



### REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55121

**Title: Comparative Exoforaminomancy: A Methodological Proposal for Assessing Cosmic and Terrestrial Influences on Human and Animal Anorectal Structures**

**Recommendation:**

Accept as it is ..

Accept after minor revision

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity			✓	
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Sakshi Jaju

**Reviewer's Comment for Publication.**

The article proposes a new and unusual idea that cosmic and earth forces may influence the human and animal anus. The author uses simulations and circular statistics to show how different waves might leave “marks” on the anal area. The paper also compares humans and animals and even connects these patterns with zodiac signs. It is a pseudoscientific concept presented with scientific-style methods.

**Strength:**

1. Very unique and creative idea.
2. Uses detailed statistical methods and simulations.
3. Well-organized with figures and clear explanation of the concept.

**Weakness:**

1. The topic is not scientifically acceptable and lacks real evidence.
2. Entire study is based only on simulations, not real data.
3. Proposed experiments are unrealistic and not ethically practical.
4. Many claims are not supported by biology or medicine.

**Overall assessment:**

A highly imaginative but scientifically unsupported article. It may be suitable only as a conceptual or humorous piece, not for scientific publication. Needs real data, scientific evidence, and removal of pseudoscientific claims.

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

*www.journalijar.com*

---

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

**Recommendation:** Manuscript accepted for publication after minor corrections.