

Jana Publication & Research

Algebraic Extensions through t-Q Fermatean L-Fuzzy Ideals and Their Homomorphisms

 VRC15

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::2945:314507491

Submission Date

Sep 26, 2025, 10:05 AM GMT+5:30

Download Date

Sep 26, 2025, 10:08 AM GMT+5:30

File Name

IJAR-54014.pdf

File Size

521.7 KB

8 Pages

4,851 Words

19,919 Characters





39% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.




Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text

Match Groups

-  **109** Not Cited or Quoted 39%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **0** Missing Quotations 0%
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0** Missing Citation 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0** Cited and Quoted 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 34%  Internet sources
- 22%  Publications
- 17%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Match Groups

- 109** Not Cited or Quoted 39%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 0** Missing Quotations 0%
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0** Missing Citation 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0** Cited and Quoted 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 34% Internet sources
- 22% Publications
- 17% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Internet	www.ijpam.eu	17%
2	Internet	www.ijcaonline.org	3%
3	Internet	sciencedocbox.com	2%
4	Internet	scik.org	2%
5	Publication	Azar Abizada, Inácio Bó. "Hiring from a pool of workers", Journal of Economic Beh...	2%
6	Internet	fs.unm.edu	1%
7	Internet	arxiv.org	1%
8	Internet	www.researchgate.net	<1%
9	Student papers	Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia on 2019-06-25	<1%
10	Student papers	Anna University on 2020-08-18	<1%

11	Internet	ijorlu.liau.ac.ir	<1%
12	Publication	Daniele Binosi. "Two-loop pinch technique in the electroweak sector", Physical Re...	<1%
13	Student papers	Anna University on 2025-02-21	<1%
14	Publication	Matt Kerr, Colleen Robles. "Classification of smooth horizontal Schubert varieties...	<1%
15	Internet	ir.bdu.edu.et	<1%
16	Publication	Georgy Viktorovich Kostin, Vasily V. Saurin. "Dynamics of Solid Structures", Walte...	<1%
17	Internet	dokumen.pub	<1%
18	Internet	philpapers.org	<1%
19	Student papers	National Institute Of Technology, Tiruchirappalli on 2020-07-09	<1%
20	Student papers	Higher Education Commission Pakistan on 2023-06-15	<1%
21	Publication	M. Oqla Massa'deh. "On Q-fuzzy R-subgroups of near-rings", International Mathe...	<1%
22	Student papers	University of Hertfordshire on 2024-02-28	<1%
23	Internet	www.itia.ntua.gr	<1%
24	Internet	www.politesi.polimi.it	<1%

25	Publication	Jeong, Saebyeok. "Study of Correspondences in Supersymmetric Quantum Field T...	<1%
26	Publication	Ziad Khan, Fawad Hussain, Ikhtesham Ullah, Tariq Rahim, Madad Khan, Rashid Ja...	<1%
27	Publication	Jindong Qin. "Generalized Pythagorean Fuzzy Maclaurin Symmetric Means and It...	<1%
28	Publication	Zhang, Xiaolu. "Multicriteria Pythagorean fuzzy decision analysis: A hierarchical ...	<1%
29	Internet	ebin.pub	<1%
30	Internet	staffportal.uoz.edu.krd	<1%
31	Student papers	Kongu Engineering College on 2025-09-14	<1%
32	Publication	"Analytical Decision Making and Data Envelopment Analysis", Springer Science a...	<1%
33	Publication	Faiz Muhammad Khan, Naila Bibi, Xiao Long Xin, Muhsina, Aftab Alam. "Rough fe...	<1%
34	Student papers	Higher Education Commission Pakistan on 2017-10-31	<1%

Algebraic Extensions through t-Q Fermatean \mathcal{L} -Fuzzy Ideals and Their Homomorphisms

Abstract:

Fermatean fuzzy sets serve as a significant generalization of both intuitionistic fuzzy sets and Pythagorean fuzzy sets, providing a broader and more flexible structure for modeling uncertainty. Unlike their predecessors, they successfully address and overcome certain inherent limitations associated with these earlier frameworks, particularly in handling higher degrees of hesitation and indeterminacy. Motivated by these advantages, this paper introduces the concept of t-Q Fermatean \mathcal{L} -fuzzy ideals, thereby extending the study of algebraic structures within the Fermatean fuzzy environment. We further explore the homomorphic properties of these ideals, analyzing how they behave under various mappings. Within this framework, a number of new theoretical results are established, which contribute to the deeper understanding of Fermatean fuzzy algebra and open avenues for further research.

Keywords: Fuzzy sets, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fermatean fuzzy sets, Lattice, t-Q-fermatean \mathcal{L} -fuzzy left (right) ideals, homomorphism.

