Jana Publication & Research

The Anti-Higgs Postulate: A Constrained Framework for Higgs-Sector Mediated Negative Energy Density and the ? Par…

VRC30

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::2945:315033301

Submission Date

Sep 29, 2025, 11:16 AM GMT+5:30

Download Date

Sep 29, 2025, 11:17 AM GMT+5:30

File Name

IJAR-54074.pdf

File Size

732.5 KB

5 Pages

1,363 Words

6,975 Characters

1%   Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

▸ Bibliography

▸ Quoted Text

Match Groups

2   Not Cited or Quoted   1%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks

0   Missing Quotations   0%
Matches that are still very similar to source material

0   Missing Citation   0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation

0   Cited and Quoted   0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

1%   🌐 Internet sources

1%   📖 Publications

1%   👤 Submitted works (Student Papers)

Match Groups

▣ **2** Not Cited or Quoted **1%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks

❞ **0** Missing Quotations **0%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material

▤ **0** Missing Citation **0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation

◈ **0** Cited and Quoted **0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

1% 🌐 Internet sources

1% 📖 Publications

1% 👤 Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Internet	
www.arxiv-vanity.com		**<1%**

2	Publication	
Kok Hong Thong, Andrew Melatos. "Newtonian restricted three-body gravitation...		**<1%**

The Anti-Higgs Postulate: A Constrained Framework for Higgs-Sector Mediated Negative Energy Density and the Ψ Parameter

Abstract

I propose the *Anti-Higgs Postulate*, a constrained theoretical framework in which the Standard Model (SM) Higgs sector, under extreme physical or geometric conditions or in the presence of Beyond Standard Model (BSM) interactions, may give rise to localized configurations associated with **negative effective energy densities**. I refer to these configurations as *Anti-Higgs states*, which are described by a Lorentz-invariant scalar parameter Ψ ≥ 0, which quantifies the intensity of deviation from the electroweak vacuum. A model energy correction is introduced:

$$\Delta \rho_\Psi = -\beta m_h^4 \Psi^n \tag{1}$$

where m_h is the Higgs mass, β is a dimensionless coupling $\sim \Box(1)$, and n is a positive integer. This form is derived from a toy BSM Lagrangian using dimensional analysis. Quantum inequalities (QIs) are imposed to ensure physical consistency, and a localized solution is created to demonstrate compatibility with QFT constraints. I discuss implications for semiclassical gravity, early-universe physics, and exotic spacetime geometries.

1. Introduction

Vacuum energy in quantum field theory (QFT), especially in curved spacetime, remains one of the deepest puzzles in modern physics. While the Higgs field of the SM successfully generates mass via spontaneous symmetry breaking [1–3], it does not admit **localized negative energy states**, which are known to be necessary for phenomena such as traversable wormholes [4] and Alcubierre warp geometries [5].

The proposal is that under certain extreme conditions—high curvature, strong BSM interactions, or boundary effects—the Higgs sector may develop **localized configurations** of lower-than-vacuum energy. These *Anti-Higgs states* are described by a scalar parameter Ψ ≥ 0, with associated negative energy density:

28   **(1)**
$$\Delta\rho_\psi = -\beta m_h^4 \Psi^n$$

29   We explore two realizations: Ψ as a **dynamical field** and as an **effective parameter** derived

30   from new physics. We also further enforce **quantum inequality bounds** to maintain

31   consistency with known field-theoretic constraints.

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1 Dimensional Justification of Ψ Scaling

34   **In this model, the scalar quantity Ψ(x) may be interpreted in two distinct but**

35   **compatible ways. First, Ψ may be treated as a dynamical scalar field,**

36   **obeying local field equations derived from a Lagrangian. This interpretation**

37   **allows full application of quantum field theory methods, including stress-**

38   **energy tensors and backreaction. Second, Ψ can be understood as an**

39   **effective parameter, encoding the collective effects of BSM fields or**

40   **topological vacuum deformations in a region of spacetime. In this view, Ψ**

41   **is not fundamental but emergent — similar to order parameters in**

42   **condensed matter systems. For simplicity and derivational clarity, the**

43   **present analysis focuses primarily on the dynamical interpretation, though**

44   **the effective interpretation may prove useful in connecting to**

45   **phenomenological models or cosmological initial conditions.**

46

47   To ensure that $\Delta\rho_\psi$ has mass dimension 4 (as required for energy density), and that Ψ is

48   dimensionless, the exponent n must satisfy:

49   **(2)**
$$[\Delta\rho_\psi] = [m_h^4 \Psi^n] \rightarrow n \in \mathbb{N}$$

50   We select n = 2 for simplicity, which simplifies modeling and ensures the energy contribution

51   remains real and bounded from below.

52

2.2 Toy BSM Lagrangian

54   I propose a minimal extension of the SM with a real scalar Ψ(x), neutral under gauge

55   symmetries:

56   **(3)** $L = L_{SM} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Psi)(\partial^\mu \Psi) - \frac{1}{2}m_\Psi^2 \Psi^2 - \lambda_\psi \Psi^2 (H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2})$

57   This leads to the field equation:

58   **(4)** $\Box\Psi = m_\psi^2\Psi + 2\lambda_\psi\Psi(H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2})$

59 The interaction term allows Ψ to induce negative energy density in regions where the Higgs field
60 deviates from the vacuum expectation value (VEV).

