Jana Publication & Research

APPLICATION OF CBCT IN DENTAL IMAGING IN THE FIELD OF PROSTHODONTICS: A REVIEW



E VRC36

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::2945:313621510

Submission Date

Sep 20, 2025, 1:55 PM GMT+5:30

Download Date

Sep 20, 2025, 1:58 PM GMT+5:30

File Name

IJAR-53920.pdf

File Size

635.3 KB

10 Pages

3,272 Words

17,398 Characters



35% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text

Match Groups

63 Not Cited or Quoted 34%

Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks

1 Missing Quotations 1%

Matches that are still very similar to source material

0 Missing Citation 0%

Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation

O Cited and Quoted 0%

Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

30% 📕 Publications

18% 🙎 Submitted works (Student Papers)





Match Groups

63 Not Cited or Quoted 34%

Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks

1 Missing Quotations 1%

Matches that are still very similar to source material

0 Missing Citation 0%

Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation

• 0 Cited and Quoted 0%

Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

27% 🌐 Internet sources

30% 📕 Publications

18% L Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Internet		
www.oral	ljournal.com		10%
2	Internet		
journals.l	ww.com		6%
3	Publication		
Philip Wo	rthington, Jeffre	y Rubenstein, David C. Hatcher. "The Role of Cone-Beam	3%
4	Internet		
healthdoo	cbox.com		3%
5	Publication		
"Implant	placement using	g CBCT guided stent and conventional stent - A case rep	2%
6	Publication		
Rani, Abh	a. "Age Estimati	on in an Indian Adult Population from Pulp/Tooth Volu	1%
7	Publication		
Erika Ben	avides, Hector F	. Rios, Scott D. Ganz, Chang-Hyeon An et al. "Use of Cone	<1%
8	Publication		
Kathleen	Dhont, Anja Ber	naerts, Charlotte Vanhoenacker, Filip M. Vanhoenacker,	<1%
9	Internet		
www.ore	gon.gov		<1%
10	Publication		
Kishan G.	Panicker, Anuro	oopa Pudukulangara Nair, Bipin Chandra Reddy. "Versati	<1%





11 Internet	
watermark.silverchair.com	<1%
12 Publication	
George R. Deeb, Riley K. Allen, V. Patrick Hall, Daniel Whitley, Daniel M. Laskin, So	<1%
13 Publication	
Muralidharan, Niveditha. "Assessment of Relationship of Maxillary Sinus Floor To	<1%
14 Publication	
Priscila Meneghetti, Hamoun Sabri, Ebrahim Dastouri, Rafael Pereira et al. "Digita	<1%
15 Internet	
ia601601.us.archive.org	<1%
16 Internet	
synapse.koreamed.org	<1%
17 Internet	
www.j-ips.org	<1%
18 Internet	
www.yumpu.com	<1%
19 Publication	
Jens De Cock, Koen Mermuys, Jean Goubau, Simon Van Petegem, Brecht Houthoo	<1%
20 Student papers	
University of Mosul on 2023-12-17	<1%
21 Internet	
ebin.pub	<1%
22 Internet	
www.ijrrjournal.com	<1%
23 Internet	
worldwidescience.org	<1%
24 Internet	
www.science.gov	<1%





25 Publication	
Reyes, Ashley, Ilser Turkyilmaz, and Thomas J. Prihoda. "Accuracy of surgical guid	<1%
26 Publication	
"Hysterectomy", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018	<1%
27 Publication	
Ghorai, Laboni. "Assessment of Artefacts Induced by Various Dental Restorative	<1%
28 Student papers	
Mansoura University on 2020-07-07	<1%
29 Student papers	
Panjab University on 2024-09-11	<1%



APPLICATION OF CBCT IN DENTAL IMAGING IN THE FIELD OF PROSTHODONTICS: A REVIEW

3

5

13

1

2

ABSTRACT

6

- 7 Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) is a sophisticated digital imaging method that enables
 - 8 the creation of multiplanar slices of a targeted area and the reconstruction of three-dimensional
- 9 images of the structures using a cone-shaped rotating X-ray beam combined with mathematical
- algorithms^{1,2}. The introduction of CBCT has greatly enhanced the ability to visualize the teeth,
- maxillofacial skeleton, and the spatial relationships between anatomical structures in three
- dimensions³.