1 Introduction

The foundation of fuzzy set theory was laid by Zadeh [23], who introduced the concept of a membership function μ to quantify the degree to which an element belongs to a given set. Unlike classical set theory, where membership is strictly binary an element either belongs to a set or it does not—fuzzy set theory allows for gradations of membership. Within this framework, every element of the universal set is assigned a membership value from the unit interval $[0,1]$. A value of 0 signifies complete non-membership, while a value of 1 indicates full membership. Intermediate values represent varying degrees of partial membership, capturing situations where the status of an element cannot be described in absolute terms. This innovative generalization of classical sets provides a powerful tool for modeling vagueness, uncertainty, and imprecision, since it reflects the reality that many real-world phenomena do not conform to rigid boundaries but instead fall within a spectrum of belonging.

Classical fuzzy set theory, despite its effectiveness in extending the binary nature of classical sets, exhibited notable limitations in its ability to model uncertainty in a comprehensive manner. Specifically, it lacked an explicit non-membership function to quantify the degree to which an element does not belong to a set, and it was unable to capture the hesitation or indeterminacy that often arises in real-world decision-making situations. Recognizing these shortcomings, Atanassov [9] proposed the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFSs), which significantly enriched the fuzzy framework. An IFS is formally described by a triplet of functions: a membership function μ that assigns the degree of belonging of an element to a set, a non-membership function ν that expresses the degree of rejection, and an indeterminacy (or hesitation) function π that reflects the extent of uncertainty or lack of knowledge regarding the element's status. These functions are interrelated through the conditions $\mu + \nu \leq 1$ and $\mu + \nu + \pi = 1$, ensuring consistency in the representation of information. This formulation provides a richer and more flexible mechanism for representing vagueness and uncertainty, thereby broadening the applicability of fuzzy set theory in diverse fields such as decision-making, pattern recognition, and knowledge representation.

However, there are practical situations where the condition $\mu + \nu \geq 1$ may hold, which is not permissible under IFSs. To accommodate such scenarios, Pythagorean fuzzy sets (PFSs) were introduced by Yager [21, 22]. In a PFS, the membership and non-membership degrees satisfy $0 \leq \mu, \nu \leq 1$ with the constraint $\mu^2 + \nu^2 \leq 1$, and the indeterminacy is derived accordingly as $\pi = \sqrt{1 - \mu^2 - \nu^2}$. Fermatean fuzzy sets is the extension Pythagorean fuzzy sets. In fermatean fuzzy sets the membership grade (μ) and non-membership grade (ν) satisfy the conditions $0 \leq \mu^3 + \nu^3 \leq 1$, where the values of μ and ν lie between 0 and 1.

In the context of algebraic structures, the study of fuzzy subsets in near-rings has a

well-documented history. Kim and Jun [11] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzification of various semigroup ideals. Later, Kyung Ho Kim and Young Bae Jun [12], in their work on "Normal fuzzy R-subgroups in near-rings", extended this line of study by defining normal fuzzy R-subgroups and investigating their properties. Kuncham et al. [13] subsequently introduced fuzzy prime ideals of near-rings. Further contributions include Solairaju and Nagarajan [19], who defined Q-fuzzy subrings, and Palaniappan, Arjanan, and Palanivelrajan [15], who introduced intuitionistic L-fuzzy subrings. Wang et al. [20] proposed intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of rings with threshold parameters (α, β) , while Sharma [17] developed the concept of t-intuitionistic fuzzy quotient groups.

Building upon these foundational concepts, the present paper is devoted to the introduction and systematic study of t-Q Fermatean \mathcal{L} -fuzzy ideals. To provide a clear framework, the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is dedicated to preliminaries, where we recall essential definitions and outline the key algebraic structures associated with Fermatean fuzzy sets and lattices, which form the basis for our study. Section 3 develops the central theme by formally introducing t-Q Fermatean \mathcal{L} -fuzzy ideals and investigating their fundamental properties, with particular emphasis on their behavior under homomorphisms. Finally, Section 4 concludes the work with a summary of the main findings and some closing observations that highlight the significance of the results and suggest possible directions for future research.

2 Preliminaries and Definition

We will review the related concepts of fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, pythagorean fuzzy sets, fermatean fuzzy sets and lattices in this section.

Definition 2.1 We defined fuzzy set F in a universal set X as

$$F = \{\langle x, q_F(x) \rangle : x \in X\},$$

where $q_F: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a mapping that is known as the fuzzy membership function.

The complement of q is defined by $\bar{q}(x) = 1 - q(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and denoted by \bar{q} .

