

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-54249

Date: 08/10/2025

Title: Prise en charge du Kyste Hydatique Hépatique rompu dans les voies biliaires

Recommendation:

- ✓ Accept as it is
 Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Dr. S. K. Nath

Date: 09/10/2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication:

The manuscript covers a relevant clinical issue with a reasonable sample size and makes valuable contributions. However, it requires attention to language, clarity, and methodological detail before it can be considered for publication. With appropriate revisions, it has potential to be a useful addition to the literature on hydatid cyst management.

Reviewer's Comment / Report

Strengths:

- Relevance and Significance:** The study addresses an important clinical problem related to hydatid cyst rupture in the liver and subsequent biliary fistula, which remains a common and challenging complication in endemic areas such as Morocco.
- Sample Size and Duration:** The study covers a sizable patient cohort (35 cases over 5 years), providing valuable insight into epidemiology, clinical presentation, management, and outcomes.
- Clear Objectives:** The paper explicitly states its aim to analyze epidemiological, clinical, paraclinical, therapeutic, and evolutive aspects of this complication.
- Use of Established Classifications:** The incorporation of recognized classifications (e.g., Clavien-Dindo) to evaluate postoperative complications enhances the study's credibility.
- Comprehensive Approach:** The paper combines clinical, radiological, surgical, and postoperative data, providing a holistic overview.

Weaknesses:

- Language and Grammar:** There are multiple grammatical and typographical errors throughout the manuscript, which impede clarity (e.g., inconsistent punctuation, awkward phrasing). A careful language editing is necessary.
- Organization and Flow:** The structure could be improved for better readability. The transition between sections is sometimes abrupt, and subheadings can be more clearly delineated.
- Lack of Detailed Methodology:** The methods section lacks specific details about inclusion/exclusion criteria, statistical analysis, and definitions of parameters, which are crucial for reproducibility.
- Limited Discussion:** The discussion section could be expanded to compare results with existing literature more thoroughly and explore implications for practice.
- Figures and Tables:** The references to figures are included but not provided in the excerpts. Ensure that images are of high quality, well-labeled, and referenced correctly within the text.
- References:** Several references are outdated or lack proper formatting. Ensure consistent citation style and update references where possible.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

7. **Data Presentation:** The results section contains percentages and descriptive data, but some key statistical analyses (e.g., significance testing) are missing, which could strengthen the findings.

Recommendations:

- **Language Editing:** Revise the manuscript for grammatical accuracy and clarity.
- **Methodological Details:** Expand on the methods, including inclusion criteria and statistical analysis.
- **Discussion Enhancement:** Deepen the discussion by comparing findings with literature and discussing limitations.
- **Figures and References:** Ensure all figures are of high quality and properly cited, and review references for completeness and correctness.
- **Formatting:** Standardize section headings and improve overall organization.