Introduction

- 14 The use of CBCT in dentistry is rapidly growing, driven by the rise in equipment
- manufacturers and wider adoption of this imaging technology. The field of view (FOV)
 - defines the scan volume of a CBCT machine and depends on factors such as the detector's
 - size and shape, beam projection geometry, and the machine's ability to collimate the beam—
 - 18 features that vary between manufacturers^{4,5}.

19

- 20 Beam collimation helps limit the patient's exposure to ionizing radiation by focusing only on
- the region of interest, allowing clinicians to select an appropriate FOV based on the case at
- hand. CBCT units are typically categorized as small, medium, or large volume depending on
- their FOV size. A major drawback of large FOV units is the extensive area exposed to
- 24 radiation.

25

- Additionally, unless the smallest voxel size is chosen on larger FOV machines, the image
- 27 resolution is lower compared to intraoral radiographs or small FOV CBCT units, which
- 28 naturally offer finer voxel sizes. Therefore, limiting the scan volume should be determined by
- the clinician's judgment according to each case⁶.

30

- 31 For most dental implant procedures, a small or medium FOV is adequate to capture the area
- of interest⁷. Small volume CBCT machines are becoming increasingly popular as they offer
- 33 several advantages over larger units:
- 1. Higher spatial resolution.
- 35 2. Reduced radiation exposure to the patient.
- 3. A smaller dataset to interpret.
- 4. Lower equipment cost.





Mutiplanar rec Significantly les advanced in Fast, efficient, Interactive trea

Adequate for b

Computer-aide

40

TABLE 1: ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF CBCT

41

42

39

COMPONENTS OF CBCT

43

44 45

46 47

48 49 50

51 52

53

54 55

56

57

59 60

61

58

62

67

- ❖ CBCT involves a rotational scan that moves through an angle greater than 180 degrees. It includes an X-ray source and a reciprocating detector that move in sync around the patient's head.
- During the rotation, multiple exposures are taken at fixed intervals, producing individual projection images known as basis images. The entire collection of these basis images is referred to as **projection data**^{8,9}.
- ❖ Flat-panel imaging uses an "indirect" detector to capture X-rays. This detector consists of a large-area solid-state sensor panel paired with an X-ray scintillator layer. The most common setup uses a cesium iodide scintillator mounted on a thin-film transistor made of amorphous silicon 10.

PRINCIPLES OF CBCT

- All CT scanners consist of an X-ray source and a detector mounted on a rotating gantry. The X-ray source passes through the object being examined, and as it travels through, its intensity is reduced by the tissues it encounters. As the gantry rotates, the remaining (attenuated) X-ray energy is captured by a sensor or film. In cone beam CT, the X-ray beam is uniquely shaped like a cone.
- The outcome of a CBCT scan is a series of images with defined slice thicknesses, creating a three-dimensional dataset¹¹. A single scan can produce up to 1024 axial images. By compiling these images, we obtain a complete volume that can be reconstructed in any plane or axis as needed to study the anatomy. The final result is a





true 3D image with multiplanar reconstruction, allowing examination from any chosen plane within the volume.

70

71

72

68

69

USES OF CBCT

- 73 74 75
- 76 77
- 78 79 80
- 81 82
- 83 84
- 85 86

odontogenic tumors, cysts of the jaws, and osteomyelitis¹². It plays a crucial role in assessing unerupted, impacted, or supernumerary teeth and their proximity to critical anatomical structures.