Definition 2.2 A fuzzy ideal q of a ring R is called fuzzy primary ideal, if for all $a, b \in R$ either $q(ab) = q(a)$ or else $q(ab) \leq (b^m)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Definition 2.3 A fuzzy ideal q of a ring R is called fuzzy semiprimary ideal, if for all $a, b \in R$ either $q(ab) \leq q(a^n)$, for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, or else $q(ab) \leq (b^m)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Definition 2.4 An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) A in X is defined as

$$A = \{\langle x, q_A(x), \vartheta_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\},$$

where the $q_A(x)$ is the worth of membership and $\vartheta_A(x)$ is the worth of non-membership of the element $x \in X$ respectively.

Also $q_A: X \rightarrow [0,1], \vartheta_A: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and satisfy the condition

$$0 \leq q_A(x) + \vartheta_A(x) \leq 1,$$

for all $x \in X$.

The degree of indeterminacy $h_A(x) = 1 - q_A(x) - \vartheta_A(x)$.

Definition 2.5 A Pythagorean fuzzy set P in universe of discourse X is represented as

$$P = \{\langle x, q_P(x), \vartheta_P(x) \rangle | x \in X\},$$

where $q_P(x): X \rightarrow [0,1]$ denotes the worth of membership and $\vartheta_P(x): X \rightarrow [0,1]$ represents the worth to which the element $x \in X$ is not a member of the set P , with the condition that

$$0 \leq (q_P(x))^2 + (\vartheta_P(x))^2 \leq 1,$$

for all $x \in X$.

The worth of indeterminacy $h_P(x) = \sqrt{1 - (q_P(x))^2 - (\vartheta_P(x))^2}$.

Definition 2.6 A fermatean fuzzy set A in a finite universe of discourse X is furnished as

$$A = \{\langle x, q_A(x), \vartheta_A(x) \rangle | x \in X\},$$

where $q_A(x): X \rightarrow [0,1]$ denotes the worth of membership and $\vartheta_A(x): X \rightarrow [0,1]$ represents the worth to which the element $x \in X$ is not a member of the set A , with the predicament that

$$0 \leq (q_A(x))^3 + (\vartheta_A(x))^3 \leq 1,$$

for all $x \in X$.

The worth of indeterminacy $h_A(x) = \sqrt[3]{1 - (\varrho_A(x))^3 - (\vartheta_A(x))^3}$.

Definition 2.7 Let X be a non empty set, and $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, \leq)$ be a lattice with least element 0 and greatest element 1 and Q be a non empty set. A Q -L-fuzzy subset μ of X is a function $\mu: X \times Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$.

Definition 2.8 Let $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}, \leq)$ be a complete lattice with an evaluative order reversing operation $N: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and Q be a non empty set.

Definition 2.9 A Q -Fermatean L-fuzzy subset (QFLFS) μ in X is defined as an object of the form $\mu = \{ \langle (x, q), \varrho_\mu(x, q), \vartheta_\mu(x, q) \rangle : x \in X \text{ and } q \in Q \}$ where $\varrho_\mu: X \times Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and $\vartheta_\mu: X \times Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ define the degree of member ship, and the degree of non membership of the element $x \in X$, respectively, and for every $x \in X$ and $q \in Q$.

Definition 2.10 Let R be a ring. A Q -Fermatean L-fuzzy subset μ of R is said to be a Q -Fermatean L-fuzzy sub ring (QFLFSR) of R if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (i) $\varrho_\mu(x - y, q) \geq \min\{\varrho_\mu(x, q), \varrho_\mu(y, q)\}$
- (ii) $\varrho_\mu(xy, q) \geq \min\{\varrho_\mu(x, q), \varrho_\mu(y, q)\}$
- (iii) $\vartheta_\mu(x - y, q) \leq \max\{\vartheta_\mu(x, q), \vartheta_\mu(y, q)\}$
- (iv) $\vartheta_\mu(xy, q) \leq \max\{\vartheta_\mu(x, q), \vartheta_\mu(y, q)\}$.

Definition 2.11 Let R be a ring. A Q -Fermatean L-fuzzy sub ring μ of R is said to be a Q -Fermatean L-fuzzy normal sub ring (QFLFNSR) of R if

- (i) $\varrho_\mu(xy, q) = \varrho_\mu(yx, q)$
- (ii) $\vartheta_\mu(xy, q) = \vartheta_\mu(yx, q)$ for all $x, y \in R$ and $q \in Q$.

Definition 2.12 Let μ be a QFLFS of a ring R . And let $t \in [0, 1]$, then the μ^t of R is called the t -Q-Fermatean fuzzy subset (tQFLFS) of R with respect to (QFLFS) μ and is defined as $\mu^t = (\varrho_{\mu^t}, \vartheta_{\mu^t})$, where $\varrho_{\mu^t}(x, q) = \min\{\varrho_\mu(x, q), t\}$ and $\vartheta_{\mu^t}(x, q) = \max\{\vartheta_\mu(x, q), 1 - t\}$, for all $x \in R$.

