo Accumulation Index  $(I_{geo})$  provides information on the level of 77
- 78 accumulation of metallic elements in mining tailings. It is assessed using the following formula:

#### Table 2: Classes defined by the geo-accumulation index [21].

Igeo	Values ranges	Intensity of pollution
	6	
0	$(-\infty, 0)$	Uncontaminated
1	(0, 1]	Uncontaminated to light Contamination
2	(1,2]	Moderate contamination
3	(2, 3]	Moderate to high contamination
4	(3, 4]	High contamination
5	(4, 5]	High to extreme contamination
6	(5, +∞)	Extreme contamination

#### Contamination factor

80

81 82

83

85

86

99

101

102

107

The Contamination Factor (CF) is used to express the level of soil contamination by each of the different metals. It is expressed by the following relationship:

#### FC = Teneur du métal/Fond géochimique du métal

The geochemical backgrounds for the metals As, Sb, Ni, and Cd are 12.9, 16.64, 92.35, and 0.54 ppm, respectively.

The FC values 5 re interpreted below as suggested by [23, 24]:

- Low contamination
- FC < 1 : 1 ≤ FC < 3 3 ≤ FC < 6 87 : Moderate contamination 88 : Significant contamination
- FC ≥ 6 : 89 Very high contamination

#### 90 Potential ecological risk

The individual potential ecological risk factor is calculated by: 91

- $\mathbf{S}^{n} = T_{r}^{n} \times \mathbf{CF}_{n}$  where  $\mathbf{CF}_{n} = \frac{Cn}{Bn}$ CFn is the contamination factor for element n. 92
- 93
- E<sub>r</sub> is the ecological risk potential of element n.
- Tr<sup>n</sup> is th 12 xic response factor for metal or metalloid n. 95
- The Tr<sup>n</sup> toxic response factors for Cd, As, Sb, and Ni are 30, 10, 6, and 5, respectively [25, 26].

96 97 According to the criteria for assessing the ecological risk potential of an element, the following classes should be 98 considered:

- < 40 : potential ecological risk potential of the weak element.
- $26 \le \text{Er} < 80$   $26 \le \text{Er} < 160$ 100 : moderate potential ecological risk potential of the element.
  - : high ecological risk potential of the element.

 $\sqrt{160 \le 33 \le 320}$  : very high ecological risk potential of the element. The cod 25 site ecological risk index (ERI) indicates the potential ecological risk of trace metals in soil. Proposed by

103 [23], it has been widely used to assess the potential ecological risk of trace metals in soils and has been calculated 104 105 using the following formula 3 [27, 28]:

106  $ERI = \sum En$ 

ERI =  $\sum En$ ERI is generally classified into four groups:

- ✓ IRE ≤ 150 108
- $150 \le IRE \le 300$ : moderate risk 109
- 110 300 < IRE ≤ 600 : significant risk

: high risk[29,30] 111 IRE > 600112

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**pH** 

Figure 2 shows that the mining tailings are basic (8.09 <pH< 8.16) [19](Table 1). This alkalinity is due to the presence of carbonates, silicates, and the addition of lime to neutralize acids during the treatment of mining waste[17]. The surrounding soils also have alkaline pH levels [19] ranging from 7.51 to 7.94 (S8 to S14). These values are generally lower than those of mining tailings.




Figure 2: Variation in pH of mine tailings and surrounding soils

#### Electrical Conductivity (EC)

The electrical conductivity (EC) values of mining tailings show significant spatial variations. They range from 649.4 to  $8{,}080~\mu S.cm^{-1}$  (Figure 2). Mining tailings range from salty to very salty[20]. For the surrounding soils, electrical conductivities range from 55.03 19 65.95  $\mu S.cm^{-1}$  and are similar to those of the reference station (55.06  $\mu S.cm^{-1}$ ). Despite the erodibility of the mine tailings in the tailings facility, the surrounding soils are not affected by wind. This is due to the moisture content of the mine tailings generated by the rotary discharge system with a tap lance.