CBCT is highly useful for evaluating mid-facial and orbital fractures, including

dentoalveolar fractures, as well as for post-fracture assessments. It allows for precise

identification of the location and extent of pathologies such as odontogenic and non-

- CBCT provides multiplanar and potentially three-dimensional images of the condyle and surrounding tissues, facilitating analysis of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), its function, and conditions such as asymmetry or planning for surgery¹³.
- It enables detailed examination of the joint space and accurate positioning of the condyle, while also assisting in identifying soft tissues around the TMJ.
- Compared to 2D radiographs, CBCT offers superior visualization of buccal and lingual defects due to the absence of structural superimposition.
- It allows precise measurement of intra-bony defects and helps clinicians evaluate conditions like furcation involvement, dehiscence, fenestration, and cysts.

87

88

92

93

94

96

Size and Cost

- 89 90 91
- The size of CBCT machines has been significantly reduced by limiting the irradiated area through collimation of the primary X-ray beam, which also minimizes radiation exposure.
- Most CBCT units can be tailored to scan small, specific regions based on diagnostic needs. They are also more cost-effective, typically costing only one-fourth to one-fifth of conventional CT scanners 14,15.

95

Fast Acquisition or Rapid Scan Time

97 98 99 Conventional CT scanners require multiple fan-beam rotations to capture images, whereas CBCT acquires all basis images in a single rotation. Scan times are much quicker, generally between 10 and 70 seconds, often under 30 seconds.

100

101

Image Accuracy or Sub-Millimeter Resolution





11	102
27	103

• CBCT offers voxel resolutions ranging from 0.4 mm to as low as 0.125 mm, which is highly advantageous for accurate diagnosis and treatment planning in maxillofacial cases¹⁶.

105

106

109

110

112

104

Dose Reduction

- 107 108
- According to the 2005 International Committee on Radiation Protection, conventional CT systems have effective doses ranging from 1,320–3,324 μSv for the mandible and 1,031–1,420 μSv for the maxilla. In contrast, CBCT devices range from 52 to 1,025 μSv.
- **1**3 111
- CBCT can reduce radiation exposure by between 51% and 96% compared with conventional head CT scans, which typically range from 1,400 to 2,100 μSv¹⁷.

113

D 114 Reduced Image Artifact

115 116 • Using manufacturer-developed artifact suppression algorithms and increasing the number of projections, CBCT images can achieve lower levels of metal artifacts, particularly in secondary reconstructions focused on the teeth and jaws.

118

119

120

117

Image Noise

- 121
- Noise arises from additional X-ray attenuation caused by nonlinear interactions, which degrade image quality.
- 122 123
- During CBCT imaging, scattered radiation is produced when a significant portion of photons undergo scattering interactions.
- 124 125
- The amount of scattered radiation correlates with the total mass of tissue within the primary X-ray beam and increases with larger object thickness and field size.
- 126
- In clinical settings, the scatter-to-primary ratios range from about 0.01 in single-ray CT to between 0.4 and 2 in CBCT.

128

127

Poor Soft Tissue Contrast

130 131

129

- Contrast, defined as the variation in transmitted X-ray intensities, measures differences between regions in the image.
- 132 133 134
- Several inherent artifacts in flat-panel detectors affect image linearity and response, including saturation (nonlinear pixel effects above certain exposures), dark current (charge accumulation over time), and defective pixels (unresponsive pixels).



140

141

142

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

165166

167

168

169

170

171

- Like all imaging technologies, CBCT has limitations. It struggles to accurately depict soft tissue structures such as muscles, salivary glands, and lesions. It also lacks reliable correlation with Hounsfield units for bone density measurement and is susceptible to artifacts from metal restorations that can obscure anatomical details.
 - To enhance soft tissue visualization, techniques like using a cotton roll or air to separate the lip from the vestibule have been successfully employed.

Software Applications

- Numerous third-party software packages are available to import and analyze CBCT
 data in DICOM format (Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine).
 - These software solutions vary in navigation ease, cost, available diagnostic tools, and implant planning capabilities.
 - Advanced applications can significantly reduce scatter effects and artifacts, improving diagnostic accuracy and helping to overcome some of the inherent limitations of CBCT imaging ^{18,19}.

