ISSN: 2320-5407



# International Journal of Advanced Research

## Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

#### REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-**54068** Date: 26/09/2025

**Title:** Adoption and Utilization of Active Citizenship as a Means of Teaching and Educating Students with Disabilities

Recommendation:	Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Accept as it is	Originality				
	Techn. Quality			V	
	Clarity				
	Significance		V		

Reviewer Name: Touseef Malik Date: 27/09/2025

### Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

The manuscript highlights active citizenship as a transformative pedagogical tool for students with disabilities, fostering inclusion, empowerment, and social justice. It is timely, well-grounded in international frameworks, and conceptually strong. However, improvements in structure, clearer theory—practice connections, and sharper recommendations are needed. Overall, it makes a valuable contribution to inclusive education.

**Recommendation:** Accept after minor revision

# Detailed Reviewer's Report

## **Strengths**

- 1. **Innovative Focus:** Presents active citizenship as a transformative strategy for teaching students with disabilities.
- 2. **Theoretical Depth:** Well-supported by international conventions, policy documents, and recent literature.
- 3. **Relevance:** Effectively addresses critical issues of inclusion, equality, and empowerment in education.
- 4. **Practical Value:** Provides concrete examples such as student councils, collaborative learning, and community engagement.
- 5. **Global Perspective:** Draws on diverse international sources, highlighting the framework's universal relevance.

### Weaknesses

1. **Structure:** Certain sections are overly detailed; reorganizing into concise sub-sections would enhance clarity.

ISSN: 2320-5407

# International Journal of Advanced Research

## Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

### REVIEWER'S REPORT

- 2. **Theory Practice Link:** Though theoretically strong, the paper would benefit from more empirical cases or examples.
- 3. **Recommendations:** The recommendations are broad; prioritization would make them more impactful.
- 4. Language: Minor grammatical issues and lengthy sentences occasionally affect readability.
- 5. **Original Contribution:** The paper's originality rests mainly on synthesis rather than empirical research, which should be more clearly acknowledged.