Figure 3: Surface dynamics of electrical conductivity (EC) in mine tailings and surrounding soils

#### 131 Geochemistry of mine tailings and surrounding soils

#### 132 Distripution of trace metals

133 The concentrations of As, Sb, Ni, and Cd in the samples are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Concentrations of trace metals (As, Cd, Ni, and Sb) in mine tailings and surrounding soils (mg/kg)

Matrices	Stations	As	Sb	Ni	Cd
	S1	632.32	162.24	108.99	6.24
	S2	254.59	49.92	111.49	2.95
Mine Tailings	S3	687.54	208	59.9	7.07
	S4	432.64	93.6	92.76	5.82
	S5	420.16	128.96	111.07	4.58
	S6	56.16	8.32	232.12	1.16
	S7	164.74	27.45	110.24	2.37
	S8	9.56	6.24	25.38	0.49
	S9	12.06	9.15	27.45	0.5
Surrounding Soils	S10	11.23	4.58	21.22	0.46
	S11	12.48	10.4	49.5	0.62
	S12	8.74	3.33	13.72	0.5
	S13	9.98	3.74	13.72	0.54
	S14	7.07	3.33	9.98	0.45
Reference Station	Ref	12.9	16.64	92.35	0.54

The average concentrations of trace metals in mining tailings are 194.23, 51.37, 70.53, and 2.41 mg/kg (Table 3) for arsenic, antimony, nickel, and cadmium, respectively. These average values are much higher than those of the upper continental crust (UCC) [31, 32] and the target values (except for cadmium) authorized by the WHO [33, 34, 35]. 136 137 138

#### 139 Table 4: Concentrations of ETMs in surrounding soils.

Matrices	Elements	Max	Min	Moyenne	Ref	CCS*	VC**
	Arsenic	687.54	56.16	378.3	12.9	1.5	20 <sup>a</sup> ; 5 <sup>b</sup>
Mine Tailings	Antimony	208	8.32	96.92	16.64	0.2	2
	Nickel	232.12	59.9	119.59	92.35	20	20 <sup>a</sup> ; 50 <sup>b</sup>
	Cadmium	7.07	1.16	4.31	0.54	0.102	2
	Arsenic	12.48	7.07	10.16			
Surrounding	Antimony	10.4	3.33	5.82			
Soils	Nickel	49.5	9.98	22.99			
	Cadmium	0.62	0.45	0.5			

\*Global average upper continental crust (UCC).

140 141

\*\*Target values, referring to the maximum desirable levels of elements in unpolluted soils.

142 Sources of permissible limits in soils: World Health Organization [34, 36]

Figure 4 shows the variation diagrams for trace metal content in the matrices studied. There is a significant difference in TME content between mining residues and surrounding soils. This difference is due to the mineralisation of the gangue. 143 144 145




Figure 4: Variation in trace metal content (As, Cd, Ni, and Sb) in mine tailings and surrounding soils in the tailings facility.

 Figures 5 and 6 show the spatial distributions of arsenic and antimony concentrations in the Sabodala mine tailings and surrounding soils. This representation shows an irregular distribution of TMs (As and Sb) in the environment studied. This distribution depends on the ore being processed and the rotary discharge system of the tap-hole type.




Figure 5: Spatial distribution of arsenic concentrations in the tailings facility and surrounding soils compared to the local geochemical background




Figure 6: Spatial distribution of antimony contents in the tailings facility and surrounding soils compared to the local geochemical background

#### Contamination Indices

To help figure out the quality of the waste and the surrounding soil, pollution, geo-accumulation, and concentration factors were measured.

#### Pollution index (PI) of tailings and surrounding soil

The results obtained show highly variate PI values from one station to another, with values ranging from 2.41 to 19.04 for mining tailings (Figure 7). The pollution indices for mining tailings (S1 to S7) are greater than one, indicating polymetallic contamination. These mining tailings have high IP values similar to those found in the Moroccan mines of Mibladen (IP: 34.7) and Zeida (PI: 20.52) <sup>37</sup>. Similar values are frequently observed in regions with mining activities. [2, 7] report IP values greater than 1 in soils from several mining regions in Korea. The surrounding soils have pollution indices below unity, indicating that they are not contaminated. The contamination of the tailings pond can therefore be considered local.




Figure 7: Variation in pollution indices for mine tailings and surrounding soils

 Figure 8 shows spatial variation in the pollution index within the tailings pond. The red colour indicates high polymetallic pollution (As, Sb, Cd, and Ni). This spatial distribution is due to variations in the ore gangue and the rotating tap-type discharge system. The surrounding soil is not affected by residues from the tailing facility. Thus, it can be said that the contamination is local.




