ISSN: 2320-5407



# International Journal of Advanced Research

### Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

#### REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-**54492** Date: 24/10/2025

**Title:** Empowering Digital Citizenship in Adult Education: A Pedagogical Approach Based on BNCC's EM13CO08 and the Film "The Circle"

Recommendation:	Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Accept as it is	Originality				
Naccept after minor revision	Techn. Quality		V		
	Clarity			V	
	Significance		V		

Reviewer Name: **Dr. Anam Zehra Date:** 24/10/2025

#### Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

This practice report describes an EJA (adult high school) intervention using an interactive business simulation and the film "The Circle" to develop BNCC EM13CO08 competencies around privacy, ethics, and the social impacts of computing. The design is relevant, well-scaffolded, and replicable for adult learners; modest additions in methodological transparency and assessment reporting will enhance its contribution.

**Recommendation:** Accept after minor revision.

## Detailed Reviewer's Report

#### **STRENGTHS**

- 1. Strong curricular alignment: Explicitly maps activities to BNCC EM13CO08 and 21st-century skills.
- 2. Engaging pedagogy: Blends film analysis with a team-based simulation, reflection, and presentation.
- 3. Clear resource plan: Specifies devices, handouts, screening, and online exploration needed for replication.
- 4. Mixed assessment: Uses pre/post checks with reflective writing and observation for formative evaluation.
- 5. Practical transfer: Surfaces workplace-relevant ethics, data protection, and decision-making scenarios for adult learners.

ISSN: 2320-5407

## International Journal of Advanced Research

### Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

#### **REVIEWER'S REPORT**

#### WEAKNESSES

- 1. Methods transparency: Add participant details (N, age range), recruitment, duration, and session structure.
- 2. Assessment reporting: Provide concrete pre/post results (items, scores, effect sizes) and exemplar rubric criteria.
- 3. Fidelity and bias: Note steps to mitigate facilitator bias and ensure consistent mentorship/feedback across teams.
- 4. Limitations: Discuss generalizability beyond one institute and constraints (devices, connectivity).
- 5. Formatting/language: Standardize headings, clean minor typos, and add a brief logic-model figure for quick reference.