ISSN: 2320-5407



International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-54777

Title: Development of an Illustrated Storybook for Sexual Abuse Education Among Primary School Children

Recommendation:	Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Accept as it is	Originality				
√Accept after minor revision	Techn. Quality		V		
Accept after major revision			,	. 1	
Do not accept (Reasons below)	Clarity			ν	
20 not accept (newsons below)	Significance				_

Reviewer Name: Dr. Anam Zehra

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

A relevant, well-structured, and pedagogically grounded study that develops an illustrated storybook to support sexual-abuse education for primary school children. The DBR model is applied systematically and validation scores strongly support product feasibility and practicality. With improvements in language, formatting, and structural clarity, the manuscript is suitable for publication.

Recommendation: Accept after minor revision.

Detailed Reviewer's Report

STRENGTHS

- 1. Addresses a critical child-protection concern through a culturally sensitive instructional medium.
- 2. Applies the DBR approach with clear stages of analysis, design, testing, and refinement.
- 3. Achieves high expert validation scores indicating strong feasibility of the developed product.
- 4. Student and teacher responses demonstrate excellent practicality and ease of classroom use.
- 5. Uses polite illustrations and simple language appropriate for primary-level learners.

WEAKNESSES

- 1. Several grammatical and structural inconsistencies reduce the overall clarity of the manuscript.
- 2. The introduction contains repetitive explanations that weaken narrative flow.
- 3. Overlapping methodological descriptions across stages require cleaner organization.

ISSN: 2320-5407

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- 4. Formatting of headings, numbering, and layout is inconsistent with journal standards.
- 5. Discussion needs a deeper analytical connection to theoretical frameworks.