

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55048

**Title: Fibromatosis of Unusual Sites - A Report of Two Cases**

### Recommendation:

- Accept as it is .....  
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....  
 Accept after major revision .....  
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

**Date:** 02.12.25

### *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

#### Strengths of the Paper

- **Detailed Case Descriptions:** The paper provides thorough clinical, histological, and immunohistochemical details for the two cases of fibromatosis at unusual sites, enhancing understanding of their presentation.
- **Focus on Rare Presentations:** It highlights uncommon sites such as the mesentery and scrotum, contributing valuable information for clinicians and pathologists encountering similar cases.
- **Use of Immunohistochemistry:** The emphasis on beta-catenin as a diagnostic marker is well-supported, reflecting current diagnostic practices for fibromatosis.
- **Clear Visual Aids:** The inclusion of histopathological images, with proper staining and magnification details, aids comprehension.
- **Concise Literature Review:** The discussion references relevant previous studies and emphasizes the diagnostic challenges associated with these rare presentations.

#### Weaknesses of the Paper

- **Limited Sample Size:** The report is based on only two cases, which limits the breadth of conclusions and generalizability.
- **Lack of Long-term Follow-up Data:** There is minimal information on patient outcomes beyond initial management, which is important given the recurrent nature of fibromatosis.
- **Inconsistencies in Formatting and Reference Style:** Some references are numbered irregularly and the citation style is not uniform throughout.
- **Insufficient Methodological Detail:** The description of histopathological and immunohistochemical procedures lacks specific technical details highly relevant for reproducibility.
- **Absence of Significance Discussion:** The paper could better contextualize the cases' importance within existing literature, especially contrasting with typical presentations.
- **Minor Typographical Errors and Punctuation Issues:** Several typographical mistakes are present, such as missing punctuation and inconsistent spacing.

#### Reviewer Comments

- **Ethical Clearance Status:** The paper does not mention whether ethical approval or patient consent was obtained for these cases, which is important in case reports involving human subjects.
- **Methodology Issues:** The methods section is brief; it would be beneficial to include more specific descriptions of the immunohistochemistry protocols and criteria for positivity.

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

*www.journalijar.com*

---

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

- **Typographical Mistakes:** Minor errors include inconsistent use of hyphens, spacing, and punctuation that can be easily corrected.
- **Grammar and English Language Quality:** Overall, the language is clear, but some sentences could be more concise and polished to improve readability.
- **Formatting Issues:** There are inconsistent heading styles and alignment issues that could be standardized to enhance presentation.
- **Clarity of Objectives, Results, and Conclusion:** The objectives are clear, but the results and conclusions could be articulated more explicitly to emphasize their clinical significance.
- **Adequacy of References:** References are relevant but somewhat dated; updating with recent literature on fibromatosis and molecular markers would strengthen the manuscript.
- **Missing or Incomplete Information:** Details on postoperative management, surveillance, and patient outcomes are lacking. Clarification on the follow-up period and recurrence management would be useful.