Definition 2.13 Let X, Y be two non empty sets and $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Let μ and γ be two tQFLFS of X and Y respectively. Then the image of μ under the map ϕ is denoted by $\phi(\mu)$ and is defined as $\phi(\mu^t)(y, q) = (\varrho_\phi(\mu^t)(y, q), \vartheta_\phi(\mu^t)(y, q))$, where

$$\varrho_\phi(\mu^t)(y, q) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\varrho_{\mu^t}(x, q)\}, & x \in \phi^{-1}(y), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\vartheta_\phi(\mu^t)(y, q) = \begin{cases} \inf\{\vartheta_{\mu^t}(x, q)\}, & x \in \phi^{-1}(y), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

also the pre-image of γ under ϕ is denoted by $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)$ and is defined as

$$\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x, q) = (\varrho_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x, q), \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x, q)),$$

where $\varrho_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x, q) = \varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x), q)$ and $\vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x, q) = \vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x), q)$.

This means that $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x, q) = (\varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x), q), \vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x), q))$.

Definition 2.14 Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Let μ and γ be two tQFLFS of X and Y respectively. Then $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t) = (\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t))^t$ and $\phi(\mu^t) = (\phi(\mu))^t$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.15 Let μ be a QFLFS of a ring R . And let $t \in [0, 1]$, then μ is called t -Q-Fermatean L-fuzzy sub ring (tQFLFSR) of R if is QFLFSR of R . This means that μ^t satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\varrho_{\mu^t}(x - y, q) \geq \min\{\varrho_{\mu^t}(x, q), \varrho_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$;
2. $\varrho_{\mu^t}(xy, q) \geq \min\{\varrho_{\mu^t}(x, q), \varrho_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$;
3. $\vartheta_{\mu^t}(x - y, q) \leq \max\{\vartheta_{\mu^t}(x, q), \vartheta_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$;
4. $\vartheta_{\mu^t}(xy, q) \leq \max\{\vartheta_{\mu^t}(x, q), \vartheta_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$; for all $x, y \in R$ and $q \in Q$.

Theorem 2.1 If μ is QFLFNSR of a ring R , then μ is also tQFLFNSR of a ring R .

Proof. Let $x, y \in R$ be any elements, then

$$\varrho_{\mu^t}(xy, q) = \min\{(xy, q), t\} = \min\varrho_\mu, (yx, q), t = \varrho_{\mu^t}(yx, q).$$

141 Similarly, $\vartheta_{\mu^t}(xy, q) = \max\{(xy, q), 1 - t\} = \max\{e_{\mu^t}(yx, q), 1 - t\} = \vartheta_{\mu^t}(yx, q)$.

142 Therefore is also tQFLFNSR of R .

143 **Definition 2.16** Let μ be a QFLFS of a ring R . And let $t \in [0, 1]$, then μ is called t -Q-Fermatean L -fuzzy
144 left ideal (tQFLFLI) of R . If

145 (i) $e_{\mu^t}(x - y, q) \geq \min\{e_{\mu^t}(x, q), e_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$

146 (ii) $e_{\mu^t}(xy, q) \geq \{e_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$

147 (iii) $\vartheta_{\mu^t}(x - y, q) \leq \max\{\vartheta_{\mu^t}(x, q), \vartheta_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$

148 (iv) $\vartheta_{\mu^t}(xy, q) \leq \{\vartheta_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$ for all $y \in R$ and $q \in Q$.

149 **Definition 2.17** Let μ be a QFLFS of a ring R . And let $t \in [0, 1]$, then μ is called t -Q-Fermatean L -fuzzy
150 right ideal (tQFLFRI) of R . If

151 (i) $e_{\mu^t}(x - y, q) \geq \min\{e_{\mu^t}(x, q), e_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$

152 (ii) $e_{\mu^t}(xy, q) \geq \{e_{\mu^t}(x, q)\}$

153 (iii) $\vartheta_{\mu^t}(x - y, q) \leq \max\{\vartheta_{\mu^t}(x, q), \vartheta_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$

154 (iv) $\vartheta_{\mu^t}(xy, q) \leq \{\vartheta_{\mu^t}(x, q)\}$;

155 **Theorem 2.2** If μ is QFLFLI of a ring R , then μ is also tQFLFLI of a ring R .

156 **Proof.** It is required to prove that $e_{\mu^t}(xy, q) \geq \{e_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$ and $\vartheta_{\mu^t}(xy, q) \leq \{\vartheta_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$ for all
157 $x, y \in R$.

