

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-53906

Date: 19-09-2025

Title: CLINICO-RADIOLOGICAL OUTCOMES OF RADIAL HEAD EXCISION VERSUS REPLACEMENT: A RETROSPECTIVE COMPARATIVE STUDY.

Recommendation:

Accept as it isYES.....

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality	✓			
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr.Aamina.

Reviewer's Comment for Publication

Overall Evaluation

This retrospective comparative study evaluates the clinical and radiological outcomes of radial head excision versus prosthetic replacement in comminuted radial head fractures (Mason type III and IV). The topic is timely and clinically relevant, given the continuing debate on optimal management of these complex injuries.

Strengths

- Clearly defined methodology with appropriate inclusion/exclusion criteria.
- Use of validated outcome measures (MEPI and DASH) and sound statistical analysis.
- Balanced discussion comparing findings with existing literature.
- Practical implications for orthopedic surgeons, particularly in resource-limited settings.

Suggestions for Minor Revision

1. **Language & Grammar** – A careful proofread is needed to correct small typographical issues (e.g., extra spaces, inconsistent hyphenation such as “clinico-radiological”).

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

2. **Tables & Figures** – Ensure all tables/figures (patient demographics, MEPI/DASH comparisons, radiological findings) are numbered, captioned, and referenced consistently in the text.
3. **Discussion Depth** – Briefly address limitations such as the small sample size and single-center design, and how these might affect the generalizability of results.
4. **References** – Verify citation formatting and completeness (some references in the provided text are indicated only by numbers without full details).

Conclusion

The manuscript offers valuable evidence favoring radial head replacement for comminuted fractures. With minor editorial and formatting revisions, it will be suitable for publication.