3. Quantum Inequality Bounds

62 Negative energy configurations are constrained by Ford-Roman-type quantum inequalities [9]. A
63 simplified bound in flat spacetime reads:

64   **(5)** $\int p(t)g(t)dt \geq -\frac{3}{32\pi^2\tau^4}$

65 Assuming Ψ produces a constant negative energy over sampling time τ, we get:

66   **(6)** $\Psi^2 \leq \frac{3}{32\pi^2\beta m_h^4\tau^4}$

3.1 Numerical Estimate

68 Using τ ≈ 10^{-18} s (high-energy scale), m_h ≈ 125 GeV:

69   • $m_h^{4} \approx 4 \times 10^{102}$ Hz⁴
70
71   • $\tau^4 \approx 10^{-72}$ s⁴
72

73 Plugging into Eq. (6):

74   **(7)** $\Psi \lesssim 10^{-17}$

75 This enforces extreme localization and small amplitude for Ψ fields consistent with QFT.

3.2 Sample Localized Solution

77 Consider a Gaussian field configuration:

78   **(8)** $\Psi(r) = \Psi_0\, e^{-\frac{r^2}{R^2}}$

79 Its energy contribution is:

80   **(9)** $E \approx -\beta m_h^4\Psi_0^2(\pi R^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}$

81 For R ~ 10^{-18} m, Ψ_0 ~ 10^{-17}, this energy is ~10^{-2} GeV — QI-consistent.This result justifies the
82 assumption that any Anti-Higgs state must be extremely small in amplitude and duration, ruling
83 out macroscopically extended configurations.

4. Gravitational and Cosmological Implications

4.1 Semiclassical Backreaction

86 The Einstein equation with backreaction is:

87   **(10)** $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G \langle T^{SM}_{\mu\nu} + T^{\Psi}_{\mu\nu} \rangle$

88 The presence of a localized negative energy density due to an Anti-Higgs state implies transient
89 violations of classical energy conditions, such as the NEC., potentially enabling exotic
90 spacetime topologies [6], but QIs restrict their macroscopic duration or size.

4.2 Early-Universe Effects

92 During inflation or reheating, transient $\Psi > 0$ regions may:

93   ● Seed localized vacuum dips
94
95   ● Generate gravitational wave bursts
96
97   ● Alter Higgs potential stability bounds [8]
98

5. Phenomenological Prospects

5.1 Collider Signatures

101 Ψ-induced deviations in Higgs self-coupling:

102   **(11)** $\Delta\lambda_h \sim \lambda_\psi \Psi^2 \lesssim 10^{-34}$

103 These effects are far below the sensitivity of current or near-future collider experiments,
104 including the HL-LHC [18].

5.2 Vacuum Engineering Analogy

106 Although Casimir-like effects for the Higgs field are suppressed by $e^{-2m_h a}$, the analogy
107 suggests the Higgs vacuum may respond to high-curvature or BSM boundary conditions [10–
108 12].While direct detection of Higgs-sector Casimir effects is implausible due to the Higgs mass

109 scale, the analogy supports the general idea that vacuum energy can be dynamically modified
110 under special boundary or topological conditions.

6. Conclusion

112 I have presented the *Anti-Higgs Postulate*, a speculative but theoretically consistent framework
113 proposing that under extreme conditions, the Higgs sector may exhibit localized negative energy
114 states characterized by a parameter Ψ. We derived its energy contribution, embedded it in a toy
115 field theory, applied quantum inequality constraints, and explored consequences in
116 semiclassical gravity and early-universe physics.

117 Although these states are likely unobservable at current energies, their theoretical role may be
118 significant in understanding Planck-scale vacuum structure and exotic field-gravity interplay.

References

120 [1] F. Englert and R. Brout, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13**, 321 (1964).
121 [2] P. W. Higgs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13**, 508 (1964).
122 [3] ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, *Phys. Lett. B* **716**, 1 (2012).
123 [4] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, *Am. J. Phys.* **56**, 395 (1988).
124 [5] M. Alcubierre, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **11**, L73 (1994).
125 [6] M. Visser, *Lorentzian Wormholes* (Springer, 1995).
126 [7] S. Kar and N. Dadhich, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 087502 (2001).
127 [8] A. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology* (Harwood, 1990).
128 [9] L. H. Ford and T. A. Roman, *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 4277 (1995).
129 [10] H. B. G. Casimir, *Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet.* **51**, 793 (1948).
130 [11] M. Bordag et al., *Advances in the Casimir Effect* (Oxford Univ. Press, 2009).
131 [12] T. Appelquist and A. Chodos, *Phys. Rev. D* **28**, 772 (1983).
132 [13] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge Univ.
133 Press, 1982).
134 [14] C. J. Fewster, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17**, 1897 (2000).
135 [15] S. Weinberg, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **61**, 1 (1989).
136 [16] Planck Collaboration (N. Aghanim et al.), *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020).
137 [17] Planck Collaboration (Y. Akrami et al.), *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A1 (2020).
138 [18] ATLAS Collaboration, *JHEP* **2023**, 135 (2023).
139 [19] R. S. Decca et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 077101 (2007).
140 [20] Particle Data Group (P.A. Zyla et al.), *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2020**, 083C01 (2020).

141

142