158 Again, $e_{\mu^t}(xy, q) = \min\{(xy, q), t\} = \min\{e_{\mu^t}(y, q), t\} = e_{\mu^t}(y, q)$.

159 Thus $e_{\mu^t}(xy, q) \geq \{e_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$. Similarly, we can show that $\vartheta_{\mu^t}(xy, q) \leq \{\vartheta_{\mu^t}(y, q)\}$.

160 Hence is also tQFLFLI of a ring R .

161 **Definition 2.18** If μ is QFLFRI of a ring R , then μ is also tQFLFRI of a ring R .

162 3 Main Results

163 In this section, we have undertaken a detailed discussion of several significant results concerning the
164 homomorphic behavior of t -Q Fermatean L -fuzzy subrings. These results highlight how such structures
165 interact under homomorphisms, providing deeper insights into their algebraic properties and contributing to
166 a broader understanding of Fermatean fuzzy algebra within the framework of L -fuzzy subrings.

167 **Theorem 3.1** Let $\phi: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ be a ring homomorphism from the ring R_1 into a ring R_2 . Let γ be
168 tQFLFSR of R_2 . Then $\phi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is tQFLFSR of R_1 .

169 **Proof.** Let $x, y \in R_1$, since γ be tQFLFSR of R_2 . Then

$$\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x - y, q) = (e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x - y, q), \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x - y, q)).$$

$$e^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x - y, q) = (e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x - y, q)$$

$$= e_{\mu^t}(\phi(x) - \phi(y), q)$$

$$\geq \min\{e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x), q), e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y), q)\}$$

$$= \min\{e^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x, q), e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q)\}.$$

174 Thus $e^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x - y, q) \geq \min\{e^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x, q), e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q)\}$.

175 Similarly, it can be prove that $\vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x - y, q) \leq \max\{\vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x, q), \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q)\}$.

176 Again,

$$\vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x - y, q) = e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(xy), q)$$

$$= e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q) \geq \min\{e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x), q), e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y), q)\}$$

$$= \min\{e^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x, q), e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q)\}.$$

180 Thus, $e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) \geq \min\{e^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x, q), e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q)\}$.

181 Also,

$$\vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) \leq \max\{\vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x, q), \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q)\}.$$

183 Therefore, $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t) = (\phi^{-1}(\gamma))^t$ is QFLFSR of R_1 and hence $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)$ is tQFLFSR of R_1 .

184 **Theorem 3.2** Let $\phi: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ be a ring homomorphism from the ring R_1 into a ring R_2 . Let γ be

185 $tQFLFSR$ of R_2 . Then $\phi^{-1}(\gamma)$ is $tQFLFSR$ of R_1 .

186 **Proof.** Let $x, y \in R_1$, since γ be $tQFLFSR$ of R_2 . Also $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)(xy) = (e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q),$

187 $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)(xy, q), \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(yx, q).$

188 Hence, it is enough to show that

189 $e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) = e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q), \phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)(xy)$ and $\vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) = \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(yx, q).$

190 Now,

$$191 e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) = e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(xy), q)$$

$$192 = e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q)$$

$$193 = e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y)\phi(x), q)$$

$$194 = e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(xy), q)$$

$$195 = e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(yx, q).$$

196 Moreover,

$$197 \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) = \vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(xy), q)$$

$$198 = e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q)$$

$$199 = \vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y)\phi(x), q)$$

$$200 = \vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(xy), q)$$

$$201 = \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(yx, q).$$

202 Thus $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t) = (\phi^{-1}(\gamma))^t$ is $QFLFNSR$ of R_1 and hence $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)$ is $tQFLFNSR$ of R_1 .

203 **Theorem 3.3** Let $\phi: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ be a ring homomorphism from the ring R_1 into a ring R_2 . Let γ be $tQFLFI$ of R_2 . Then $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)$ is $tQFLFI$ of R_1 .

205 **Proof.** Since γ be $tQFLFSR$ of R_2 and let $x, y \in R_1$.

206 We need only to prove

$$207 e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) \leq e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q) \text{ and } \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) \leq \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q).$$

$$208 \text{ Now, } e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) = e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(xy), q) = e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q) \geq e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y), q) = e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q).$$

$$209 \text{ Therefore, } e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) \geq e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q)$$

$$210 e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) = e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(xy), q) = e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q) \geq e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y), q) = e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q).$$

$$211 \text{ Similarly, } \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) \leq \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q).$$

212 Therefore $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t) = (\phi^{-1}(\gamma))^t$ is $QFLFSR$ of R_1 and hence $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)$ is $tQFLFSR$ of R_1 .

213 **Theorem 3.4** Let $\phi: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ be a ring homomorphism from the ring R_1 into a ring R_2 . Let γ be $tQFLFRI$ of R_2 . Then $\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)$ is $tQFLFRI$ of R_1 .