Figure 8: Spatial distribution of the pollution index of mining tailings and surrounding soils at the Sabodala mine

#### Geo-accumulation index

177


186

The  $I_{geo}$  values for the four trace metal elements are shown in Figure 9. In mining tailings, the geo-accumulation index values for arsenic, antimony, and cadmium are generally positive (Table 5), indicating moderate to extreme contamination. There is a high accumulation of arsenic in mining tailings. For nickel, the geo-accumulation index is negative, indicating an absence of nickel contamination in mining tailings. For 17 e soils surrounding the tailings pond, the geo-accumulation indices for As, Cd, Ni, and Sb are negative ( $I_{geo} < 0$ ), indicating that the soils are not contaminated with trace metals.

Table 5: Geo-accumulation index of trace metals (As, Cd, Ni and Sb) and contamination level

Matrice	Stati 483	As	Sb	Cd	Ni	Categories
	S1	5.03	2.7	2.94	-0.35	- Moderate to extreme
Mine Tailings	S2	3.72	1	1.86	-0.32	contamination in As, Sb
	S3	5.15	3.06	3.12	-1.21	and Cd. - Absence of
	S4	4.48	1.91	2.85	-0.58	contamination with Ni
	S5	4.44	2.37	2.5	-0.32	contamination with 141
	S6	1.5	-1.58	0.52	0.75	
	32	3.09	0.04	1.55	-0.33	
	S8	-1.02	-2	0.73	-2.45	
	S9	-0.6	-1.45	-0.7	-2.33	$I_{geo} < 0$
Surrounding	S10	- <mark>0</mark> .78	-2.96	-0.82	-2.71	Uncontaminated in As, Sb,
soils	S11	- <mark>0</mark> .68	-1.26	-0.39	-1.48	Cd and Ni
	S12	-1.15	-2.9	-0.7	-3.33	
	S13	-0.95	-2.74	-0.58	-3.33	
	S14	-1.45	-2.9	-0.85	-3.8	

Figure 9: Geo-accumulation indices of trace metals (As, Cd, Ni and Sb) in the tailings pond and surrounding soils



The geo-accumulation indices for trace metals (As, Cd, Ni and Sb) in the tailings pond are significantly higher than those in the surrounding soil. For the latter, negative geo-accumulation indices indicate an absence of pollution.




Figure 10: Spatial distribution of geo-accumulation indices for trace metals (As) in the tailings pond and surrounding soils

#### Contamination factors

In mine tailings, the CFs for arsenic, antimony, and cadmium greatly exceed the unit (Figure 11), indicating an increase in the levels of these elements in the tailings pond with a degree of pollution reaching "very high contamination." For the surrounding soils, contamination factors never exceed the threshold for the first class (CF < 1), indicating low or no contamination (Table 6).

#### Table 6: Contamination factors and degree of contamination of different metals [23, 24].

	Stations	As	Sb	Cd	Ni	Categories
	S1	49.02	9.75	11.55	1.18	Very high contamination in As, Sb and Cd and moderate in Ni
	S2	19.74	3	5.46	1.2	Very high contamination in As, considerable in Sb, Cd and moderate in Ni
	S3	53.3	12.5	13.09	0.64	Very high contamination in As, Sb and Cd and moderate in Ni
Mine Tailings	S4	33.53	5.62	10.77	1.01	Very high contamination in As and Cd, considerable in Sb and moderate in Ni
	S5	32.57	7.75	8.29	1.2	Very high contamination in As, Sb and Cd and moderate in Ni
	S6	4.35	0.5	2.14	2.54	Very high contamination in As, moderate Cd and Ni and low in Sb
	S7	12.77	1.65	4.38	1.19	Very high contamination in As, considerable in Cd and moderate in Sb and Ni
	S8	0.74	0.37	0.9	0.27	Low contamination in As, Sb, Cd and Ni
	S9	0.93	0.55	0.92	0.3	Low contamination in As, Sb, Cd and Ni
C	S10	0.87	0.27	0.85	0.23	Low contamination in As, Sb and Ni and moderate in Cd
Surrounding soils	S11	0.97	0.625	1.15	0.53	Low contamination in As, Sb, Cd and Ni
Sons	S12	0.67	0.2	0.92	0.15	Low contamination in As, Sb, Cd and Ni
	S13	0.77	0.22	1	0.15	Low contamination in As, Sb and Ni and moderate in Cd
	S14	0.54	0.2	0.83	0.11	Low contamination in As, Sb, Cd and Ni

199 200

201 202

203 204 205


To determine the degree of risk of a metal being released into the environment, assessing the contamination factor can be considered an effective approach [24]. A high contamination factor value indicates a high risk to the environment. The concentration factors for each metal and the respective degrees of contamination are presented in Table 6 [23]. In the tailings facility, arsenic, antimony, and cadmium (S1 to S7) show considerable to very high levels of contagination. The most problematic metals in these mining residues are therefore arsenic, antimony, and cadmium. For soils in the vicinity of the tailings pond, metal contamination is generally low.

