Still on Trial: Reading Kafka s Modernity a Century later

by Jana Publication & Research

Submission date: 12-Nov-2025 09:19AM (UTC+0200)

Submission ID: 2769517103

File name: IJAR-54736.pdf (294.85K)

Word count: 3288

Character count: 18806

Still on Trial: Reading Kafka's Modernity a Century la	Still on	Trial:	Reading	Kafka's	Modernity	v a	Century	late
--	----------	--------	---------	---------	-----------	-----	---------	------

2	
3	

П

ı

Abstract

The year 2025 marks the centenary of the posthumous publication of Franz Kafka's The Trial (1925), a timeless work that continues to illuminate the persistent crises of law, authority and human freedom. A hundred years after its appearance, Kafka's vision of an individual caught up in a labyrinthian bureaucratic machinery remains a haunting metaphor for modern condition. The work invites renewed academic scrutiny in an era defined by digital surveillance, algorithmic control and bureaucratic opacity. This paper re-examines the ideological foundations of The Trial and the nuances of power and authority through the intersecting frameworks of Michael Foucault's disciplinary power and Giorgio Agamben's sovereign exception. The paper also locates the novel within a broader philosophic discourse on the alienation and dehumanization inherent in the modern bureaucratic system.

 The Trial is a profound meditation on the penal experience of a modern subject entrapped within the machinery of law and bureaucracy through which authority reproduces itself. The court, omnipresent and elusive at the same time, functions as a dehumanizing bureaucratic weapon that operates intricately to make individuals perpetually trapped and alienated. Ultimately, the novel emerges as a prophetic allegory of contemporary forms of governance and its administrative logic that reduces life to a condition of perpetual accusation and deferred justice. By placing the novel within the broader philosophical discussions on legality, biopolitics and bureaucratic rationality, this study explores the deadly potential of modern institutions that continue to discipline, and define modern subjects. Commemorating this novel on its centenary becomes a meditative engagement with its prophetic visions on crisis of modernity.

Key Words

Kafkaesque, labyrinth, interpellation, necropolitics, biopolitics, precarity, bare life, death
 zones, algorithmic governance, ideological apparatus, surveillance, panopticon, modernism,
 post-structuralism, psychoanalysis, post-modernism, existentialism, surrealism

The year 2025 marks the centenary of Franz Kafka's The Trial-a haunting literary masterpiece that continues to shapeland scapes of literature, law, and philosophy while echoing the anxieties and absurdities of modern existence. Shrouded in enigma yet timeless in its resonance, Kafka's workhas

ceaselessly inspired successive waves of critical reflections across the decades. The "Kafkaesque" - evoking surreal dread, existential guilt, entrapment and alienation within bureaucratic labyrinths – remains deeply inscribed in modern imagination and the global cultural lexicon. Once emblematic of literary modernism, these motifs acquirer enewed urgency in today's climate of surveillance, opacity and judicial uncertainty. The Trial, as the paramount embodiment of the Kafkaesque, continues to invite boundless theoretical engagement within contemporary academia.

Within this centenary reflection, *The Trial* universe of alienated subjects, impenetrable institutions and dreamlike distortions, exposing the absurdity of existence, the elusiveness of truth, and the crushing opacity of power. Through its fractured architecture—marked by sudden dislocations, recursive repetitions, and deliberate open-endedness—the novel creates a haunting atmosphere of mystery and irresolution. The narrative traces the ordeal of Joseph K, a bank official inexplicably arrested and prosecuted by a shadowy court that never specifies his crime. This sparce narrative unfolds throughencounters with clerks, warders, judges, lawyers, painters and other seeminglymarginal figures, allentangled within the tentacles of the law, revealing the pervasive menace and dehumanizing logic of bureaucracy. Caught in this machinery of bureaucracy, the protagonist is graduallystripped of agency, identity, and humanconnection, reduced to a state of existential paralysis that culminates in his execution his execution and the most chillingly refined and enduring expressions of the Kafkaesque.

Set against aworldpropelled by accusation, doubt and pervasive uncertainty, The Trialunfolds with the fractured rhythm of an anarchic ordeal governed by a logic that defies comprehension. Thecity shadowed by an unseen authority and inhabited by figures whose fragileidentities depend upontheir proximity to the court, becomes a vast nightmarish trap. As Rolf J. Goebel notes, 'The tribulations of K., revolve around the clash between the inaccessible court's unspecified accusation and K.'s insistence on his own innocence.... The novel stands clearly within the tradition of modernist narratives, where urban space supplies the location for the disappearance of the alienated individual in the lonely world' (42). Amid this oppressive order, K.- his very name reduced to a stark initial "K."- appears as a hollow mechanism within a system of impersonalfunctionality. Caught in perpetual suspension, he is neither condemned nor absolvedbut held in an interminable limbo, haunted by the inscrutable operations of power.The court, dispersed across attics and make-shift offices, epitomize the banality and omnipresence of bureaucratic control. Beneath this bureaucratic mayhem lies the spectral memory of social tyranny and moral corruption that haunted Kafka's homeland, the Republic of Czechoslovakia along with the psychic wounds of his own alienation and paternal domination. Yet, beyond its historical and biographicalechoes, the novel asserts itself as a defining parable of modernity, embodyinganunsettling vision of existence adrift in a godless order where logic collapses and justice

