

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-54927

**Title:** IMPACT OF COW DUNG ADDITION ON THE THERMAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF NÂ' DJAMENA CLAY

**Recommendation:**

Accept after major revision .....

| Rating         | Excel. | Good | Fair | Poor |
|----------------|--------|------|------|------|
| Originality    |        | ✓    |      |      |
| Techn. Quality |        |      | ✓    |      |
| Clarity        |        |      | ✓    |      |
| Significance   |        |      | ✓    |      |

Reviewer Name: Dr.K.Arumuganainar

**Date:** 26.11.2025

### *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

## DETAILED REVIEW REPORT

**Manuscript Title:** *Impact of Cow Dung Addition on the Thermal and Mechanical Properties of N'Djamena Clay*

**Journal:** IJAR (as per manuscript)

**Type:** Research Article

**Overall Recommendation:** *Major Revision Required*

---

### 1. GENERAL ASSESSMENT

The manuscript explores the influence of cow dung as a bio-based stabilizer on the thermal and mechanical properties of raw earth bricks. The topic is relevant, especially for sustainable, low-cost construction materials in Sahelian regions. The study is experimentally grounded and employs recognized methods such as hot-plate thermal conductivity measurement, flash diffusivity testing, and compressive strength evaluation.

However, the paper requires **major structural, methodological, and editorial improvements** to meet the standards of a high-quality journal publication. Certain sections lack clarity, key numerical data are missing, figures lack captions/details, and the discussion does not deeply compare results with the cited literature.

---

### 2. STRENGTHS OF THE MANUSCRIPT

1. **Relevant Topic:** Sustainable and low-carbon construction materials are globally important, aligning with SDG 9 and SDG 11.
2. **Use of Local Resources:** The study emphasizes local clay and cow dung—important for affordable housing in Chad.
3. **Established Methods:**
  - Hot plate technique for thermal conductivity.
  - Flash method using Degiovanni's model.
  - Standard compressive strength testing.
4. **Clear Trend in Results:**

- Thermal properties improve with cow dung addition.
  - Mechanical strength increases significantly.
5. **Potential Practical Impact:** Provides an optimum (10%) stabilizer content for local construction industries.
- 

### **3. MAJOR COMMENTS (Need Revision)**

#### **3.1 Abstract**

- The abstract is incomplete and contains placeholder text (“.....”).
- Quantitative results (values of diffusivity, strength improvements, etc.) are mentioned only partially.
- Needs restructuring into:
  - Background
  - Methods
  - Results
  - Conclusion

#### **3.2 Research Gap Not Clearly Stated**

While the introduction cites relevant studies, it does not clearly identify:

- What has not been studied in previous research.
- Why cow dung’s impact on N’Djamena clay specifically is significant.

#### **3.3 Missing Experimental Details**

Several essential details are missing:

##### **a) Material Characterization**

- No information on clay composition (Atterberg limits, mineralogy).
- No moisture content or density of cow dung.
- No preparation procedure (mixing, drying time, curing conditions).

## **b) Thermal Experiments**

- Dimensions, boundary conditions, environmental conditions not fully defined.
- No uncertainty analysis or calibration details.

## **c) Mechanical Tests**

- Number of specimens per batch unknown.
- Loading rate not mentioned.
- Standard followed (ASTM/ISO) unclear.

## **3.4 Figures Need Improvement**

- Many figures in the provided PDF are **schematics only** without clear numbering or captions.
- Some figures (like thermograms) are mentioned but not shown in high clarity.
- Axes labels and units are missing or too small.

## **3.5 Results Section Requires Numerical Tables**

Only graphs are provided. The reviewers/journal requires:

- Numerical values of  $\lambda$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $R_c$  in tabular form.
- Standard deviation for each measurement.

## **3.6 Discussion is Too Brief**

Although references are cited, the authors do not:

- Compare numerical results with prior studies.
- Explain the mechanism of improvement quantitatively.
- Discuss limitations (e.g., durability, moisture effects).

## **3.7 English Language and Grammar**

The manuscript requires extensive language editing:

- Verb tense inconsistencies

- Long, unclear sentences
- Repeated phrases
- Incorrect punctuation

### 3.8 Conclusion Needs Better Structure

The conclusion only restates results. It should also include:

- Implications
  - Limitations
  - Future work recommendations
- 

## 4. MINOR COMMENTS

1. Keywords should be alphabetically arranged.
  2. Units must follow SI standards (e.g.,  $\text{m}^2\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ).
  3. Space and formatting consistency needed (extra lines, misaligned text).
  4. References should be updated—most citations are old (1990–2015).
  5. Figures should include numbering such as “Figure 1: ...”, “Figure 2: ...”.
- 

## 5. SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

1. **Rewrite the abstract** with quantitative data and clear structure.
2. **Add complete material characterization** (XRD, plasticity index, granulometry).
3. **Include a methodology workflow diagram.**
4. **Provide numerical tables** for thermal and mechanical results.
5. **Improve figures** with proper resolution and labelled axes.
6. **Expand discussion** with comparisons to Dadi et al. (2016), Meukam et al. (2004), Gaye (1998), etc.
7. **Add a durability study** or mention its need.
8. **Include environmental advantages** of using cow dung as a stabilizer.
9. **Perform statistical analysis** (ANOVA, error bars).

10. **English language proofreading** required throughout.

---

## **6. FINAL RECOMMENDATION**

### **Recommendation: MAJOR REVISION**

The study is valuable and relevant, but the manuscript needs substantial improvements in structure, methodology clarity, result presentation, and language quality before it can be considered for publication.