CONTAMINATION FACTOR S10 S11 S12 S13

206

#### Figure 11: Estimated Contamination factors for each metal at the various sampling points

Pollution gradually decreases with distance from the tailings facility. Stations S8 to S14 are only slightly contaminated as they are located outside the tailings facility.



210 s10000 812000 211 Figure 12: Distribution of the arsenic contamination factor in soils in and around the tailings facility

Ecological risk of metals and me 41 oids

207 208

209

The calculated E<sub>1</sub><sup>n</sup> and ERI value 7 used to assess the ecological risks of the four trace metals in the tailings pond and surrounding soils are presented in Table 7.

#### 215 Table 7: Ecological risk potential of heavy metals in the tailings pond and surrounding soils

Matrices	Elements	Moyenne (% contribution)	Minimum (% de contribution)	Maximum (% de contribution)	
	Arsenic	293.30 (72.17 %)	43.5	533.3	
Mine Tailings	Antimony	34.92 (8.5 %)	3	75	
	Nickel	39.75 (9.78 %)	10.7	65.45	
Cadmium		38.40 (9.44 %)	19.2	76.2	

	IRE	406.37	76.4	749.95
Surrounding	Arsenic	7.8	5.4	9.6
soils	Antimony	2.04	1.2	3.72
	Nickel	4.61	4.15	5.75
	Cadmium	7.2	3.3	15.9
	IRE	21.65	14.05	34.97

The average values of ecological risk potentials for each metal or metalloid in mine tailings vary in the following order: As (293,3) > Sb (34,92) > Cd (38,4) > Ni (39,75). Among these trace metals, arsenic presents a high close logical risk potential for mining residues (160 < Er cological risk potential for mining residues (160 < Er cological risk potential of each metal or metalloid As (7.80), Sb (2.04), Cd (7.20) and Ni (4.61) in the surrounding soil is low, indicating local contamination of the tailings facility. The average ecological risk index value for trace metals in the tailings facility is 406.37. This value indicates a considerable potential ecological risk in the mine tailings (Table 7). This ecological risk index confirms the need to confine mining tailings in the facility used to date. Among these trace metals, and contributed the most (72.17%) to the total ERI value of metals or metalloids. Gold mining, particularly open-pit mining, is a significant source of particulate matter enriched with arsenic as an associated metal.

#### CONCLUSION

216

217 218 219

220 221

222

223

224

225

226

227 228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

249

250

251

The study aims to assess the spatial distribution of trace metal concentrations (As, Sb, Cd, and Ni) in the tailings facility and surrounding soils at the Sabodala mine. The acid-base status of these soils shows alkaline mining tailings and slightly alkaline soils. This alkalinity plays an important role in the immobilisation and nonbioavailability of TMEs in mine tailings and surrounding soils. The results obtained show very disparate concentrations of heavy metals (As, Sb, Ni and Cd) in the mine tailings, which exceed the geochemical background levels and those of the soils surrounding the tailings facility. Pollution and geo-accumulation indices and contamination factors in the matrices (tailings and surrounding soils) indicate accumulation of TMEs in the tailings facility. This accumulation of TME is localised and does not spread to surrounding soils, which have PI indices below unity and negative Igeo values. The distribution maps for arsenic and antimony and the indices (IP and Igeo) reveal irregular contamination of the tailing's facility. These pollution maps produced as part of this work could serve as a basis for research into the rehabilitation of the tailings facility. Except for arsenic, the ecological risk posed by each metal or metalloid is low. The soil surrounding the mine tailings pond does not pose an ecological risk. The results indicate that arsenic should be monitored as a priority due to its high incidence and the significant risks it poses in mine tailings. These results provide valuable information to the mine for designing effective strategies to reduce arsenic exposure by adjusting mining operations to minimise metal and metalloid inputs. Research should be considered for the future rehabilitation of tailings facility contaminated with heavy metals, particularly arsenic and antimony, using, for example, biological treatment methods such as phytoremediation of contaminated soils.