dissolves into enigma.K.'sfutile strugglesagainst the labyrinthinelawmirrors the absurdity of Sisyphus's eternal task, affirming the existential truth that to exist is to bear guilt. His quiet, humiliating death, "like a dog" culminates this vision of the modern subject crushed beneath the absurd machinery of power.

Modernism in art arose from a profound skepticism towards Enlightenment'sfaithinreason, progress, and logic. What had once promised emancipation now appeared complicit in new forms of domination. The advent of modernity ruptured the coherence of traditional life - its stable values, communal bonds, and familiar landscapes - replacing them with the alienation of urban existence and the inhuman logic of bureaucratic systems. As observed by Max Weber, 'The bureaucratic order develops the more perfectly, the more it is dehumanized, the more completely it succeeds in eliminating from official business love, hatred, and all purely personal, irrational and emotional elements' (975) InThe Trial, Kafkaexposes the collapse of Enlightenmentrationality, revealing a world governed by opaque authority and inscrutable laws. The novel enacts a profound epistemological break from the rationalist paradigmof cause and effect, exposing how ideals of autonomy and liberation devolve into mechanisms of surveillance and control. As Adorno and Horkheimer later theorized in The Dialectic of Enlightenment, reason is transformed into an instrument of domination, reduced to a means of controlrather than a pursuit of truth. Kafka's bureaucratic world, modeled on early twentiethcentury Austro-Hungarian administration, stands as an allegory of modernity's disillusionment: the pursuit of clarity and order gives way to dehumanization and existential paralysis, where the promise of freedom collapses into its own oppressive shadow.

The cold and impersonal logic of modern bureaucracy culminates in K.'s execution,an act stripped of emotion, morality, or rationale, performed solely to sustain the system's procedural rhythm. Kafka transforms this moment into a ritual of bureaucratic power, where responsibility is endlessly deferred and human life rendered expendable. K.'s fate thus transcends personal tragedy, becoming an allegory of existence within a rationalized order where obedience replaces conscience and regulation supplants freedom. Beyond its existential and absurdist resonances, *The Trial*endures as a consummate modernist work: itsfractured structure, pared-down prose, and pervasive detachment formally mirror the dissonance and alienation of modern life. Through its fusion of theme and technique, Kafka's novel captures the essence of modernist condition- a world stripped of certainty, governed by opacity, and haunted by the search for meaning amid the ruins of reason.

Recent scholarship on *The Trial* moves beyond the familiar modernist-existentialist paradigm, openingnew interpretative horizons through postmodernism, poststructuralism, psychoanalysisand critical social theory. In a Foucauldian light, K.'s ordeal – his arrest and persecution without disclosure of any crime- mirrors the diffuse and invisible mechanisms of modern power. As in the carceral societies Foucault describes, control here operates not through overt coercion but through the internalization of

disciplinary norms. Power functions by seduction and habituation, compelling subjects to become agents of their own subjection. As Foucault observes power does not impose itself by physical coercion, rather it relies on being voluntarily assumed byits subjects, who, seduced by it, addicted to it, internalize the requirements for maintaining its hold (202). The court's omnipresence – its offices scattered across attics and tenements, as Titorellireveals – dissolves the distinction between public and private space, transforming the city itself into a bureaucratic panopticon. Law becomes theology displaced into administration: divine surveillance secularized intothe routines of paperwork and procedure. K.'s insistence on indifference to the court only deepens his entanglement in its logic, revealing how modem power perpetuates itself through complicity rather than violence. In this sense, *The Trial* exemplifies Foucault's power-knowledge nexus, where visibility and normalization replace punishment, and the subject – Joseph K.- is endlessly produced, and disciplined within an omnipresent bureaucratic gaze.