215 **Proof.** Straight forward.

216 **Theorem 3.5** Let $\phi: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ be epimorphism from the ring R_1 into a ring R_2 and μ be $tQFLFSR$ of R_1 . Then $\phi(\mu)$ is $tQFLFSR$ of R_2 .

218 **Proof.** Let $x, y \in R_2$. Then there exist $a, b \in R_1$ such that $\phi(a) = x, \phi(b) = y$ we know that a, b need not be unique also μ is $tQFLFSR$ of R_1 .

$$220 \text{ Now, } \phi(\mu^t)(x - y, q) = (e_{\phi(\mu^t)}(x - y, q), \vartheta_{\phi(\mu^t)}(x - y, q)).$$

$$221 e_{\phi(\mu^t)}(x - y, q) = e_{(\phi(\mu))^t}(x - y, q) = \min\{e_{\phi(\mu)}(\phi(a) - \phi(b), q), t\}$$

$$222 e^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x - y, q) \geq \min\{e^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x, q), e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q)\}.$$

$$223 \text{ Similarly, } e_{\mu^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x - y, q) \leq \max\{e_{\mu^{-1}}(x - q), e_{\mu^{-1}}(y, q)\}.$$

223 Also,

$$224 \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(x - y, q) = e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(xy), q)$$

$$= e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q) \geq \min\{e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x), q), e_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y), q)\}$$

$$= \min\{e^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x, q), e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q)\}.$$

$$225 \text{ Thus, } e_{\mu^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) \geq \min\{e^{-1}(\gamma^t)(x, q), e_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(y, q)\}.$$

$$226 \text{ It is easy to show that } e_{\mu^{-1}(\gamma^t)}(xy, q) \leq \max\{e_{\mu^{-1}}(x - q), \vartheta_{\phi^{-1}}(y, q)\}.$$

227 Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{\phi(\mu^t)}(x-y, q) &\leq \max\{\vartheta_{\phi(\mu^t)}(x, q), \vartheta_{\phi(\mu^t)}(y, q)\}, \\ \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(xy, q) &= \varrho_{(\phi(\mu))^t}(xy, q) = \min\{\vartheta_{\phi(\mu)}(\phi(a), \phi(b), q), t\} \\ &= \min\{\varrho_{\phi(\mu)}(\phi(ab), q), t\} \geq \min\{\varrho_{\mu}(ab, q), t\} = \varrho_{\mu}(ab, q)' \\ \text{for all } a, b \in R_1 \text{ such that } \phi(a) &= x, \phi(b) = y. \\ &= \min\{\sup\{\varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(a, q); \phi(a) = x\}, \sup\{\varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(b, q); \phi(b) = y\}\} \\ &= \min\{\varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(x, q), \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(y, q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

229 Thus $\varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(xy, q) \geq \min\{\varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(x, q), \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(y, q)\}$.

230 Similarly, we can show that $\min\{\varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(x, q), \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(y, q)\}$.

231 Thus $\phi(\mu^t) = (\phi(\mu^t))^t$ is QFLFSR of R_2 and hence $\phi(\mu)$ is tQFLFSR of R_2 .

232 **Theorem 3.6** Let $\phi: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ be epimorphism from the ring R_1 into a ring R_2 and μ be tQFLFSR of R_1 . Then $\phi(\mu)$ tQFLFSR of R_2 .

233 **Proof.** Let $x, y \in R_2$. Then exist $a, b \in R_1$ such that $\phi(a) = x, \phi(b) = y$ we know that a, b need not be
234 unique also μ is tQFLFSR of R_1 . $\phi(\mu^t)(xy, q) = \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(xy, q), \vartheta_{\phi(\mu^t)}(xy, q)$. Now, we have to prove
235 that $\varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(xy, q) = \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(yx, q)$ and $\vartheta_{\phi(\mu^t)}(xy, q) = \vartheta_{\phi(\mu^t)}(yx, q)$;

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(xy, q) &= \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(\phi(a)\phi(b), q) \\ &= \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(\phi(ab), q) \\ &= \sup\{\varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(xy, q); \phi(ab) = xy\} \\ &= \sup\{\varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(yx, q); \phi(ab) = xy\} \\ &= \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(\phi(ab), q) \\ &= \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(\phi(a)\phi(b), q) \\ &= \varrho_{\phi(\mu^t)}(yx, q) \end{aligned}$$

237 Similarly, we can show that $\vartheta(xy, q) = \vartheta(yx, q)$;

238 Hence the result.

239 **Theorem 3.7** Let $\phi: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ be epimorphism from the ring R_1 into a ring R_2 and μ be tQFLFI of R_1 .
240 Then $\phi(\mu)$ is tQFLFI of R_2 .