CONE-BEAM COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY IMPLANT PLANNING PROTOCOL

- Restrict the field of view to the dental arch being examined and the crowns of the opposing arch.
- Position the occlusal plane so that it is parallel to the horizon.
- Separate the maxillary and mandibular teeth using a thin layer of wax, and choose a small voxel size (0.20 mm or 0.25 mm). A smaller voxel size enhances the visualization of anatomical structures, such as the mandibular canal, especially in patients with low attenuation values²⁰.
- Scan stone models, impressions, or teeth using an intraoral laser scanner.
- Develop an image portfolio—a targeted collection of specific image views and anatomical renderings—to better visualize and communicate diagnostic goals and findings²¹.

164 Steps to Create an Image Portfolio

- Display a reconstructed panoramic image at a slab thickness of approximately 15.0 mm to adequately show the alveolar process and teeth.
- Display another reconstructed panoramic image with a slab thickness of 1.0 mm or less, highlighting nerves on mandibular scans.
- Show cross-sectional slices for each proposed implant site. These slices, automatically generated by the software, should use a slice thickness of 1.0 mm and spacing of 1.0 or 2.0 mm²².



174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

- Create a multi-object 3D model of the planned implant sites.
 - Optimal implant planning involves integrating all key anatomical information. Unlike conventional 2D imaging, CBCT provides high anatomical accuracy²³.
 - Virtual modeling enables clinicians to collaborate with a multidisciplinary team to develop a single, comprehensive treatment plan.
 - Utilizing a virtual environment offers an efficient and cost-effective approach to treatment planning. Limiting the CBCT scan area to regions of interest helps reduce radiation exposure.
 - Thorough planning helps avoid nerve injury, jaw boundary perforations, and improper implant positioning near adjacent teeth, while also supporting proper alignment with prosthetic components—thereby improving the chances of successful treatment outcomes.

185 Creating a Surgical Guide: Step-by-Step Procedure^{24,25}

186 Step 1 – Acquire CBCT and Intraoral Scans

- Begin by obtaining a CBCT scan in DICOM format and an intraoral scan in STL format of
 - the patient's teeth and surrounding structures.
 - 189 Step 2 Import Files into Planning Software
- Import both the DICOM and STL files into dedicated dental planning software, such as
 - BlueSky Plan. This software enables the integration of both datasets to build a detailed 3D
- 9 192 model of the patient's oral anatomy.
 - 193 Step 3 Align and Merge the Data
 - 194 Carefully align the STL file with the DICOM scan to ensure that the digital impressions of
 - the teeth and soft tissues are accurately positioned within the 3D model of the jaw^{25,26}.
 - 196 Step 4 Identify Landmarks and Plan Implant Placement
 - Mark essential anatomical landmarks like nerves and sinus cavities to avoid complications.
 - 198 Plan the implant's location by considering factors such as bone density, available bone
 - volume, and ideal implant angulation.
 - 200 Step 5 Design the Surgical Guide
 - Use the planning software to create a surgical guide that securely fits onto the patient's teeth
 - or soft tissue. Make sure the implant sleeves are correctly aligned with the predetermined
 - 203 implant sites.
 - 204 Step 6 Export the Design
 - Once the design is complete, export the surgical guide as an STL file to be used by a 3D
 - printer or milling device for manufacturing.





Step 7 – Fabricate the Guide

Produce the physical surgical guide using a 3D printer or milling station based on the exported STL file, ensuring precision and accuracy for the surgical procedure.

210

211

207

208

209

Conventional vs. CBCT-Guided Stent

- 212
- 213 214
- 215 216
- benefits. This minimally invasive approach reduces surgical trauma and patient discomfort during the immediate postoperative period. Additionally, the use of computer guidance lowers the risk of intraoperative complications and supports optimal, prosthetically driven implant placement²⁷.

