

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-54754

Title: Ramsay Hunt Syndrome: A Case Report

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Date: 11.11.25

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strengths:

- Clear Case Description:** The case is well-characterized with detailed clinical presentation, including neurological, audiological, and vestibular findings.
- Timely and Relevant Topic:** Ramsay Hunt syndrome remains a significant clinical challenge, especially in immunocompromised patients, making the case report highly pertinent.
- Inclusion of Diagnostic Details:** The use of videonystagmography, vHIT, and vestibular stimulation data strengthens the report by providing objective assessments of vestibular involvement.
- Ethical Considerations:** The paper mentions obtaining written informed consent from the patient, reflecting adherence to ethical standards.
- Comprehensive Literature Integration:** The discussion contextualizes the case within current knowledge, mentioning prognostic factors and treatment outcomes, with appropriate references.

Weaknesses & Areas for Improvement:

- Formatting and Typographical Issues:** Several line breaks and spacing issues interrupt the flow (e.g., pages 1–2), which could be corrected for a smoother reading experience. There are minor typographical inconsistencies, such as inconsistent use of abbreviations (e.g., "VZV" for varicella-zoster virus is introduced but not consistently used throughout).
- Clarity and Language:** Some sentences are lengthy and could be optimized for clarity. For example, consider breaking complex sentences into simpler ones for better readability. Minor grammatical improvements are needed, such as verb tense consistency and punctuation.
- Methodological Details:** The description of treatment protocols, including dosage and duration of antivirals and steroids, is somewhat sparse. Additional details would benefit readers aiming for replication or comparison. Follow-up duration and further treatment plan specifics should be elaborated.
- Discussion and Conclusion:** The discussion appropriately highlights the importance of early treatment but could further explore potential reasons for poor facial recovery, especially considering the patient's diabetic status. A brief discussion on potential alternative therapies or rehabilitation options for residual deficits would be valuable.
- Ethical and Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Although the ethical statement mentions informed consent, it would be advantageous to specify approval from an institutional review board (IRB) or ethics committee if applicable. The conflict of interest statement is clear, but a declaration regarding funding sources, if any, should also be included.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

6. **References:** The references are relevant, but ensure that citation formatting is consistent according to journal guidelines.

Specific Instructions for the Author:

1. Correct typographical and formatting inconsistencies, ensuring uniform spacing and paragraph structure.
2. Review and improve sentence clarity and grammatical accuracy throughout the manuscript.
3. Expand on the treatment details, including medication dosages, durations, and follow-up interventions.
4. Clarify the ethical approval process, specifying whether IRB approval was obtained.
5. Include a statement about funding sources, if applicable.
6. Consider discussing rehabilitation or management strategies for residual deficits.
7. Ensure all references follow the journal's citation style precisely.