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors of this manuscript declare that they have no conflict of interest of any kind, either between the authors themselves or between the authors and third parties.

#### 248 References

Kumkrong P., Dy E., Tyo D.D., Jiang C., Pihlligawa, I. G., Kingston, MercierP. H. J. (2022) Investigation
of metal mobility in gold and silver mine tailings by single-step and sequential extractions. *Environ Monit Assess* 194, 423 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-10054-3">https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-10054-3</a>.

- Jung M.C. (2001)Heavy metal contamination of soils and waters in and around the Imcheon Au-Ag mine,
   Korea. Appl Geochem16: 1369-75.
- Navarro MC., Perez-Sirvent C., Martinez-Sanchez M.J., Vidal J., Tovar P., J., Bech J. (2008) Abandoned mine sites as a source of contamination by heavy metals: A case study in a semi-arid zone. *J Geo chem Explor* 96: 183-93.
- Li H., Yao J., Sunahara G., Min N., Li C., Duran R. (2023) Quantifying ecological and human health risks of metal(loid)s pollution from non-ferrous metal mining and smelting activities in Southwest China Sci.
   Total Environ., 873 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162364
- Boularbah A., Schwartz C., Bitton G., Morel J. L. (2006) Heavy metal contamination from mining sites in
   South Morocco: 1. Use of a biotest to assess metal toxicity of tailings and soils. *Chemosphere*63: 802-10.
- Lim H. S., Lee J.S., Chon H.T., Sager M. (2007)Heavy metal contamination and health risk assessment in the vicinity of the abandoned Songcheon Au–Ag mine in Korea. J. Geochem Explor 96: 223-30.
- Lee C.G., Chon H.T., Jung M.C. (2001) Heavy metal contamination in the vicinity of the Daduk Au Ag Pb-Zn mine in Korea. Applied Geochemistry 16, 1377-1386. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(01)00038-5">https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(01)00038-5</a>
- S. Fares A. M. R. S. A. M. (2021) «Toxicité des métaux et leurs effets sur la santé humaine et
   l'environnement.» Mémoire de fin d'etude Université de Larbi Tébessi Tébessa.
- Ben Ghnaya A., Charles G., Hourmant A., Ben Hamida J., Branchard M. (2007) Morphological and physiological characteristics of rapeseed plants regenerated in vitro from thin cell layers in the presence of zinc, Plant biology and pathology. *ComptesRendusBiologie*, 330, 728-734. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2007.07.004
- 10. Clark, A. J., Labaj, A. L., Smol, J. P., Campbell, L. M., & Kurek, J. (2021). Arsenic and mercury
   contamination and complex aquatic bioindicator responses to historical gold mining and modern watershed
   stressors in urban Nova Scotia. Canada. Science of the Total Environment, 787,
   147374. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.147374
- 11. Gunesegeran, K., Kamal, M. R., Man, H. C., Wayayok, A., & Haider, S. A. (2021). Acid mine drainage
   and heavy metals contamination of abandoned and active mine site at Old Repas Dam in Bentong, Pahang,
   Malaysia. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 646(1),
   012047. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/646/1/012047

281

282

283

284

- 12. Ishimwe, J.C., Salama, A., Sichone, K. (2023). Investigation of Heavy Metal Levels in Tin Mine Wastes and the Implication to Mine Closure Plan: A Case Study of Rutongo Mine, Rwanda. In: Reddy, R.G., et al. New Directions in Mineral Processing, Extractive Metallurgy, Recycling and Waste Minimization. TMS 2023. The Minerals, Metals & Materials Series. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-22765-3\_20
- 285 13. Bell F.G, Bullock S.E.T, Halbich TFJ, Lindsay P. (2001) Environmental impacts associated with an abandoned mine in the Witbank Coalfield, South Africa. *Int J Coal Geol*45: 195-216.

