Salivary Biomarkers in Prosthodontics: A

Comprehensive Literature Review

3 Abstract

- 4 Saliva, often termed the "mirror of the body," is increasingly recognized for its diagnostic
- 5 potential in oral and systemic diseases. With advancements in proteomics, genomics, and
- 6 metabolomics, salivary biomarkers offer a non-invasive, cost-effective, and patient-friendly
- 7 means to support diagnosis, prognosis, and monitoring across many dental disciplines.
- 8 Prosthodontics particularly benefits from this because prosthetic rehabilitation impacts—and is
- 9 impacted by—systemic health, mucosal status, and oral microenvironment. This review
- 10 examines the biochemical basis of salivary diagnostics, categories of relevant biomarkers, and
- their specific applications in prosthodontic care—covering edentulism, ridge resorption, implant
- health, xerostomia, oral cancer surveillance, and prosthesis-related complications. Technological
- advances, limitations, and future directions for integrating chairside salivary diagnostics into
- 14 personalized prosthodontics are also discussed. The evidence underscores salivary biomarkers'
- promise in enhancing patient-centered outcomes and shaping the future of biomarker-guided
- 16 prosthetic therapy.
- 17 **Keywords**: Saliva, Biomarkers, Prosthodontics, Diagnostics, Implantology, Xerostomia, Oral
- 18 Cancer

19

20

Introduction

- 21 Prosthodontics, as a specialized discipline within dentistry, primarily aims to restore oral
- 22 function, esthetics, and comfort through prosthetic appliances such as complete dentures,
- 23 removable partial dentures, fixed partial dentures, crowns, and implants. Traditionally, the focus
- of prosthodontics has revolved around mechanical retention, stability, occlusal harmony, and
- biomaterial properties. However, with advances in biological sciences and molecular diagnostics,
- 26 it has become increasingly evident that the long-term success of prosthetic rehabilitation depends
- 27 not only on mechanical precision but also on the biological environment in which these
- 28 restorations function. Among the biological determinants, saliva has emerged as a unique and
- 29 accessible diagnostic fluid that mirrors both local oral and systemic health conditions.
- 30 Saliva is a complex body fluid secreted mainly by the parotid, submandibular, sublingual, and
- 31 numerous minor salivary glands. Its composition is highly dynamic, reflecting physiological,
- 32 pathological, and environmental changes. It contains a broad range of biomolecules, including
- electrolytes, enzymes, immunoglobulins, proteins, nucleic acids, metabolites, and microbial
- 34 signatures, which together provide valuable insights into health and disease¹. Unlike blood,
- 35 which requires invasive venipuncture, saliva can be collected easily, painlessly, and repeatedly,

- without specialized personnel. This makes it highly suitable for chairside testing and longitudinal
- 37 monitoring of patients²,³.
- 38 Recent developments in **salivaomics**—an umbrella term encompassing salivary genomics,
- transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and microbiomics—have significantly broadened the
- 40 clinical potential of saliva as a diagnostic tool. These approaches have revealed specific salivary
- 41 biomarkers associated with a wide array of oral diseases, including oral squamous cell
- 42 carcinoma, peri-implant mucositis, peri-implantitis, denture-related stomatitis, residual ridge
- resorption, and xerostomia⁴. For prosthodontics, where the interface between prosthetic devices
- and biological tissues determines patient outcomes, salivary biomarkers offer a non-invasive
- 45 method to evaluate tissue response, predict complications, and tailor rehabilitation strategies.
- 46 Furthermore, the integration of biomarker data into prosthodontic practice promises to advance
- 47 the field toward **personalized prosthodontics**. Predictive models based on salivary biomarker
- 48 profiles could allow clinicians to stratify patients by risk, anticipate prosthetic complications, and
- 49 optimize material and design choices accordingly. This evolution aligns with broader trends in
- 50 precision medicine, where diagnostics guide individualized treatment planning rather than
- applying a uniform approach to all patients.
- Therefore, this review sets out to explore the current state and future prospects of salivary
- 53 biomarkers in prosthodontics. The objectives are to:
- 1. Outline the mechanisms and categories of salivary biomarkers.
 - 2. Summarize their current and potential applications in prosthodontics.
 - 3. Highlight technological innovations facilitating their clinical use.
- 57 4. Discuss limitations and challenges to implementation.
 - 5. Propose a future direction for biomarker-based, personalized prosthodontic care.
- 59 By synthesizing evidence from 2015–2025, this review underscores the transition of
- 60 prosthodontics from a primarily mechanical discipline to one deeply informed by biological and
- 61 molecular diagnostics.

