

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55103

Title: "Modern Imperatives of Organ Donation: Ensuring Survival at the Edge of Life – A Narrative Review"

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Date: 08.12.25

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strengths of the Paper

- **Comprehensive Overview:** The paper provides a detailed and insightful overview of organ donation processes, including types of donors, legal frameworks, and logistical aspects such as green corridors.
- **Use of Up-to-Date References:** It incorporates recent data and references from authoritative sources, offering current perspectives on organ donation in India and globally.
- **Clear Explanation of Concepts:** The distinctions between living and deceased donors, brain death criteria, and consent models are explained clearly, making complex concepts accessible.
- **Addressing Multiple Dimensions:** The review encompasses ethical, logistical, and policy-related aspects, contributing to a multifaceted understanding of the topic.
- **Focus on Regional Data:** Inclusion of regional-specific information such as data from Rajasthan and Mumbai enhances the contextual relevance of the review.

Weaknesses of the Paper

- **Lack of Original Data or Analysis:** As a narrative review, it primarily summarizes existing information without presenting new data or critical analysis.
- **Limited Critical Discussion:** The paper could benefit from a deeper critique of the challenges and limitations associated with current organ donation systems.
- **Uneven Coverage of Topics:** Some sections, such as ethical considerations and stakeholder perspectives, are relatively underdeveloped.
- **Inconsistent Formatting:** Certain sections lack uniform formatting and presentation, which could hinder readability.
- **Insufficient Discussion on Challenges in Implementation:** The manuscript does not extensively discuss barriers like cultural beliefs, myths, or infrastructural issues impacting donation rates.

Reviewer Comments

- **Ethical Clearance Status:** As a narrative review, ethical approval is generally not required. The manuscript does not mention whether ethical approval was necessary or obtained, which is acceptable for this type of article.
- **Methodology Issues:** The paper does not specify the method of literature selection or inclusion criteria, which may affect the reproducibility and transparency of the review.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- **Typographical Mistakes:** Minor typographical errors are present, such as inconsistent spacing and formatting issues within references and text.
- **Grammar and Language Quality:** Overall, the language is clear, but some sentences could benefit from polishing for conciseness and clarity.
- **Formatting Issues:** There are inconsistencies in heading styles and reference formats, which should be standardized.
- **Clarity of Objectives, Results, and Conclusion:** The objectives are generally clear, though the results/review findings could be summarized more explicitly. The conclusion emphasizes the importance of awareness and policy reforms but should be more definitive.
- **Adequacy of References:** The references are current and relevant; however, some are web-based sources that could be strengthened with peer-reviewed literature.
- **Missing or Incomplete Information:** The review lacks detailed discussion on the socio-cultural challenges affecting donation uptake in India and strategies to overcome these barriers.