- Schwartz C., Gerard E., Perronnet K., Morel J. L. (2001)Measurement of in situ phytoextraction of zinc by
   spontaneous metallophytes growing on a smelter site. Sci Tot Environ 279: 215-21.
- 289 15. Passariello B, Giuliano V, Quaresima S, et al. (2002)Evaluation of the environmental contamination at an abandoned mining site. *Microchem J* 73, 245-50.
- 16. Diop M., Diouf Y., Diouf B., Diop T. (2025) Determination, Speciation and Bioavailability of Trace Metals
   Elements in Sabodala Mine Tailings. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 16, 225-238.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.4236/jep.2025.163011">https://doi.org/10.4236/jep.2025.163011</a>
- Wognin A. V., N'Guessan Y. M., Assale F. J. P., Aka A. M., Coulibaly A. S., Monde S., Aka K. Int. J.
   Biol. Chem. Sci. 11(2): 911-923, 2017
- 296 18. AFES (2008).Référentiel Pédologique (2008). D. Baize et M.C. Girard coord. Quaeéditions Paris, 432 p.
  - 19. CPCS (1967). Classification des sols. École Nationale de Sciences Agronomiques, Paris : 96 p.

297

- 20. Baize D. (1996) Détection des contaminations modérées en « métaux lourds » dans les sols agricoles.
   Intérêt et limites de la norme AFNOR U 44-041. Symposium international environnement et nouvelles technologies- « Protection des Sols » Bordeaux.
- 301 21. Müller G. (1981) Die Schwermetallbelastung der sediment des Neckars und seiner Nebenflusse:
  302 eineBestandsaufnahme. Chemical Zeitung, 105: 157-164.
- 22. Kinimo K. C., Yao, K.M., Marcotte S., Kouassi N. L. B., Trokourey A. (2018) Distribution trends and
   ecological risks of arsenic and trace metals in wetland sediments around gold mining activities in central southern and southeastern Côte d'Ivoire. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 190: 265-280.
- Hakanson L. (1980) An ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control.a sedimentological approach,
   Water Research, 14, 8, 975-1001, 0043-1354, https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(80)90143-8.
- Rubio B., Nombela M.A., Vilas F. (2000). Geochemistry of major and trace elements in sediments of the
   Ría de Vigo (NW Spain): An assessment of metal pollution. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 40, 968-980.
- 25. Cheng X., Drozdova J., Danek T., Huang Q., Qi W., Yang S., Zou L.L., Xiang Y.G., Zhao X. (2018)
   Pollution assessment of trace elements in agricultural soils around copper mining area. Sustainability 10,
   4533.
- 26. Gujre N., Mitra S., Soni A., Agnihotri R., Rangan L., Rene E.R., Sharma M.P. (2021). Speciation,
   contamination, ecological and human health risks assessment of heavy metals in soils dumped with
   municipal solid wastes. Chemosphere 262, 128013.
- 27. Chen L., Liu J., Zhang W.,Li Q., HuY., Wang L., Hu G. Wang J. (2022) Increased ecological and health
   risks associated with potentially toxic trace elements in agricultural soil adversely affected by gold (Au)
   mining activities. J Soils Sediments 22, 509–521 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-021-03078-8.
- 28. Khan S., Naushad M., Lima E.C., Zhang S., Shaheen, S.M., Rinklebe, J. (2021) Global soil pollution by
   toxic elements: current status and future perspectives on the risk assessment and remediation strategies—a
   review. J. Hazard. Mater. 417, 126039.


322 29. Franco-Uria A., López-Mateo C., Roca E., Fernández-Marcos M.L. (2009)Source identification of heavy 323 metals in pastureland by multivariate analysis in NW Spain. J Hazard Mater 165(1-3): 1008-15. doi: 324 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.10.118. 30. Liu W.H., Zhao J.Z., Ouyang Z.Y., Soderlund L., Liu G.H. (2005)Impacts of sewage irrigation on heavy 325 326 metal distribution and contamination in Beijing, China. Environ Int 31(6): 805-12. doi: 327 10.1016/j.envint.2005.05.042. 328 31. Taylor S.R., McLennan S.M.(1985) The Continental Crust: Its Composition and Evolution, Blackwell 329 scientific publications, 312p. 32. Jochum K.P., Hofmann A.W., Seufert H.M. (1993) Tin in Mantle-Derived Rocks Constraints on Earth 330 Evolution. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 57(15): 3,585-3,595. 331 33. Sabah A, Fouzul A.A.W, Fouzul AM (2012) The environmental impact of gold mines: pollution by heavy 332 333 metals. Cent Eur J Eng 2:304-313. 34. Chiroma T.M, Ebewele R.O., Hymore FK (2014) Comparative assessment of heavy metal levels in soil, 334 335 vegetables and urban grey waste water used for irrigation in Yola and Kano. Int Ref J Eng Sci 3:1-9. 35. Ran X., Shuang W/, Ronghua L., Jim J.W, Zengqiang Z/ (2017) Soil heavy metal contamination and health 336 337 risks associated with artisanal gold mining in Tongguan, Shaanxi, China. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 141:17-338 36. Adagunodo T.A., Sunmonu L.A., Emetere M.E. (2018) Heavy metals' data in soils for agricultural 339 activities. Data in Brief 18 1847-1855 340 37. El Hachimi M. L., Fekhaoui M., Abidi A.E., Rhoujatti A, (2014)Contamination des sols par les métaux 341 lourds à partir de mines abandonnées : le cas des mines Aouli-Mibladen-Zeïda au Maroc. CahAgric23: 342 343 213-9. doi: 10.1684/agr.2014.0702 344 345 346