241 **Proof.** Let $x, y \in R_2$. Then there exist $a, b \in R_1$, then there exist a unique $a, b \in R_1$ such that $\phi(a) =$
242 $x, \phi(b) = y$,

$$(\phi(\mu))^t(xy, q) = (\varrho_{(\phi(\mu))^t}(xy, q), (\vartheta_{(\phi(\mu))^t}(xy, q))).$$

243 Since μ be IQFLFI of R_1 , then $\vartheta_{\phi(\mu^t)}(y, q) \geq \vartheta_{\phi(\mu^t)}(y, q)$ and

244 therefore $\varrho_{(\phi(\mu))^t}(xy, q) \geq \varrho_{(\phi(\mu))^t}(xy, q)$.

245 Similarly, it can be shown that

$$\vartheta_{(\phi(\mu))^t}(xy, q) \leq \vartheta_{(\phi(\mu))^t}(xy, q).$$

246 Hence (μ^t) is QFLFI of R_2 and hence $\phi(\mu)$ is tQFLFI of R_2 .

247 **Theorem 3.8** Let R_1, R_2 be any two rings. The homomorphic image of a tQFLFSR of $\phi(R_1)$ is a
248 tQFLFSR of $\phi(R_1) = R_2$.

249 **Proof.** Let μ be a tQFLFSR of R_1 . We have to prove that γ is tQFLFSR of R_2 .

250 Now, for $\phi(x), \phi(y) \in R_2$ and $q \in Q$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x) - \phi(y), q) &= \varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x - y), q) = \min\varrho_{\gamma}\{(\phi(x - y), q), t\} \\ &\geq \min\varrho_{\gamma}\{\phi(x - y, q), t\} = \min\{\varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x), q), \varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y), q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

251 Also, for $\phi(x), \phi(y) \in R_2$ and $q \in Q$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q) &= \varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(xy), q) = \min\{\varrho_{\gamma}(\phi(xy), q), t\} \\ &\geq \min\{\varrho_{\gamma}(xy, q), t\} = \min\{\varrho_{\gamma^t}(x, q), \varrho_{\gamma^t}(y, q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

252 Thus, $\varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q) \geq \min\{\varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x), q), \varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y), q)\}$.

253 Similarly, in can be prove that

$$\vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x) - \phi(y), q) \leq \max\{\vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x), q), \vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y), q)\} \text{ and}$$

$$\vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q) \leq \max\{\vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x, q), \phi(y, q))\}.$$

Hence γ is a tQFLFSR of R_2 .

Theorem 3.9 Let R_1, R_2 be any two rings. The homomorphic image of a tQFLFNSR of R_1 is a tQFLFNSR of $\phi(R_1) = R_2$.

Proof. Since μ is a tQFLFSR of R_1 . We have to prove that γ is a tQFLFSR of R_2 .

Now for $\phi(x), \phi(y) \in R_2$ and $q \in Q$, clearly γ is tQFLFSR of R_2 .

Also, μ is tQFLFSR of R_1 .

$$\text{Again, } \varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q) = \varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(xy), q) \geq \varrho_{\mu^t}(xy, q)$$

$$= \varrho_{\mu^t}(yx, q) = \varrho_{\mu^t}(\phi(yx), q) = \varrho_{\mu^t}(\phi(y)\phi(x), q).$$

Thus, $\varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q) = \varrho_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y)\phi(x), q)$ for all $\phi(x), \phi(y) \in R_2$ and $q \in Q$.

$$\text{Also, } \vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q) = \vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(xy), q) \leq \vartheta_{\mu^t}(xy, q) = \vartheta_{\mu^t}(yx, q) = \vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y)\phi(x), q).$$

$$\text{Thus, } \vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(x)\phi(y), q) = \vartheta_{\gamma^t}(\phi(y)\phi(x), q).$$

Therefore, γ is tQFLFSR of R_1 .

4 Conclusion

In order to deal with cognitive uncertainty in a more comprehensive manner, Fermatean fuzzy sets have emerged as a powerful extension of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, offering greater flexibility in modeling hesitation and imprecision. Motivated by these advantages, this paper focuses on the study of t-Q Fermatean \mathcal{L} -fuzzy ideals in the context of normal rings. We introduce and investigate their structural characteristics, establishing several important properties related to their homomorphic behavior. These results not only enrich the theoretical foundation of Fermatean fuzzy algebra but also provide useful insights for further applications. Looking ahead, a promising direction for future research lies in extending the framework to incorporate the concept of rough Fermatean fuzzy sets. In particular, we aim to develop and prove a number of significant theorems concerning rough Fermatean fuzzy sets in rings, which would further enhance the applicability of this theory in handling uncertainty and approximation in algebraic systems.

