

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-54917

**Title: A Rare Post-Gastrectomy Complication: Efferent Loop Volvulus**

### Recommendation:

- Accept as it is .....  
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....  
 Accept after major revision .....  
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity			✓	
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

**Date:** 22.11.25

### *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

#### Strengths of the Paper

- **Clear Presentation of a Rare Clinical Case:** The paper effectively describes a unique case of efferent loop volvulus following gastrectomy, contributing valuable clinical insights into a rare complication.
- **Comprehensive Literature Review:** The authors provide a thorough review of related cases and existing literature on efferent loop syndrome, contextualizing their findings within current knowledge.
- **Detailed Surgical Management Description:** The surgical approach and intraoperative findings are well-documented, which can be useful for surgeons encountering similar cases.
- **Emphasis on Clinical and Diagnostic Significance:** The paper highlights the importance of considering ELS in differential diagnoses and emphasizes the role of imaging in diagnosis, which enhances its clinical relevance.

#### Weaknesses of the Paper

- **Limited Sample Size (Single Case Report):** The report discusses only a single case; while detailed, the findings are not generalizable.
- **Insufficient Discussion on Non-Surgical Options:** The paper briefly mentions endoscopic or conservative management but does not explore these options in depth or provide criteria for their selection.
- **Lack of Long-Term Follow-up Data:** There is no information regarding long-term outcomes or potential recurrence after surgery.
- **Limited Visual Aids:** Although intraoperative figures are included, additional imaging or diagrams explaining the volvulus mechanism could improve understanding.
- **Inconsistent Use of Medical Terminology:** Some terms and abbreviations are used without prior explanation, which might hinder comprehension for a broader audience.

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

[www.journalijar.com](http://www.journalijar.com)

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

### Reviewer Comments

- **Ethical Clearance Status:** The paper does not mention whether ethical approval or patient consent was obtained, which is important for case reports involving human subjects.
- **Methodology Issues:** As a case report, the methodology is primarily descriptive. However, a more detailed explanation of diagnostic procedures, imaging protocols, and criteria for surgical decision-making would strengthen the report.
- **Typographical Mistakes:** There are minor typographical issues, such as inconsistent spacing and occasional sentence fragment usage, which could be polished.
- **Grammar and Language Quality:** The overall language quality is good; however, some sentences could benefit from editorial refinement for clarity and flow.
- **Formatting Issues:** Title and headings are appropriately used, but figure legends could be more descriptive. Uniformity in font size and style should be checked.
- **Clarity of Objectives, Results, and Conclusions:** Objectives are implied but not explicitly stated. Results and conclusions are communicated clearly, but an explicit statement of study aims at the beginning would be helpful.
- **Adequacy of References:** References appear relevant and up-to-date. Nonetheless, ensuring consistent formatting and complete citation details would improve professionalism.
- **Missing or Incomplete Information:** Details on postoperative management, long-term follow-up, and patient consent are lacking and should be included for completeness.