# ASSESSING POLLUTION FROM TRACE METALS ELEMENTS AND ANALYSING ECOLOGICAL RISKS IN THE TAILINGS FACILITY AND SURROUNDING SOILS AT THE SABODALA MINE

ORIGIN	ALITY REPORT	
2 SIMILA	1% 14% 17% 4% ARITY INDEX INTERNET SOURCES PUBLICATIONS STUDENT PA	PERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES	
1	jmaterenvironsci.com Internet Source	3%
2	Mor Diop, Yacine Diouf, Babacar Diouf, Tidiane Diop. "Determination, Speciation and Bioavailability of Trace Metals Elements in Sabodala Mine Tailings", Journal of Environmental Protection, 2025 Publication	1%
3	Li Chen, Mingxi Zhou, Jingzhe Wang, Zhang Zhiqin et al. "A global meta-analysis of heavy metal(loid)s pollution in soils near copper mines: Evaluation of pollution level and probabilistic health risks", Science of The Total Environment, 2022	1%
4	www.researchsquare.com Internet Source	1%
5	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	1 %
6	Indica Mohan, Rohit Jasrotia, Sunil Dhar, Brijmohan Singh Bhau, Deepak Pathania, Rohit Khargotra, Tej singh. "Pollution indices and correlation of heavy metals contamination in the groundwater around brick kilns in Jammu and Kashmir, India", Heliyon, 2024 Publication	1%

7	livros01.livrosgratis.com.br Internet Source	1%
8	researchonline.federation.edu.au Internet Source	1%
9	www.researchgate.net Internet Source	1%
10	www.scirp.org Internet Source	1%
11	Mohamed Chedadi, Mohammed Bassouya, Abdelkrim Agour, Hicham El Asmi, Mohammed Merzouki, Amina Bari. "Assessment of sediments contamination by potential toxic elements, in relationships with the physicochemical characteristics of Moroccan Oued Fez River", Scientific African, 2023 Publication	<1%
12	Rongyu Li, Ruili Li, Minwei Chai, Xiaoxue Shen, Hualin Xu, Guoyu Qiu. "Heavy metal contamination and ecological risk in Futian mangrove forest sediment in Shenzhen Bay, South China", Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2015 Publication	<1%
13	hal.science Internet Source	<1%
14	repository.atu.edu.iq Internet Source	<1%
15	Lee, C.G "Heavy metal contamination in the vicinity of the Daduk Au-Ag-Pb-Zn mine in Korea", Applied Geochemistry, 200108/09	<1%
16	Submitted to Southern New Hampshire University - Continuing Education Student Paper	<1%

17	Mohammad I. Al-Wabel, Abd El-Azeem S. Sallam, Adel R.A. Usman, Mahtab Ahmad et al. "Trace metal levels, sources, and ecological risk assessment in a densely agricultural area from Saudi Arabia", Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 2017 Publication	<1%
18	www.tdx.cat Internet Source	<1%
19	Mingjiang Zhang, Minjie Sun, Jianlei Wang, Xiao Yan, Xuewu Hu, Juan Zhong, Xuezhe Zhu, Xingyu Liu. "Geographical distribution and risk assessment of heavy metals: a case study of mine tailings pond", Chemistry and Ecology, 2019 Publication	<1%
20	studymoose.com Internet Source	<1%
21	www.jmaterenvironsci.com Internet Source	<1%
22	www.utm.rnu.tn Internet Source	<1%
23	Agnieszka Gruszecka-Kosowska. "Deposited Particulate Matter Enrichment in Heavy Metals and Related Health Risk: A Case Study of Krakow, Poland", Proceedings, 2019	<1%
24	Fatna Zaakour, Najib Saber, Diyae Ismaili Alaoui, Mohammed Karim Ben Hachmi. "The environnemental quality of soil under wheat and vinesin the region of Mohammadia Benslimane (Morocco)", E3S Web of Conferences, 2020 Publication	<1%