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful and would like to express their sincere thanks to the anonymous referees and Editor for their valuable comments to improve the presentation of the paper.

Funding

The authors declare that no external funding or support was received for the research presented in this paper, including administrative, technical, or in-kind contributions.

Data Availability

All data supporting the reported findings in this research paper are provided within the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest concerning the reported research findings.

References

- [1] A. K. Adak, D. D. Salokolaei. Some Properties of Pythagorean Fuzzy Ideal of Near-rings, *International Journal of Applied Operational Research* 9(3)(2019)1-12.
- [2] Adak, A. K., Nilkamal, N Barman, N. (2023). Fermatean fuzzy semi-prime ideals of ordered semigroups, *Topological Algebra and its Applications*, 11 (1), 1-10.
- [3] Adak, A. K., Gunjan, M. K. (2024). Profitable Portfolio Using Fermatean Fuzzy Numbers. *Journal of Fuzzy Extension and Applications (JFEA)*, 5 (1), 60-68.
- [4] Kamal, N. & Adak. A. K. (2025). Algebraic structure of (α, β) -cut of fermatean fuzzy set over near ring. *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, 21(2), 11-16.
- [5] Gunjan, M.K., Adak, A.K., & Salookolaei D.D. (2025). Fermatean Fuzzy Artinian and Noetherian

- 304 Rings. *Asian Research Journal of Mathematics*, 21(5), 179-190. DOI:
305 <https://doi.org/10.9734/arjom/2025/v21i5933>
- 306 [6] Adak, A. K., & Kumar, D. (2022). Some Properties of Pythagorean Fuzzy Ideals of Γ -Near-Rings,
307 *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 11(4), 336-346.
- 308 [7] Adak, A. K., Kumar, D. (2023). Spherical Distance Measurement Method for Solving MCDM
309 Problems under Pythagorean Fuzzy Environment. *Journal of fuzzy extension and applications* 4 (4), 28-39.
- 310 [8] A Ebrahimnejad, A. K. Adak, E. B. Jamkhaneh, Eigenvalue of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrices Over
311 Distributive Lattice, *International Journal of Fuzzy System Applications* 8(1) (2019) 1-8.
- 312 [9] K. Atanassov. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 20 (1986) 87-96.
- 313 [10] X. J. Gou, Z. S. Xu, P. J. Ren. The properties of continuous Pythagorean fuzzy information. *Int J*
314 *Intell Syst* 31(5)(2016) 401-424.
- 315 [11] Y. B. Jun, K. H. Kim, Y. H. Yon. Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of near-rings, *J. Inst. Math. Comp. Sci.*
316 12 (3) (1999) 221-228.
- 317 [12] Y. B. Jun. Interval-valued fuzzy R-subgroups of near-rings, *Indian J. pure appl. Math*, 33(1) (2002)
318 71-80.
- 319 [13] S. P. Kuncham, S. Bhavanari, Fuzzy prime ideal of a gamma near ring. *Soochow Journal of*
320 *Mathematics* 31 (1) (2005) 121-129.
- 321 [14] X. Peng, Y Yang. Some results for Pythagorean fuzzy sets. *Int J Intell Syst* 30 (2015)1133-1160.
- 322 [15] N. Palaniappan, K. Arjunan, M. Palanivelranjan. A study of intuitionistic fuzzy L-subrings, *NIF*,
323 14(2008),5-10.
- 324 [16] P.K. Sharma. Translates of intuitionistic fuzzy sub ring, *International Review of Fuzzy*
325 *Mathematics*, 6(2011),77-84.
- 326 [17] P.K. Sharma. t-intuitionistic fuzzy quotient group, *Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics*, 7, (2012), 1-9.
- 327 [19] A. Solairaju, R. Nagarjan. A new structure and construction of Q-fuzzy groups, *Advances in Fuzzy*
328 *Mathematics*, 4 (2009), 23-29.
- 329 [19] A. Solairaju, R. Nagarjan. Lattice valued Q-fuzzy left R-sub modules of near rings with respect to
330 t-norms, *Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics*, 4 (2009),137-145.
- 331 [20] J. Wang, X.Lin and Y.Yin. Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals with threshold (α, β) of rings, *International*
332 *Mathematics Forum*, 4 (2009), 1119-1127.
- 333 [21] R. R. Yager, A. M. Abbasov. Pythagorean membership grades, complex numbers and decision
334 making. *Int J Intell Syst* 28(5) (2013) 436-452.
- 335 [22] R. R. Yager. Properties and applications of Pythagorean fuzzy sets. *Springer, Berlin* (2016).
- 336 [23] L. A. Zadeh. Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, 8(1965) 338-353.