 $\Pi\Pi$

The Foucauldian paradigm, though illuminating in its account of disciplinary power, no longer exhausts the complexity of Kafka's juridical universe. Recent scholarshiphas increasingly problematized this framework, suggesting that Kafka's vision of law exceeds the analytics of discipline and gestures toward a more diffused and paradoxical sovereignty. In *The Trial*, power does not merely circulate through surveillance or normalization; it assumes an almost sacred opacity, a logic of domination inseparable from transcendence. As Walter Benjamin observed, Kafka's world is 'a code of gestures which has no goal' (129), exposing not a disciplinary failure but an ontological void at the heart of legality itself. Giorgio Agamben later extends this insight, arguing that in Kafka, 'the state of exception' is no longer a temporary suspension of order but the very structure through which the law maintains itself(45). The court's authority, then emanates not from institutional machinery but from an invisible and self-perpetuating sovereignty that conjoins the theological, the judicial, and the bureaucratic. In this displacement from carceral rationality to metaphysical absence, Kafka reimagines power as a haunting force- one that governs not through the presence of law but through its ungraspable and inscrutable persistence.

If Foucault's vision of law exposes the metaphysical void at the heart of power, it also invites a reconsideration of how subjectivity itself is constituted within such regimes. Both Foucault and his mentor Althusser conceive of subject not as an autonomous self but as a product of structural determination- be it disciplinary or ideological. For Althusser, ideology 'interpellates individuals as subjects' (174), reducing consciousness to a function of the ideological apparatus. Foucault, similarly, situates subject formation within the diffuse operations of discourse, surveillance, and normalization, insisting that the individual is not external to power but one of its primary effects. Yet it is precisely this structural closure that Psychoanalytic theorists have sought to disrupt. From a Lacanian perspective, subjectivity is not fully produced by power but emerges through an internal division- constituted by the

lack inscribed in language itself. As Lacan observes, 'the unconscious is structured like a language' (164), but it is the language of the Other, implying that the subject is perpetually alienated from the source of its own meaning. Judith Butler expands this critique by arguing that subjection is never a finished inscription within discourse; rather, it is a performative process marked by ambivalence, repetition, and the possibility of resistance (9). Zizek radicalizes this point, suggesting that ideology does not simply hail subjects but structures the very horizon in which they can respond (43). Psychoanalysis thus reintroduces desire, fantasy, and the unconscious into the field of power, exposing the instability of the subject that Foucault's model tends to efface. In contrast to the disciplinary subject who is wholly produced by discourse, the psychoanalytic subject remans haunted by an irreducible remainder- an excess that both escapes and sustains power.

Seen through this psychoanalytic lens, The Trial becomes less a narrative of external coercion than of internal division- a drama of subjectivity caught between the demand of the law and the impossibility of fulfilling it. Joseph K's relentless quest to understand his accusation mirrors the Lacanian pursuit of the 'Name-of-the-Father' (288), the symbolic authority that structures meaning but forever withholds satisfaction. The law in Kafka functions not as a visible disciplinary institution but as the Other's discourse- an opaque and unlocatable force that speaks through empty procedures and deferred judgements. K.'s repeated attempts to locate the court, the officials, and the origin of his guilt dramatizes the subject's futile search for coherence within a structure that guarantees its very lack. In this sense, Kafka's law embodies what Zizek describes as 'the obscene underside of the symbolic order' (Thacker 15), where authority persists not through rational legitimacy but through the compulsive reiteration of its own enigma. Butler's notion of subjection as ambivalent performance also resonates here: K.'s compliance and protest are inseparable, his resistance already inscribed within the script of his submission. The novel thus enacts the psychoanalytic truth that the subject's relation to power is never external or transparent but profoundly libidinal- a relation sustained by desire, anxiety, and the impossibility of closure. Through this, The Trial transforms the Foucauldian figure of the disciplined subject into a psychoanalytic one-fractured, desiring, and perpetually deferred within the labyrinth of the law's unspoken command.

In the final analysis, Kafka's *The Trial* resists confinement within any single theoretical apparatus- whether the Foucauldian, the Agambenian, or the Lacanian. What the novel exposes is the intersection where these regimes of thought converge and unravel: the point at which power becomes indistinguishable from desire and law, from language itself. Foucault's disciplinary mechanisms, Agamben's sovereign exception, and Lacanian symbolic order each seek to articulate the structures that hold the subject captive; yet Kafka's narrative reveals that such structures are sustained as much by absence as by presence. The law in *The Trial* is neither the visible machinery of surveillance nor the

theological remnant of divine command- it is a void that compels obedience precisely through its unintelligibility. In this sense, Kafka anticipates the postmodern understanding of power as a dispersed and self-replicating system, one that operates through the very impossibility of transcendence. The subject, like K. remains suspended between subjection and resistance. Between the call of the law and the silence that follows it. In articulating this paradox, Kafka does not simply dramatize the crisis of modernity; he writes its ontology- an ontology in which power, language, and desire form the endlessly recursive trial of being itself.

