

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-54427

Date: 20/10/2025

Title: Quelques aspects psychopathologiques de l'adoption

Recommendation:

- ✓ Accept as it is
- Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr. S. K. Nath

Date: 21/10/2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication:

The paper offers valuable clinical and legal insights into the psychopathology of adoption, especially within the Moroccan context. It is well-supported by case studies and current literature but needs better structural organization and language clarity. Addressing these issues will significantly improve readability and scholarly impact.

Reviewer's Comment / Report

Strengths:

- **Comprehensive Coverage:** The paper thoroughly discusses various dimensions of adoption, including legal, psychological, and social aspects, supported by clinical vignettes and references.
- **Clinical Relevance:** Incorporating detailed case studies offers practical insights that can aid clinicians and researchers in understanding complex emotional and behavioral responses in adopted children.
- **Cross-contextual Analysis:** Comparing the legal frameworks of France and Morocco enhances the understanding of how different cultural and legal contexts influence the psychological outcomes of adopted children.
- **Use of Updated Literature:** Including recent references up to 2023 indicates that the author has integrated current research and legal changes into the discussion.

Weaknesses:

- **Structural Clarity:** The organization of the paper can be improved for better coherence. For instance, the transition between legal aspects and clinical cases appears abrupt at times.
- **Language and Grammar:** Minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasing are present, likely due to translation or language issues, which hinder fluid readability. For example, inconsistent verb tenses and some typographical mistakes.
- **Methodological Details:** The paper would benefit from a clearer description of the methodology used to select and analyze case studies. Are these from a particular clinical setting? How were the cases chosen?
- **Limited Theoretical Framework:** While clinical vignettes are insightful, integrating a stronger theoretical framework on attachment theory or trauma would deepen the analysis.
- **References and Citations:** Consistency in citation style and a complete reference list at the end would enhance academic rigor.

Recommendations for Revision:

- Improve the logical flow of sections, ensuring smooth transitions between legal, social, and clinical discussions.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- Correct typographical and grammatical errors throughout the manuscript.
- Clarify the methodology for case study selection and analysis.
- Incorporate a more explicit theoretical framework to contextualize clinical findings.
- Standardize reference formatting and include a comprehensive bibliography.