217

- 218 219 220
- David et al. reported that the survival rates for implants placed using computerguided techniques are comparable to those placed conventionally, with success rates ranging from 91% to 100% over a follow-up period of 12 to 60 months²⁸.

Nickeing et al. concluded that, based on assessments of implant position and axis,

Kochar et al. noted that implant location, size, angulation, and depth are carefully

planned before surgery begins. This enables less invasive procedures without flap

elevation, resulting in faster healing, quicker rehabilitation, reduced treatment time,

virtual planning with CBCT data and surgical guides results in highly accurate

implant placement, significantly outperforming freehand techniques²⁹.

and improved patient comfort—making it a favorable treatment option³⁰.

Beretta et al. highlighted that flapless, computer-assisted implant surgery offers clear

221

- 222
- 223

224

225

- 226 227
 - 228 229

230 231

232

CONCLUSION

- 233
- Virtual implant planning using CBCT data enables clinicians to design and preview the final 234 outcome prior to starting treatment. CBCT scans offer high accuracy and cost efficiency,
 - while also enhancing communication and collaboration among multidisciplinary teams to 235
 - ensure the best clinical results. With virtual planning, clinicians can explore various treatment 236
 - options and refine them until the most effective approach is identified. Once finalized, the 237
 - 238 optimized plan can be transformed into a surgical guide through modeling for practical use
 - 239 during the procedure.





241

REFERENCES

- 1. Kumar M, et al. Cone-beam computed tomography-know its secret. J Int Oral Health.
- 243 2015;7(2):64-8.
- 2. John GP et al. Fundamentals of cone beam computed tomography for a prosthodontist. J
- 245 Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2015;15(1):8-13.
- 3. Scarfe WC, Farman AG, Sukovic P. Clinical application of cone beam computed
- tomography in dental practice. J Can Dent Assoc. 2006;72(1):75-80.
- 4. Fornell J, et al. Flapless, CBCT-guided osteotome sinus floor elevation with simultaneous
- 249 implant installation. I: radiographic examination and surgical technique. A prospective 1-year
- 250 follow-up. Clin. Oral Impl. Res. 2011;23:28-34.
- 5. Arisan V, et al. Conventional multi-slice computed tomography (CT) or cone beam CT
- 252 (CBCT) for computed aided implant placement. Part II; reliability of mucosa supported
- stereolithographic guides, 2012, 1-11.
- 6. Pertl L, et al. Preoperative assessment of the mandibular canal in implant surgery:
- comparison of diagnostic rotational panoramic radiography (OPG), computed tomography
- 256 (CT) and cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) to the actual anatomical situation. Eur J
- 257 Oral Implantol. 2013;6(1):73-80.
- 7. Logan H, et al. Evaluation of the accuracy of Cone Beam Computerized Tomography
- 259 (CBCT): medical imaging technology in head and neck reconstruction. J of Otolaryngol -
- 260 Head & Neck Surg. 2013, 42(25).
- 8. Tadinada A, et al. CBCT evaluation of buccal bone regeneration in postmenopausal
- women with and without osteopenia or osteoporosis undergoing dental implant therapy. J
- 263 Prosthet Dent. 2015;114(4):498-505.
- 9. Kernen F, et al. Accuracy of three-dimensional printed templates for guided implant
- placement based on matching a surface scan with CBCT. Clinical Implant Dentistry and
- 266 Related Research. 2016;18(4):762-8.
- 10. Elsyad MA and Khirallah AS. Circumferential bone loss around splinted and non-splinted
- 268 immediately loaded implants retaining mandibular overdentures: A randomized controlled
- clinical trial using cone beam computed tomography. J Prosthet Dent. 2016;116(5):741-8.
- 270 11. Charette JR, et al. Cone beam computed tomography imaging as a primary diagnostic
- tool for computer-guided surgery and CAD-CAM interim removable and fixed dental
- 272 prostheses. J Prosthet Dent. 2016;116(2):157-65.





