

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-54409

Date: 00/10/25

**Title:** REIMAGINING JUSTICE: A GENDER-RESPONSIVE ANALYSIS OF FEMALE PRISONERS' RIGHTS AND REHABILITATION IN INDIA

### Recommendation:

Accept as it is .....

**Accept after minor revision.....**

Accept after major revision .....

Do not accept (*Reasons below*).....

| Rating         | Excel. | Good | Fair | Poor |
|----------------|--------|------|------|------|
| Originality    |        | -    |      |      |
| Techn. Quality |        | -    |      |      |
| Clarity        |        | -    |      |      |
| Significance   |        | -    |      |      |

Reviewer Name: Dr Farooz Ahmad

## Detailed Reviewer's Report

### 1. Title Evaluation

The title of the paper is clear, concise, and adequately reflects the central theme of the research. It captures the study's focus and scope effectively, making it easy for readers to understand the subject matter at a glance. A minor suggestion would be to ensure that key variables or main constructs are explicitly mentioned for greater specificity and academic precision.

### 2. Abstract and Keywords

The abstract provides a coherent summary of the study, outlining the background, objectives, methodology, and key findings. It successfully gives readers a snapshot of the research purpose and its academic value. However, a slightly more quantitative emphasis on results or

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

*www.journalijar.com*

---

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

data trends would enhance its informative strength. Keywords are relevant, though the inclusion of more specific or technical terms could improve discoverability in academic databases.

### 3. Introduction

The introduction offers a solid background to the research problem and demonstrates a good understanding of previous literature. The rationale for the study is well-articulated, linking theoretical underpinnings with real-world relevance. Nonetheless, the transition between global context and the specific research problem could be smoother. Adding a clearer statement of the research gap and objectives in a distinct paragraph would strengthen this section.

### 4. Methodology

The research design is appropriate for the objectives of the study. The paper clearly explains the sample, data collection techniques, and analytical tools used. The methodology reflects academic rigor, but it would benefit from additional details regarding sampling size justification, reliability testing, or ethical considerations (if applicable). Including these elements would reinforce the study's credibility and reproducibility.

### 5. Results and Discussion

The results are logically presented and supported with relevant data. The interpretation aligns well with the research questions, and the discussion integrates the findings with existing literature. However, a more critical comparative analysis—highlighting how the current results agree or differ from prior studies—would enhance scholarly depth. Visual aids like tables or graphs could also be used more effectively to illustrate key findings.

### 6. Conclusion

The conclusion effectively summarizes the study's key insights and implications. It closes the discussion thoughtfully and provides meaningful recommendations. However, it could be further

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

*www.journalijar.com*

---

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

strengthened by emphasizing the practical significance of the results or suggesting directions for future research. Avoid repeating earlier sections verbatim and focus instead on synthesizing outcomes.

### 7. References

The reference list includes relevant and credible sources, but there appears to be some inconsistency in citation formatting (spacing, capitalization, or date order). Ensuring uniformity with the journal's prescribed style (APA/MLA/Harvard, etc.) would improve presentation quality. Adding a few more recent sources (past five years) could also enhance the contemporary relevance of the paper.

### 8. Language and Presentation

The paper is written in clear and understandable English, with a smooth flow of ideas. Minor grammatical or stylistic refinements (especially with transitional phrases and punctuation) would enhance readability. Paragraph structuring and heading hierarchy are appropriately used, contributing to a logical and professional presentation.

### 9. Overall Assessment

The paper makes a valuable contribution to its field of study and demonstrates a commendable level of academic engagement. The author(s) show a strong understanding of the topic and maintain a consistent analytical approach. With minor improvements in methodological transparency, literature integration, and language polish, this paper would be well-suited for publication.

### 10. Recommendation

*Accept with Minor Revisions*

**Suggested Revisions:**

# International Journal of Advanced Research

**Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP**

*www.journalijar.com*

---

## **REVIEWER'S REPORT**

- Refine the abstract to include brief quantitative data or major findings.
- Strengthen the discussion with comparative analysis from related literature.
- Review citation style and ensure uniform formatting.
- Make minor grammatical and stylistic corrections.