

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-53962

Date: 22/09/2025

Title: Integrated Care Approaches for Diabetes Management During Pregnancy

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Dr. S. K. Nath

Date: 22/09/2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication:

This manuscript provides a thorough overview of integrated care models in diabetes management during pregnancy, emphasizing their benefits and challenges. It underscores the potential of multidisciplinary and technological approaches to improve outcomes. To enhance its impact, the paper should incorporate a more critical appraisal of evidence, discuss barriers in greater depth, and include quantitative data where applicable.

Reviewer's Comment / Report

Strengths:

- Comprehensive Scope:** The paper thoroughly explores various models of integrated care, including medical management, multidisciplinary clinics, and digital/telehealth interventions, providing a holistic overview.
- Evidence-Based Content:** Incorporates recent clinical guidelines, systematic reviews, and case studies, enhancing credibility and relevance.
- Clear Structure:** The paper is well-organized with logical progression from background, methods, results, to future directions, making it easy to follow.
- Focus on Future Directions:** Emphasizes innovative solutions like telehealth and personalized care, aligning with current technological trends.
- Patient-Centered Perspective:** Highlights the importance of psychosocial support and health literacy, underlining a holistic approach to care.

Weaknesses:

- Limited Critical Analysis:** The paper predominantly summarizes existing literature without sufficiently critiquing the quality of evidence or discussing conflicting findings.
- Sparse Discussion on Implementation Barriers:** While barriers like access and health literacy are mentioned, a deeper analysis of systemic obstacles, resource limitations, and policy challenges would strengthen the discussion.
- Lack of Quantitative Data:** There is minimal presentation of statistical data or meta-analytical results that quantify the benefits of integrated care.
- Typographical and Grammatical Errors:** Some sentences contain minor typos or grammatical mistakes that could affect readability.

Recommendations for Changes/Modifications:

- Enhance Critical Analysis:** Include discussions on the quality and limitations of cited studies. Address any conflicting evidence to provide a balanced view.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

2. **Deepen Barrier Discussions:** Expand on systemic, infrastructural, and policy-related barriers affecting implementation, especially in resource-limited settings.
3. **Incorporate Quantitative Data:** Present statistical outcomes or meta-analytical findings to substantiate claims about benefits.
4. **Proofreading:** Correct typographical errors and grammatical mistakes. For example:
 - On page 17, in reference, clarify the context as the line just shows "6."
 - Ensure consistent use of tenses and proper sentence structure throughout the document.
5. **Formatting Consistency:** Uniformly format headings, references, and citations for improved professionalism.
6. **Add Visual Elements:** Consider including tables or figures summarizing key models, outcomes, or barriers for visual clarity.