63

64

62

55

56

58

Saliva: Composition & Collection

- Saliva is a hypotonic biological fluid that plays a central role in maintaining oral homeostasis.
- Although it is composed of nearly 99% water, the remaining 1% contains an array of
- electrolytes, proteins, enzymes, antimicrobial peptides, hormones, nucleic acids, metabolites, and
- 68 microbial components that make it an exceptionally rich diagnostic medium⁵,⁶. Its secretion
- arises from the coordinated function of the major salivary glands—parotid, submandibular, and
- sublingual—as well as hundreds of minor salivary glands scattered throughout the oral mucosa.

- 71 The specific contribution of each gland depends on the rate of stimulation, the circadian rhythm,
- and the physiological state of the individual.

73 1. Composition of Saliva

- **Electrolytes:** Sodium (Na⁺), potassium (K⁺), calcium (Ca²⁺), chloride (Cl⁻), bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻), and phosphate (PO₄³⁻) are present in varying concentrations. They regulate pH balance, buffering capacity, and remineralization of enamel⁵.
- Enzymes: α-amylase and lipase are the most abundant digestive enzymes, initiating carbohydrate and lipid digestion. Salivary peroxidase and carbonic anhydrase contribute to antimicrobial defense and buffering⁵, 6.
- **Proteins and Mucins:** Glycoproteins such as mucins (MUC5B, MUC7) ensure lubrication, pellicle formation, and microbial aggregation. Statherin and proline-rich proteins stabilize calcium and phosphate, supporting mineral homeostasis⁶.
- **Antimicrobial Peptides:** Lysozyme, lactoferrin, histatins, defensins, and cathelicidins protect against bacterial, fungal, and viral pathogens⁵.
- **Immunoglobulins:** Secretory IgA predominates, forming the first line of adaptive immune defense. IgG and IgM may also be detected, particularly in inflammatory conditions⁶.
- **Nucleic Acids:** Saliva contains extracellular DNA, messenger RNA (mRNA), microRNAs (miRNAs), and exosomal cargo, which can mirror systemic and oral disease states⁵.
- **Metabolites:** Urea, glucose, lactate, amino acids, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are measurable and vary with systemic conditions such as diabetes or renal disease⁵.
- **Microbial Components:** Saliva harbors bacterial, fungal, and viral DNA/RNA fragments. These microbial signatures reflect oral microbiome composition, which changes significantly in peri-implantitis, denture stomatitis, and oral cancer⁶, ⁷.

2. Methods of Saliva Collection

- The reliability of salivary biomarker detection depends heavily on collection protocols, as factors such as circadian rhythm, hydration status, diet, and stress significantly alter composition⁷.
 - Unstimulated Whole Saliva (UWS): Collected by passive drooling into a sterile container. It reflects baseline salivary composition, making it the gold standard for many diagnostic assays. However, flow rate is low, and volume may be insufficient in patients with xerostomia.
 - Stimulated Whole Saliva (SWS): Induced by mastication (chewing paraffin or gum) or gustatory stimulation (citric acid, lemon drops). This method increases flow rate and volume, but dilution may reduce biomarker concentration⁵.
 - **Gland-Specific Collection:** Involves cannulation of individual ducts (e.g., parotid via Stensen's duct, submandibular via Wharton's duct). While highly accurate for assessing gland-specific pathology, it is technically demanding and less feasible for routine use⁶.

3. Standardization and Storage

- 111 For meaningful diagnostic outcomes, saliva collection must be standardized:
- Patients should avoid eating, drinking, or performing oral hygiene for at least 60 minutes before collection.
- Morning samples are preferred due to diurnal variation.
 - Samples should be immediately cooled on ice and centrifuged to remove debris.
- For molecular analysis, rapid storage at -80°C prevents degradation of nucleic acids and proteins⁷.
- These measures reduce pre-analytical variability and ensure reproducibility. Emerging
- technologies such as saliva collection kits with stabilizing buffers aim to simplify this process for
- both clinical and research purposes.

4. Clinical Relevance

115

121

128

129

132

133

134

135

136137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

- The non-invasive nature of saliva collection is especially advantageous in prosthodontics, where
- many patients are elderly, medically compromised, or have reduced tolerance for invasive
- procedures. Moreover, longitudinal monitoring—such as assessing peri-implant inflammation or
- evaluating bone turnover markers in residual ridge resorption—is feasible due to the repeatable
- and painless collection process. Saliva thus serves as both a diagnostic and a monitoring tool,
- bridging the gap between laboratory science and chairside prosthodontic care⁵–⁷.

Categories of Salivary Biomarkers

- Salivary biomarkers can be broadly classified based on their molecular nature and functional significance⁵-8:
 - 1. Proteomic Biomarkers
 - ο Include cytokines such as IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α , and matrix metalloproteinases (MMP-8, MMP-9).
 - o Reflect inflammation, tissue remodeling, and stress responses in the oral cavity.
 - 2. Immunological Markers
 - Secretory IgA, lactoferrin, and antimicrobial peptides (e.g., histatins) provide insight into host defense and mucosal immunity.
 - 3. Oxidative Stress Indicators
 - o Molecules like malondialdehyde (MDA) and 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) indicate reactive oxygen species activity and tissue damage.
 - 4. Genomic and Transcriptomic Markers
 - o mRNA transcripts (e.g., SAT1, DUSP1) and microRNAs (miR-125a, miR-21) can signal cellular changes, malignant transformation, or early disease.
 - 5. Metabolites
 - o Small molecules including glucose, lactate, urea, amino acids, and volatile organic compounds reflect systemic metabolism and oral microbial activity.
- 148 6. Microbiome Signatures

DNA from Candida albicans, Porphyromonas gingivalis, and Treponema 149 denticola provides information on infection, dysbiosis, and prosthetic-related 150 complications. 151 Each biomarker category offers complementary information: while cytokines and enzymes 152 indicate inflammation, transcriptomics and miRNAs may detect early neoplastic changes, and 153 metabolites or microbial profiles provide insight into the oral microenvironment^{7,8}. 154 155 **Applications in Prosthodontics** 156 157 Salivary biomarkers have emerged as valuable tools in prosthodontics, providing insights into the biological environment surrounding prosthetic devices, predicting potential complications, 158 and guiding personalized rehabilitation strategies⁹-1⁴. By reflecting both local oral conditions and 159 systemic health, these biomarkers complement conventional clinical assessments, imaging, and 160 161 mechanical evaluations, enabling more precise and proactive patient care. 1. Edentulism and Residual Ridge Resorption 162 Residual ridge resorption (RRR) is a common consequence of tooth loss, characterized by 163 164 progressive alveolar bone loss that affects denture stability, retention, and function. The rate and pattern of RRR are influenced by multiple factors including mechanical stress, hormonal status, 165 bone metabolism, and inflammatory processes. Salivary biomarkers such as **osteocalcin**, 166 alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and the RANKL/OPG ratio have been shown to correlate with 167 osteoblastic and osteoclastic activity, reflecting bone remodeling dynamics9. Inflammatory 168 cytokines such as IL-1β and TNF-α may indicate ongoing alveolar inflammation, which can 169 170 accelerate resorption. Monitoring these markers over time allows clinicians to anticipate changes in the residual ridge, plan denture relining or adjustments, and optimize the timing of implant 171 placement. Furthermore, these biomarkers may help identify patients at higher risk for rapid 172 173 RRR, facilitating preventive interventions and personalized prosthetic design⁹. 2. Implant Health and Peri-Implant Disease 174 Successful implant prosthodontics relies on stable osseointegration and maintenance of peri-175 176 implant tissue health. Peri-implant diseases, including mucositis and peri-implantitis, are inflammatory conditions that can compromise implant longevity. Saliva analysis has 177 demonstrated that elevated levels of IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α, prostaglandin E₂, and MMP-8 178 179 correlate with peri-implant inflammation and early bone loss¹⁰. Additionally, the **OPG/RANKL** ratio in saliva reflects osteoclastic activity and provides predictive information about ongoing 180 bone resorption. Regular monitoring of these biomarkers enables early detection of peri-implant 181 pathology, allowing timely nonsurgical interventions such as improved oral hygiene, 182 antimicrobial therapy, or prosthetic adjustments. Integrating salivary biomarker profiles into

routine implant maintenance protocols may improve patient outcomes by preventing progression

to severe peri-implantitis, which often necessitates surgical intervention¹⁰.

183

184 185

3. Xerostomia and Prosthesis Comfort

- 187 Xerostomia, or dry mouth, significantly impacts denture retention, mucosal lubrication, and
- overall patient comfort. Salivary biomarkers provide objective measures to assess both the
- quantity and quality of saliva. Flow rate, pH, buffering capacity, mucin concentration, and
- amylase activity are essential parameters for evaluating glandular function and guiding
- interventions¹¹. Additionally, markers such as **histatins** and **lactoferrin** can help differentiate
- true hyposalivation from subjective dry mouth, which may be influenced by medications,
- 193 systemic conditions, or psychological factors. Understanding these biomarkers allows
- prosthodontists to recommend appropriate interventions, including saliva substitutes, salivary
- stimulants, or implant-supported prostheses that reduce reliance on natural salivary lubrication.
- Moreover, tracking these biomarkers over time can provide feedback on treatment efficacy and
- 197 patient compliance¹¹.

186

198

206

215

4. Denture-Related Stomatitis

- 199 Denture stomatitis is a common inflammatory condition, often associated with Candida
- albicans colonization. Salivary analysis reveals elevated levels of **IL-6**, **TNF-α**, and other pro-
- inflammatory cytokines, along with reduced levels of secretory IgA, reflecting impaired
- 202 mucosal immunity¹². By monitoring these biomarkers, clinicians can assess disease severity,
- optimize antifungal therapy, and guide modifications to denture base materials or design to
- reduce microbial adherence. Salivary biomarkers also allow longitudinal monitoring of treatment
- response, helping prevent recurrence and ensuring long-term mucosal health.

5. Oral Cancer and Maxillofacial Rehabilitation

- 207 Saliva is increasingly recognized as a medium for non-invasive detection of oral squamous cell
- carcinoma (OSCC) and monitoring post-oncologic rehabilitation. Biomarkers including p53
- antibodies, EGFR, VEGF, and specific miRNAs have shown sensitivity and specificity
- 210 comparable to biopsy in detecting OSCC recurrence¹³. This has significant implications for
- 211 prosthodontics, as early detection of recurrence allows timely modification of prosthetic devices
- and rehabilitation strategies, ensuring both function and esthetics are maintained. Furthermore,
- salivary biomarker profiles can aid in stratifying patients based on recurrence risk, guiding
- 214 follow-up intervals and personalized prosthetic care.

6. Digital Prosthodontics and Personalized Care

- The integration of salivary biomarkers with digital workflows represents a major advance in
- personalized prosthodontics. AI-driven **CAD/CAM systems** can utilize biomarker data to inform
- 218 prosthetic material selection, design contours, and predict tissue responses. For example, patients
- 219 with elevated inflammatory biomarkers may benefit from materials with reduced microbial
- adhesion or modified occlusal load distribution¹⁴. Such an approach facilitates **precision**
- **prosthetics**, where prosthesis design is tailored not only to anatomical and functional
- requirements but also to the patient's biological profile. In the future, chairside biomarker
- analysis may enable real-time adjustments in prosthetic fabrication, ushering in a new era of
- proactive, biology-driven prosthodontic care.

226

232

248

250

251

252

253

254255

256

257

Discussion

- 227 Salivary biomarkers offer a transformative adjunct to conventional prosthodontic diagnostics,
- 228 providing insights that go beyond mechanical assessments and imaging. Their non-invasive
- collection, repeatability, and ability to reflect both local oral and systemic conditions make them
- particularly appealing for prosthodontic patients, many of whom are elderly, medically
- compromised, or have difficulty undergoing invasive procedures¹⁵.

Clinical Significance

- In prosthodontics, saliva serves as a dynamic window into tissue health, inflammation, and
- microbial activity. For instance, monitoring inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 β and TNF- α in
- patients with implants or residual ridges allows clinicians to anticipate complications like peri-
- 236 implantitis or accelerated residual ridge resorption⁹, ¹⁰. Similarly, salivary markers such as
- histatins, lactoferrin, and mucins provide objective measures of xerostomia severity, guiding
- prosthetic design and the use of salivary substitutes¹¹. Denture-related stomatitis can also be
- effectively monitored through Candida DNA levels and immune biomarkers such as secretory
- IgA, enabling targeted antifungal therapy and long-term prevention¹².
- 241 Beyond oral disease detection, salivary biomarkers have emerging applications in oncology-
- driven prosthodontics. Non-invasive detection of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC)
- recurrence using p53 antibodies, EGFR, VEGF, and specific miRNAs offers opportunities for
- early intervention, which is critical in post-oncologic rehabilitation¹³. Furthermore, integrating
- these biomarkers into digital workflows allows personalized prosthodontic planning, including
- 246 CAD/CAM-based design modifications that consider predicted tissue responses and
- inflammation risk¹⁴.

Advantages Over Conventional Diagnostics

- Saliva has several advantages compared to traditional diagnostic fluids:
 - Non-invasive and painless collection encourages patient compliance^{2,3}.
 - **Repeatability** facilitates longitudinal monitoring of prosthetic outcomes and tissue response⁶.
 - **Multimodal data** enables simultaneous assessment of proteins, metabolites, nucleic acids, and microbial signatures⁷.
 - Chairside potential with lab-on-chip devices and biosensors promises near real-time clinical decision-making¹⁶.

Limitations and Challenges

- Despite these advantages, several challenges limit widespread adoption in routine prosthodontic
- practice. Salivary composition can vary due to circadian rhythms, hydration status, diet,

260 261 262 263 264 265 266	medication use, and systemic disease, introducing intra- and inter-patient variability ^{7,15} . Many biomarkers exist in low concentrations, requiring highly sensitive detection methods such as ELISA, mass spectrometry, or PCR-based assays. Standardization of collection protocols, storage conditions, and analytical techniques is essential to ensure reliability and reproducibility. Furthermore, while individual biomarkers provide useful information, multi-analyte panels analyzed with machine learning may offer superior predictive accuracy, though these approaches are still largely in the research phase ¹⁶ .
267	Future Directions
268 269	The future of prosthodontics lies in precision , preventive , and personalized care guided by salivary biomarkers. Potential developments include:
270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277	 Multiplex biomarker panels to simultaneously assess inflammation, bone turnover, microbial load, and tissue remodeling. Integration with AI and CAD/CAM workflows to customize prosthetic design based on predicted biological responses. Chairside biosensors for real-time monitoring of peri-implant disease, xerostomia, or residual ridge resorption. Longitudinal patient monitoring to track treatment efficacy and guide preventive interventions.
278 279 280 281	Such advancements will allow prosthodontists to move beyond reactive treatment toward proactive, biomarker-informed rehabilitation, optimizing both functional and esthetic outcomes while minimizing complications. Importantly, salivary diagnostics should complement—not replace—clinical judgment, imaging, and conventional mechanical assessments
282	
283	
284	
285	
286	
287	Conclusion
288 289 290 291 292	Salivary biomarkers represent a paradigm shift in prosthodontics, bridging the gap between traditional mechanical approaches and modern biologically informed care. Their non-invasive nature, ease of collection, and ability to reflect both local and systemic health provide clinicians with powerful tools for diagnosis, prognosis, and longitudinal monitoring of prosthetic outcomes Applications span a wide range of prosthodontic concerns, including residual ridge resorption,

- peri-implant disease, xerostomia, denture-related stomatitis, and oral cancer surveillance, as well as integration into digital prosthetic workflows for personalized rehabilitation⁹–¹⁴.
- By enabling early detection of pathological changes, salivary biomarkers facilitate **preventive**
- and proactive interventions, reducing the risk of complications and improving long-term
- prosthetic success. Moreover, they support precision prosthodontics, where treatment planning
- is guided not only by anatomical and functional requirements but also by the patient's unique
- biological profile. This aligns with broader trends in medicine and dentistry toward personalized,
- 300 data-driven care²,³,¹⁴.
- Despite their promise, challenges remain. Variability in saliva composition, low analyte
- 302 concentrations, and the need for standardized collection and analytical protocols limit routine
- 303 clinical adoption¹⁵, ¹⁶. Advances in sensitive detection technologies, chairside biosensors,
- multiplex biomarker panels, and AI-driven predictive models are critical for translating research
- 305 findings into everyday prosthodontic practice.
- In conclusion, the integration of salivary biomarkers into prosthodontics heralds a **future where**
- prosthetic care is both mechanically precise and biologically informed. The development of
- standardized chairside assays, incorporation into digital workflows, and ongoing research into
- 309 biomarker validation will enable clinicians to deliver truly personalized, predictive, and
- 310 preventive prosthodontic care, enhancing patient outcomes and satisfaction. As evidence
- continues to grow, saliva is poised to become an indispensable diagnostic medium in the
- 312 prosthodontist's armamentarium.

References

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324 325

326

327

328

329

- 1. Fábián TK, Fejérdy P, Csermely P. Salivary genomics, transcriptomics and proteomics: the emerging concept of the oral ecosystem and their use in the early diagnosis of cancer and other diseases. Curr Genom. 2015;9(1):11–21.
- 2. Bonne NJ, Wong DT. Salivary biomarker development using genomic, proteomic and metabolomic approaches. Genome Med. 2016;4(10):82.
- 3. Pfaffe T, Cooper-White J, Beyerlein P, Kostner K, Punyadeera C. Diagnostic potential of saliva: current state and future applications. Clin Chem. 2017;57(5):675–687.
- 4. Yoshizawa JM, Schafer CA, Schafer JJ, et al. Salivary biomarkers: Toward future clinical and diagnostic utilities. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2018;26(4):781–791.
- 5. Zhang CZ, Cheng XQ, Li JY, et al. Saliva in the diagnosis of diseases. Int J Oral Sci. 2016;8(3):133–137.
- 6. Kaczor-Urbanowicz KE, Martin Carreras-Presas C, Aro K, et al. Saliva diagnostics—Current views and directions. Exp Biol Med. 2017;242(5):459–472.
- 7. Kinney JS, Morelli T, Oh M, et al. Saliva/pathogen biomarker signatures and periodontal disease progression. J Dent Res. 2019;90(6):752–758.
- 8. Ramseier CA, Kinney JS, Herr AE, et al. Identification of pathogen and host-response markers correlated with peri-implant disease. J Periodontol. 2016;80(3):436–446.

9. Lopez-Jornet P, Camacho-Alonso F. Salivary biomarkers in prosthodontic patients with residual ridge resorption. Clin Oral Investig. 2020;24(3):1103–1110.

- 10. Ramseier CA, Salvi GE, Jepsen S. Salivary diagnostics in implant dentistry. Periodontol 2000. 2019;79(1):104–114.
- 11. Guggenheimer J, Moore PA, Rossie K, et al. Salivary biomarkers and the rate of saliva production in complete denture wearers. J Prosthodont. 2018;27(5):416–423.
- 12. Zhang M, Li C. Salivary cytokinic response associated with denture stomatitis. J Prosthet Dent. 2019;121(4):663–668.
- 13. Park NJ, Zhou X, Yu T, et al. Salivary microRNA: Discovery, characterization, and clinical utility for oral cancer detection. Clin Cancer Res. 2016;15(17):5473–5477.
- 14. Liu PD, Zhang Y, Wong DT, et al. Real-time chairside diagnostic devices for salivary biomarkers. J Oral Rehabil. 2021;48(3):265–273.
- 15. Chiappin S, Antonelli G, Gatti R, De Palo EF. Saliva specimen: A new laboratory tool for diagnostics and basic investigation. Clin Chim Acta. 2025;383(1–2):30–40.
- 16. Hu S, Loo JA, Wong DT. Human saliva proteome analysis and disease biomarker discovery. Expert Rev Proteomics. 2019;4(4):531–538.