sediments in Yinghai: A shellfish cultivation

# zone in Jiaozhou Bay, China", Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2017 Publication

31	www.coursehero.com Internet Source	<1%
32	www.untumbes.edu.pe Internet Source	<1%
33	Xiayu Ouyang, Zhe Wang, Xiaoshou Liu. "Distribution patterns, risk assessment and potential sources of heavy metals in sediment in the Qiongzhou Strait, China", Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2024 Publication	<1%
34	Yvests Briault Tchoukeu, Léopold Ekengele Nga, Natanael Tehna, Moise Welba, Jean Pierre Nguetnkam. "Heavy Metals Contaminations and Ecological Risk Assessment in Soils, Mining Wastes and Sediments of the Ngoura Gold Mining Area, Eastern Cameroon", Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection, 2025 Publication	<1%
35	link.springer.com Internet Source	<1%
36	pubs.sciepub.com Internet Source	<1%
37	www.frontiersin.org Internet Source	<1%
38	Siham Bouzekri, Moulay Laarbi El Hachimi, Noureddine Touach, Hamza El Fadili, Mohammed El Mahi, El Mostapha Lotfi. "The study of metal (As, Cd, Pb, Zn and Cu) contamination in superficial stream sediments around of Zaida mine (High	<1%

## Moulouya-Morocco)", Journal of African Earth Sciences, 2019 Publication

39	Thiago Augusto da Costa Silva, Marcos de Paula, Washington Santos Silva, Gustavo Augusto Lacorte. "Can moderate heavy metal soil contaminations due to cement production influence the surrounding soil bacterial communities?", Ecotoxicology, 2021 Publication	<1%
40	bosaljournals.com Internet Source	<1%
41	ir.cut.ac.za Internet Source	<1%
42	123dok.net Internet Source	<1%
43	André Jules Ouambeti Wickon, Gabriel Ngueutchoua, Doria Grace Takenne, Prince Emilien Danguene et al. "Estimation of heavy metal contamination of sediments in the Ouaka River watershed in the Central African Republic", Watershed Ecology and the Environment, 2025 Publication	<1%
44	Batbold Battogtokh, Jae M. Lee, Nam Woo. "Contamination of water and soil by the Erdenet copper–molybdenum mine in Mongolia", Environmental Earth Sciences, 2013 Publication	<1%
45	Submitted to Institute of Graduate Studies, UiTM Student Paper	<1%
46	assets-eu.researchsquare.com Internet Source	<1%

47	coek.info Internet Source	<1%
48	sportdocbox.com Internet Source	<1%
49	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	<1%
50	Archana Mishra. "Heavy metal contamination of soil, and bioaccumulation in vegetables irrigated with treated waste water in the tropical city of Varanasi, India", Toxicological & Environmental Chemistry, 2007	<1%
51	Mbianda Nfong-Ya Orline Lesley, Nzila Jean de Dieu, Louzayadio Félicien, Bonazaba Clair et al. "Determination of Organic Matter and Trace Metals Elements (As, Sb, Cd, Hg, Ni, Pb, Cr, Zn) in the Soils of the Banks of Watercourses in Brazzaville City (Republic of Congo)", Open Journal of Soil Science, 2025 Publication	<1%
52	Qingjie, G "Calculating Pollution Indices by Heavy Metals in Ecological Geochemistry Assessment and a Case Study in Parks of Beijing", Journal of China University of Geosciences, 200806 Publication	<1%
53	Binggan Wei. "Heavy metal induced ecological risk in the city of Urumqi, NW China", Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 12/07/2008 Publication	<1%
54	Jelena R. Živančev, Yaqin Ji, Biljana D. Škrbić, Maja B. Buljovčić. "Occurrence of heavy elements in street dust from sub/urban zone of Tianjin: pollution characteristics and health	<1%

### risk assessment", Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A, 2019

Publication



Qiu, Y.W.. "Bioaccumulation of trace metals in farmed fish from South China and potential risk assessment", Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 201103 <1%

Publication

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography On