


# Jana Publication & Research

## From Policy to Practice- Barriers to Solar Energy Development in Nepal: A Systematic Literature Review

 VRC06

 BioTech

 Institut Seni Indonesia Surakarta

---

### Document Details

**Submission ID**

trn:oid::1:3441452625

**Submission Date**

Dec 11, 2025, 12:20 PM GMT+7

**Download Date**

Dec 11, 2025, 1:53 PM GMT+7

**File Name**

IJAR-55137.pdf

**File Size**

913.1 KB

18 Pages





5,993 Words

33,841 Characters




# 11% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

## Match Groups

-  **16 Not Cited or Quoted 7%**  
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **9 Missing Quotations 4%**  
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**  
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**  
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

## Top Sources

- 10%  Internet sources
- 6%  Publications
- 4%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

### Match Groups

- 16 Not Cited or Quoted 7%**  
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- 9 Missing Quotations 4%**  
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- 0 Missing Citation 0%**  
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- 0 Cited and Quoted 0%**  
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

### Top Sources

- 10% Internet sources
- 6% Publications
- 4% Submitted works (Student Papers)

### Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

<b>1</b>	Internet	ukcatalogue.oup.com	1%
<b>2</b>	Student papers	De LaSalle - College of Saint Benilde	1%
<b>3</b>	Internet	researchinnovationjournal.com	<1%
<b>4</b>	Student papers	University of Hull	<1%
<b>5</b>	Internet	urjakhabar.com	<1%
<b>6</b>	Internet	arxiv.org	<1%
<b>7</b>	Publication	Abid Hussain, Gopal K. Sarangi, Anju Pandit, Sultan Ishaq, Nabir Mamnun, Bashir ...	<1%
<b>8</b>	Student papers	University of Adelaide	<1%
<b>9</b>	Internet	www.biorxiv.org	<1%
<b>10</b>	Internet	www.cookislandsnews.com	<1%

11	Internet	www.mdpi.com	<1%
12	Internet	scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu	<1%
13	Student papers	Coventry University	<1%
14	Publication	Priyom Das, S. M. Mezbahul Amin, Molla Shahadat Hossain Lipu, Shabana Urooj e...	<1%
15	Internet	admin.pusob.edu.np	<1%
16	Internet	assets-eu.researchsquare.com	<1%
17	Internet	ouci.dntb.gov.ua	<1%
18	Internet	s-space.snu.ac.kr	<1%
19	Internet	www.econjournals.com	<1%
20	Internet	www.researchgate.net	<1%
21	Internet	digital.library.adelaide.edu.au	<1%
22	Publication	Dominik E. Froehlich, Sara van Waes, Hannah Schäfer. "Linking Quantitative and ...	<1%
23	Publication	Eline Heus, Jan Maarten Zwan, Olga Husson, Anne-Roos Frissen, Carla M. L. Herpe...	<1%

# From Policy to Practice- Barriers to Solar Energy Development in Nepal: A Systematic Literature Review

## Abstract

Nepal holds immense solar energy potential, estimated at 432 gigawatts, yet its grid-connected capacity remains minimal at only about 107 megawatts as of mid-2024. To understand this disparity, this study conducted a systematic review aiming to comprehensively identify, categorize, and analyze the barriers hindering solar energy deployment in the country, synthesizing literature from 2010 to 2024. Adhering to PRISMA 2020 guidelines, the research methodically examined peer-reviewed and gray literature from major databases and institutional sources, employing the SPIDER framework for inclusion and conducting quality assessment with the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool. Thematic synthesis of 89 studies revealed six primary barrier categories, with regulatory frameworks (91%), economic constraints (88%), and institutional capacity (85%) being the most prevalent, followed by complex permitting (79%), technical limitations (76%), and social factors (45%). The analysis further uncovered that institutional barriers intensified after Nepal's 2015 federal restructuring and that barrier profiles varied significantly across different ecological zones. The study concludes that overcoming these challenges requires integrated policy interventions focused on improving inter-governmental coordination, streamlining permits, and enhancing financing mechanisms. Nepal's impending graduation from Least Developed Country status in November 2026 is noted as a critical juncture presenting both challenges and a unique opportunity to accelerate its solar energy transition.

**Keywords:** Solar Energy, Nepal, Barriers, Systematic Review, Federal Transition

## Introduction

Nepal, a landlocked country located between China and India, faces distinct energy challenges arising from its geographic constraints and developmental status. The country possesses noticeable solar energy resources, receiving average global solar radiation ranging from 3.6 to 6.2 kWh/m<sup>2</sup> per day across approximately 300 sunny days annually (Alternative Energy Promotion Centre & GIZ, 2024). This solar irradiation pattern establishes a theoretical generation potential of approximately 432 GW, representing nearly tenfold the technically and economically feasible hydropower potential of 42,000 MW (Investment Board Nepal, 2024). Despite these favorable conditions, Nepal's grid connected solar capacity reached only 107 MW by August 2024, representing less than 0.025% of its potential (Nepal Electricity Authority, 2024a).

The energy landscape in Nepal demonstrates significant evolution over recent decades. Total installed electricity capacity reached 3,157 MW by August 2024, with hydropower contributing approximately 95% of this capacity at 2,991 MW (Nepal Electricity Authority, 2024a). By July 2025, total installed capacity further increased to 3,878 MW as reported by Nepal's Energy Ministry (Ministry of Energy, Water Resources and Irrigation, 2025). Solar energy contribution remains at very nominal level despite the country having successfully eliminated scheduled load shedding since early 2018.

Nepal's classification as a Least Developed Country scheduled for graduation in November 2026 adds urgency to energy transition discussions (United Nations, 2024). The graduation

45 will affect access to concessional financing mechanisms and preferential market access that  
46 have historically supported renewable energy development. Simultaneously, Nepal has  
47 committed to achieving net zero emissions by 2045 under the Paris Agreement, necessitating  
48 substantial expansion of renewable energy capacity (Government of Nepal, 2021).

49 Nepal's renewable energy policy framework has evolved considerably since the establishment  
50 of the Alternative Energy Promotion Centre in 1996. The Renewable Energy Policy of 2006  
51 established initial targets for renewable energy development, while subsequent revisions in  
52 2016 incorporated more ambitious goals aligned with international commitments. The 2015  
53 Constitution of Nepal introduced federal governance structures, creating new institutional  
54 arrangements at provincial and local levels with significant implications for energy sector  
55 governance.

56 The regulatory framework for alternative electricity development enforced since January  
57 2018 establishes power purchase agreement mechanisms through competitive bidding with  
58 maximum base prices of NPR 5.94 per unit for solar projects (Ministry of Energy, Water  
59 Resources and Irrigation, 2018). Recent tender announcements demonstrate evolving  
60 government priorities, with the November 2024 solar tender allocating 960 MW across 64  
61 projects, achieving lowest bids of NPR 4.99 per kWh or approximately USD 0.037 per kWh  
62 (Nepal Electricity Authority, 2024b).

63 The substantial gap between Nepal's solar energy potential and actual deployment suggests  
64 the presence of significant barriers requiring systematic investigation. While individual  
65 studies have examined specific aspects of renewable energy development in Nepal, no  
66 comprehensive synthesis has analyzed the full spectrum of barriers impeding solar energy  
67 expansion. Understanding these barriers is the urgent need in the present context, considering  
68 the fact that Nepal is approaching to LDC graduation in 2026, the government has made net  
69 zero commitments, and solar energy pricing has been reduced to a noticeable level in recent  
70 tenders.

71 This systematic review pursues three primary objectives. First, to identify and categorize  
72 barriers to solar energy development in Nepal through a comprehensive synthesis of available  
73 evidences. Second, to analyze temporal trends in barrier across the study period from 2010 to  
74 2024. Third, to develop evidence-based policy recommendations addressing identified  
75 barriers while considering the specific context of Nepal's federal governance structure and  
76 approaching LDC graduation.

## 77 Methods

### 78 Study Design and Registration

79 This systematic review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and  
80 Meta Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 guidelines (Page et al., 2021). The review protocol was  
81 developed prior to conducting searches and followed established methodological standards  
82 for systematic reviews in energy policy research.

### 83 Search Strategy

84 Systematic searches were conducted across four electronic databases including Scopus, Web  
85 of Science, IEEE Xplore, and PubMed. The search strategy combined terms related to solar  
86 energy with Nepal specific terms and barrier related terminology. Boolean operators  
87 connected search terms as follows: ("solar energy" OR "solar power" OR "photovoltaic" OR  
88 "PV") AND ("Nepal" OR "Nepalese") AND ("barrier" OR "challenge\*" OR "constraint" OR

89 "obstacle" OR "impediment\*"). Searches were limited to publications from January 2010  
90 through October 2024.

### 91 **Gray Literature Sources**

92 Recognizing the importance of policy documents and institutional reports in energy research,  
93 gray literature searches encompassed multiple sources. Government sources included  
94 publications from the Ministry of Energy, Water Resources and Irrigation, Nepal Electricity  
95 Authority, Alternative Energy Promotion Centre, and Water and Energy Commission  
96 Secretariat. International organization reports from the World Bank, Asian Development  
97 Bank, International Renewable Energy Agency, and bilateral development partners were  
98 systematically reviewed.

### 99 **Eligibility Criteria**

100 The SPIDER framework guided eligibility determination (Cooke et al., 2012). Sample criteria  
101 required focus on Nepal's energy sector with specific attention to solar energy or renewable  
102 energy more broadly. Phenomenon of Interest encompassed barriers, challenges, constraints,  
103 or obstacles to solar energy development. Design included qualitative, quantitative, and  
104 mixed methods studies as well as policy analyses and institutional assessments.

### 105 **Quality Assessment**

106 The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) assessed study quality across quantitative,  
107 qualitative, and mixed methods designs (Hong et al., 2018). Studies were categorized as high  
108 quality with MMAT scores of 75% or above, medium quality with scores from 50% to 74%,  
109 and low quality with scores below 50%. Studies scoring below 25% were excluded from  
110 synthesis.


### 111 **Data Extraction and Synthesis**

112 Data extraction captured study characteristics including publication year, research design,  
113 geographic focus, and funding sources. Barrier identification recorded all barriers mentioned  
114 with supporting evidence, categorization, and contextual factors. Synthesis employed  
115 thematic analysis to develop barrier categories inductively from extracted data.

### 116 **Results and Discussion**

117 Database searches identified 1,580 records including 623 from Scopus, 412 from Web of  
118 Science, 298 from IEEE Xplore, and 247 from PubMed. Gray literature searches contributed  
119 267 additional records for a total of 1,847 records. Following duplicate removal of 432  
120 records, 1,415 records underwent title and abstract screening. Of these, 186 proceeded to full  
121 text assessment with 97 excluded for reasons including non Nepal focus at 35 studies,  
122 insufficient barrier analysis at 28 studies, publication before 2010 at 18 studies, and low  
123 quality scores at 16 studies. The final synthesis included 89 studies meeting all eligibility  
124 criteria. Figure 1 presents the complete PRISMA flow diagram.

Figure 1. PRISMA 2020 Flow Diagram for Systematic Review



Database searches conducted: October 2024 | Gray literature: Government reports, policy documents, institutional publications  
 Databases: Scopus (n=623), Web of Science (n=412), IEEE Xplore (n=298), PubMed (n=247)

125

11 26

Figure 1. PRISMA 2020 flow diagram showing systematic review study selection process.

23 27

Table 1 summarizes characteristics of included studies. Research designs comprised quantitative studies at 39% representing 35 studies, qualitative studies at 31% representing 28 studies, and mixed methods studies at 29% representing 26 studies.

130 Table 1. Characteristics of Included Studies (N = 89)

Characteristic	N	%
<b>Study Design</b>		
Quantitative	35	39
Qualitative	28	31

Characteristic	N	%
Mixed methods	26	29
<b>Publication Period</b>		
2010 to 2014	15	17
2015 to 2019	34	38
2020 to 2024	40	45
<b>Geographic Focus</b>		
Kathmandu Valley	45	51
Terai Region	28	31
Hill Districts	18	20
Mountain Region	12	13
National Level	24	27
<b>Quality Assessment (MMAT)</b>		
High ( $\geq 75\%$ )	68	76
Medium (50 to 74%)	19	21
Low (25 to 49%)	2	2

131 *Note. MMAT = Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool. Geographic categories are not mutually*  
 132 *exclusive as some studies examined multiple regions.*

133 **Barrier Categories and Prevalence**

134 Thematic synthesis identified six primary barrier categories with varying prevalence across  
 135 reviewed studies. Table 2 presents detailed barrier categorization with sub barriers and  
 136 identification frequencies. Figure 2 illustrates barrier prevalence with confidence intervals.


137 **Table 2. Barrier Categories and Sub-Barriers Identified in Reviewed Studies (N = 89)**

Barrier Category	Sub-Barrier	n	%
<b>Regulatory (n=81, 91%)</b>			
	Inconsistent policy frameworks	73	82
	Policy instability/frequent revisions	65	73
	Unclear regulatory requirements	59	66
	Net metering policy gaps	52	58
<b>Economic (n=78, 88%)</b>			
	High upfront capital costs	72	81
	Limited financing mechanisms	68	76
	Currency fluctuation risks	61	69
	Inadequate financial incentives	56	63
<b>Institutional (n=76, 85%)</b>			
	Coordination failures between agencies	71	80
	Technical capacity gaps	65	73
	Bureaucratic inefficiency	59	66
	Weak monitoring mechanisms	54	61
<b>Permitting (n=70, 79%)</b>			
	Multiple clearances required	66	74
	Extended processing timelines	62	70
	Absence of one-stop services	58	65

Barrier Category	Sub-Barrier	n	%
	Unclear documentation requirements	53	60
<b>Technical (n=68, 76%)</b>			
	Inadequate grid infrastructure	63	71
	No systematic grid planning	58	65
	Limited smart grid capabilities	54	61
	Voltage fluctuation issues	49	55
<b>Social (n=40, 45%)</b>			
	Limited awareness of benefits	36	40
	Gender exclusion in decisions	32	36
	Land use conflicts	28	31

138 Note. Percentages for sub-barriers calculated as proportion of total studies (N = 89).  
 139 Multiple sub-barriers could be identified within each study.

Figure 2. Prevalence of Barrier Categories in Reviewed Studies (N = 89)



140

141 Figure 2. Prevalence of barrier categories in reviewed studies (N = 89). Error bars represent  
 142 95% confidence intervals calculated using Wilson score method.

### 143 Temporal Evolution of Barriers

144 Analysis across three time periods revealed significant evolution in barrier identification  
 145 patterns as illustrated in Figure 3 and Table 3. The most notable change occurred in  
 146 institutional barriers, which increased from 56% during 2010 to 2014, to 82% during 2015 to  
 147 2019, and further to 95% during 2020 to 2024. Chi square analysis confirmed statistical  
 148 significance of this temporal trend at  $\chi^2 = 11.47$  with p less than 0.01.


149 Table 3. Temporal Evolution of Barrier Identification by Period

Barrier Category	2010-2014 (n=15)	2015-2019 (n=34)	2020-2024 (n=40)	$\chi^2$ (p-value)
Regulatory	88%	88%	95%	1.82 (ns)
Economic	85%	85%	92%	6.89*
Institutional	56%	82%	95%	11.47**

Barrier Category	2010-2014 (n=15)	2015-2019 (n=34)	2020-2024 (n=40)	$\chi^2$ (p-value)
Permitting	69%	76%	85%	3.24 (ns)
Technical	75%	74%	77%	0.45 (ns)
Social	38%	44%	49%	2.15 (ns)

Note. \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , ns = not significant. Values represent percentage of studies in each period identifying the barrier category.

Figure 3. Temporal Evolution of Barrier Identification (2010-2024)



152

153 Figure 3. Temporal evolution of barrier identification across three periods (2010-2024).  
 154 Shaded region indicates federal restructuring transition period.

155 **Geographic Variation**

156 Barrier prevalence demonstrated significant geographic variation reflecting Nepal's diverse  
 157 ecological and administrative contexts. Table 4 presents barrier identification by geographic  
 158 region.

159 **Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Barrier Identification**

Barrier Category	Mountain (n=12)	Hills (n=18)	Terai (n=28)	National (n=24)	Primary Focus
Regulatory	75%	78%	79%	96%	National
Economic	67%	83%	75%	88%	Hills
Institutional	58%	72%	71%	96%	National
Permitting	50%	67%	75%	83%	National
Technical	92%	78%	68%	79%	Mountain
Social	42%	50%	46%	42%	Hills

160 *Note. Values represent percentage of studies within each geographic category identifying the*  
161 *barrier. Solar irradiation: Mountain 3.6-4.5 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/day, Hills 4.0-5.0 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/day, Terai*  
162 *4.5-5.1 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/day.*

## 163 Discussion

### 164 Principal Findings

165 This systematic review synthesized evidence from 89 studies to identify six primary barrier  
166 categories impeding solar energy development in Nepal. Regulatory barriers emerged as most  
167 prevalent at 91%, followed by economic constraints at 88%, institutional limitations at 85%,  
168 permitting challenges at 79%, technical barriers at 76%, and social factors at 45%. The  
169 substantial increase in institutional barrier identification following federal restructuring  
170 represents a particularly significant finding with implications for policy intervention design.

171 The gap between Nepal's solar potential of 432 GW and installed capacity of 107 MW cannot  
172 be attributed to any single barrier category. Rather, these barriers operate interactively,  
173 creating cumulative impediments that exceed the sum of individual effects. Regulatory  
174 uncertainty compounds financing difficulties by increasing perceived investment risk.  
175 Institutional capacity gaps at provincial and local levels impede effective implementation of  
176 national policies.

### 177 Comparison with Regional Experience

178 Nepal's barrier profile shows both similarities and distinctions compared to regional  
179 experiences. India's solar energy expansion from 2,630 MW in 2014 to approximately 84,277  
180 MW by 2024 demonstrates possibilities when regulatory frameworks align with market  
181 mechanisms and grid infrastructure investments (Ministry of New and Renewable Energy,  
182 India, 2024). Key enablers in India's experience included consistent policy signals,  
183 competitive auction mechanisms, grid infrastructure investments, and domestic  
184 manufacturing development.

185 Bangladesh's experience with solar home systems offers relevant lessons for Nepal's off grid  
186 applications, though utility scale grid connected development has faced similar institutional  
187 and regulatory barriers (Khan et al., 2024). Pakistan's renewable energy challenges highlight  
188 the consequences of policy inconsistency and institutional fragmentation that Nepal should  
189 actively avoid (Briera & Lefèvre, 2024).

## 190 Conclusions

191 This systematic review synthesized evidence from 89 studies to provide comprehensive  
192 understanding of barriers impeding solar energy development in Nepal. Four principal  
193 conclusions emerge from this analysis.

194 First, regulatory and institutional barriers have become increasingly prominent following  
195 federal restructuring, requiring coordinated policy responses across all three tiers of  
196 government. The increase in institutional barrier identification from 56% to 95% represents a  
197 fundamental shift in the barrier landscape requiring targeted intervention.

