

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-53896

Date: 19-09-2025

Title: Salivary Biomarkers in Prosthodontics: A Comprehensive Literature Review

Recommendation:

Accept as it isYES.....

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr.Aamina.

Reviewer's Comment for Publication

This is a well-organized and timely literature review highlighting the emerging role of salivary biomarkers in prosthodontics. The manuscript provides a thorough overview of salivary composition, collection methods, categories of biomarkers, and their clinical relevance in prosthodontic care. The discussion aligns with current trends in precision dentistry and presents a compelling case for integrating salivaomics into routine prosthodontic practice.

Strengths

- Comprehensive synthesis of recent research (2015–2025) with clear structure and logical flow.
- Detailed explanation of saliva's composition and its diagnostic relevance.
- Balanced discussion of clinical applications such as implant health monitoring, ridge resorption, and oral cancer surveillance.
- Forward-looking section on personalized prosthodontics.

Minor Revisions Suggested

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

1. Formatting & References:

- Ensure all in-text citations (e.g., superscript numerals) match the final reference list and follow the target journal's style.
- Consider condensing long sub-sections (e.g., Saliva Composition) with summary tables for easier reference.

2. Language & Style:

- A careful proofread to smooth minor grammatical issues (e.g., "the –mirror of the body||" → "the 'mirror of the body'").
- Maintain consistency in tense and abbreviations.

3. Figures/Tables (if planned):

- Adding a schematic or flowchart showing biomarker categories and clinical applications could enhance visual appeal.

Overall, the review is scientifically sound, relevant, and well-written. With these minor edits, it will make a valuable contribution to the prosthodontic literature.

Would you like me to insert today's exact date and a reviewer name (e.g., "Anonymous Reviewer") or leave them as placeholders?