

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-54338

Date: 14/10/2025

Title: Effect of a Nursing Intervention Model on Upper Limb Function and Quality of Life in Burn Patients

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Dr. S. K. Nath

Date: 15/10/2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication:

The study presents important findings supporting the implementation of nurse-led rehabilitation programs to enhance joint mobility and functional outcomes in burn patients. Its contribution to clinical practice is valuable; however, improvements in methodological clarity, detailed intervention descriptions, and language editing are necessary.

Reviewer's Comment / Report

Strengths:

- The study addresses an important aspect of burn rehabilitation, emphasizing the role of nursing interventions in improving joint function and quality of life.
- The methodology includes comprehensive assessments of joint mobility, muscle strength, and patient-reported outcomes, providing a holistic evaluation.
- Results show statistically significant improvements in upper limb range of motion, muscle strength, and quality of life, which contribute valuable evidence to burn care practices.
- The discussion contextualizes findings within existing literature, highlighting the clinical relevance of nurse-led rehabilitation programs.

Weaknesses:

- The manuscript is relatively dense with technical vocabulary, which might challenge readers less familiar with rehabilitation protocols; clearer explanations or definitions could enhance accessibility.
- Limited information is provided regarding the detailed content of the nursing intervention model—specifically, the specific exercises, frequency, and duration—which would improve reproducibility.
- The control group's intervention details are somewhat vague; elaboration on what constitutes routine care in comparison to the structured intervention is necessary.
- There are minor typographical and grammatical errors scattered throughout the manuscript, such as inconsistent punctuation and some awkward sentence structures that affect readability.
- The sample size and demographic distribution could be more explicitly detailed to evaluate generalizability.
- The figures and tables referenced in the text seem to be missing or not provided clearly, which hampers comprehension of data.

Recommendations for Revision:

- Clarify and detail the components of the nursing intervention model, including specific exercises, their timing, and frequency.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- Provide more explicit descriptions of the routine care received by the control group.
- Correct typographical and grammatical errors (e.g., sentence structures, punctuation) for better clarity.
- Include all referenced tables and figures with appropriate labels to improve data interpretation.
- Expand on the demographic data, including sample size rationale and characteristics, to enhance the study's context.
- Consider simplifying technical language where possible for broader readership.