When viewed through Achille Mbembe's theory of necropolitics, Kafka's *The Trial* emerges as an uncannily prophetic exploration of modern systems of power that determine not merely how life is managed, but whose lives are rendered expendable. Building upon Foucault's notion of biopolitics, Mbembe argues that sovereignty is most decisively expressed through the capacity to dictate death- to decide who may live and who must die (11). Within this framework, the court in *The Trial* functions as a necropolitical apparatus that reduces individuals to objects of procedure rather than agents of resistance. Joseph K. experiences what may be called social and existential death long before his execution; he becomes a living corpse within a bureaucratic order that annihilates subjectivity through administrative ritual. The violence here is not spectacular but banal- embedded in the impersonal mechanisms of paperwork, hearings, and endless deferrals. Necropolitics in this sense manifests not only through welfare or enslavement but through the silent violence of institutions that transform human beings into 'bare life,' deprived of agency, recognition, or recourse. Kafka's labyrinthine court thus anticipates the 'death worlds' Mbembe describes – zones of abandonment where law is suspended, rights evaporate, and individuals persist in a liminal state between life and death.

In this light *The Trial* transcends its early twentieth-century context to speak directly to the moral and political crises of the present. Its portrayal of bureaucratic domination and invisible sovereignty mirrors the structures of contemporary governance, where the power operates through systems of rather than sovereign figures, and where human worth is continually negotiated within regimes of compliance, surveillance, and exclusion. The novel exposes the mechanisms by which modern institutions administer symbolic death- stripping individuals of voice and dignity under the guise of legality. In our age of global precarity, migration, and algorithmic governance, Kafka's vision acquires renewed urgency: it illuminates the lives of those who exist at borders of social protection, those rendered invisible by administrative indifference. Written while Kafka himself served as an insurance official, *The Trial* foresees the rise of the modern death machine- legal, bureaucratic, and procedural- that would later define totalitarian regimes and still shadows contemporary democracies. To read *The Trial* today therefore is to confront the persistence of necropolitical power in our own time, and

202 to recognize in K.'s fate the quiet catastrophe of countless lives caught in the machinery of law without 203 justice. 204 References 205 Agamben, Giorgio. State of Exception. Translated by Kevin Attell, University of Chicago Press, 2005. 206 Althusser, Louis. "Ideology and Ideological State Apparatus (Notes Towards an Investigation)." Lenin 207 and Philosophy and Other Essays, Translated by Ben Brewster, Monthly Review Press, 1971, pp. 127-186. 208 ---. "The Significance of the Phallus." Ecritis: A Selection, Translated by Alan Sheridan, W.W. 209 210 Norton,1977, pp.281-291. 211 Benjamin, Walter. "Franz Kafka: On the Tenth Anniversary of His Death." Illuminations, edited by Hannah Arendt, translated by Harry Zohn, Schocken Books, 1966, pp.116-140. 212 213 Butler, Judith. The Psychic Life of Power: Theories in Subjection. Stanford University Press,1997. Foucault, Michael. Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison. Translated by Alan Sheridan, 214 215 Vintage Books, 1995. Goebel, Rolf J. "The exploration of the Modern City in The Trial," The Cambridge Companion to 216 217 Kafka, edited by Julian Preece, Cambridge UP,2002,p.42. Kafka, Franz. The Trial. Translated by Breon Mitchell, Schocken Books, 1998. 218 219 Lacan, Jacques. "The Instance of the Letter in the Unconscious, or Reason Since Freud." Ecritis: A 220 Selection, translated by Alan Sheridan, W.W.Norton, 1977,pp.146-178. 221 Mbembe, Achille. "Necropolitics." Public Culture, vol.15, no., winter 2003, pp.11-40. Thacker, Rebecca L. "Kafka's The Trial, Psychoanalysis and the Administered Society." 222 223 International Journal of Zizek Studies, vol.12,no.2,2018,https://doi/10.2478/ijzs-2018-0029. 224 Weber, Max. Economy and Society: An Outline of Interpretative Sociology. Edited by Guenther Roth 225 and Claus Wittich, translated by Ephraim Fischoff et al., University of California Press, 1978. Zizek, Slavoj. The Sublime Object of Ideology. Verso, 1981. 226 227 228 229

230

I	Declaration of Originality
2	I hereby declare that this paper is my own original work and that all sources and references
3	used have been properly cited. I affirm that this work is free from plagiarism and has not been
;	copied, in whole or in part, from any other source without proper acknowledgement.
	Dr. Smitha K
	EER REVIEW

Still on Trial: Reading Kafka s Modernity a Century later

ORIGINA	LITY REPORT			
4 ₉ SIMILAR	6 RITY INDEX	2% INTERNET SOURCES	3% PUBLICATIONS	4% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY	SOURCES			
1	Student Pape	ed to University	of Greenwich	2%
2	Submitt Student Pape	ced to Middle Eas	st Technical Uเ	niversity 1 %
3	WWW.Sa	gepub.com		1 %
4		ary of Untransla GmbH, 2014	atables", Walte	r de <1 %

Exclude quotes On
Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches

Off