- 273 12. Asli HN, Kajan ZK and Gholizade F. Evaluation of the success rate of cone beam
- 274 computed tomography in determining the location and direction of screw access holes in
- cement-retained implant-supported prostheses: An in vitro study. J Prosthet Dent.
- 276 2018;120(2):220-4.
- 13. Jamjoom FZ, et al. Positional accuracy of a prosthetic treatment plan incorporated into a
- 278 cone beam computed tomography scan using surface scan registration. J Prosthet Dent.
- 279 2018;120(3):367-74.
- 280 14. Anenson JW, Till JE and Grogan HA. Understanding and communicating radiation dose
- and risk from cone beam computed tomography in dentistry. J Prosthet Dent.
- 282 2018;120(3):353-60.
- 283 15. Nakagawa1 Y, et al. Preoperative application of limited cone beam computerized
- tomography as an assessment tool before minor oral surgery. Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg.
- 285 2002;31(3):322-26.
- 16. Davies J, Johnson B and Drage NA. Effective doses from cone beam CT investigation of
- the jaws. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology. 2012;41:30-6.
- 17. Hashimoto K, et al. Comparison of image validity between cone beam computed
- tomography for dental use and multidetector row helical computed tomography.
- 290 Dentomaxillofacial Radiology. 2007;36:465-71.
- 18. Jeong DK, et al. Comparison of effective dose for imaging of mandible between multi-
- detector CT and cone-beam CT. Imaging Science in Dentistry. 2012;42: 65-70.
- 19. Repesa M, et al. Comparison of Results of Measurement of Dimensions of the Placed
- 294 Dental Implants on Cone Beam Computed Tomography with Dimensions of the Producers of
- 295 the Implants. ACTA Inform Med. 2017;25(2):116-20.
- 20. Moshfeghi M, et al. Analysis of linear measurement accuracy obtained by cone beam
- computed tomography (CBCT-NewTom VG). Dent Res J. 2012;9(1):57–62.
- 298 21. Gibbs SJ, Nashville and Tenn. Effective dose equivalent and effective dose: Comparison
- 299 for common projections in oral and maxillofacial radiology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol
- 300 Oral RadiolEndod. 2000;90:538-45
- 301 22. Naitoh M, et al. Evaluation of voxel values in mandibular cancellous bone: relationship
- between cone-beam computed tomography and multislice helical computed tomography. Clin.
- 303 Oral Impl. Res.2009;20:503-6
- 304 23. Sovitk A, et al. Adaptive radiotherapy based on contrast enhanced cone beam CT imaging
- 305 ActaOncologica. 2010;49:972-7.





306 307	24. Loubele M, et al. Comparison between effective radiation dose of CBCT and MSCT scanners for dentomaxillofacial applications European Journal of Radiology. 2009;71:461-8
308 309	25. Worthington P, Rubenstein J, Hatcher DC. The role of cone-beam computed tomography in the planning and placement of implants. JADA 2010;141(3):19-24.
310 311	26. White SC, Pharoah MJ. Oral Radiology Principles and Interpretation. Mosby Elsevier, 6 th Edition, Chapter 14, 225-236.
312 313	27. Beretta M, Poli PP. Accuracy of computer aided template guided oral implant placement; a prospective clinical study. J periodontal Implant Sci. 2004:44:184- 193.
314 315	28. Schneider D, Marquardt P. A systemic review on the accuracy and the clinical outcome of computer guided template based implant dentistry. Clin.Oral.Impl.Res.2009;20(4);73-8
316 317 318 319	29. Nickeing H J. Evaluation of difference in accuracy between implant placement by virtual planning data and surgical guide templates versus conventional free hand method- a combined in vivo- invitro technique using cone beam CT (part 2). J of Cranio maxilla facial surgery.2010:38:488-493.
320 321	30. Kochar A, Ahuja S. Computer guided implantology; for optimal implant planning. Dial ClinPract 1;101.

323