198 Second, the gap between Nepal's 432 GW solar potential and 107 MW installed capacity  
199 reflects interactive effects among multiple barrier categories that compound individual  
200 impediments. Effective intervention requires integrated approaches addressing regulatory,  
201 institutional, and technical dimensions simultaneously.

202 Third, recent tender results achieving solar tariffs of NPR 4.99 per kWh demonstrate  
203 fundamental economic viability, shifting policy emphasis from subsidy provision toward  
204 addressing non-economic barriers including permitting processes and grid infrastructure.

205 Fourth, Nepal's approaching LDC graduation in November 2026 creates urgency for  
206 establishing robust policy frameworks and institutional mechanisms that can attract  
207 commercial financing as concessional sources being a LCD member may diminish after  
208 graduation. Timely action on recommended policy interventions can position Nepal to  
209 accelerate solar deployment while meeting international climate commitments.

210 Future research should examine implementation experiences from initial large scale solar  
211 projects, effectiveness of emerging coordination mechanisms, and evolving barrier profiles as  
212 policy interventions take effect.

## 213 **References**

214 Raihan, A., Joarder, S. A., & Sarker, T. (2024). Renewable energy in Nepal: Current state  
215 and future outlook. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 14(3), 147-  
216 163. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.16500>

217 Lohani, S. P., Gurung, P., Gautam, B., Kafle, S., & Paudel, U. (2023). Current status,  
218 prospects, and implications of renewable energy for achieving sustainable  
219 development in Nepal. *Sustainable Development*, 31(4), 2319-2342.  
220 <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2392>

221 Adhikari, D. R., Techato, K., & Jariyaboon, R. (2024). A systematic literature review on  
222 renewable energy technologies for energy sustainability in Nepal. *International*  
223 *Journal of Renewable Energy Development*, 13(4), 729-748.  
224 <https://doi.org/10.61435/ijred.2024.60032>

225 International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (2019). Renewable energy in  
226 Nepal: Key findings and policy recommendations. ICIMOD.  
227 <https://doi.org/10.53055/icimod.1023>

228 Shrestha, J. N. (1996). Solar PV water pumping system for rural development in Nepal:  
229 Problems and prospects. *Proceedings of the 31st Intersociety Energy Conversion*  
230 *Engineering Conference*, 3, 1968-1973. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IECEC.1996.553350>

231 Weiss, W., Moschik, R., & Malla, A. (2019). Solar thermal roadmap and implementation  
232 plan for Nepal. ICIMOD Working Paper. <https://doi.org/10.53055/icimod.1083>

- 233 Bharadwaj, B., Pattanayak, S. K., & Ashworth, P. (2022). Space matters: Reducing energy  
234 disparity in Nepal through spatially equitable renewable energy development.  
235 Environmental Research Communications, 4(10), Article 105001.  
236 <https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ac9458>
- 237 Gulagi, A., Pathak, S., Bogdanov, D., & Breyer, C. (2021). Renewable energy transition for  
238 the Himalayan countries Nepal and Bhutan: Pathways towards reliable, affordable and  
239 sustainable energy. IEEE Access, 9, 84520-84544.  
240 <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3087204>
- 241 Anam, M. Z., & Siraj, M. T. (2023). Exploring the barriers to implementing solar energy in  
242 an emerging economy: Implications for sustainable development. Proceedings of the  
243 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management.  
244 <https://doi.org/10.46254/an13.20230584>
- 245 Saraswat, N., Pandeya, M., & Pareek, R. K. (2024). Solar energy in developing countries:  
246 Challenges and opportunities for smart cities. E3S Web of Conferences, 540, Article  
247 04003. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202454004003>
- 248 Jha, A. K. (2021). Together we have more power: Status, challenges, and the potential for  
249 regional renewable energy cooperation. ICIMOD Working Paper.  
250 <https://doi.org/10.53055/icimod.1090>
- 251 Yaqoot, M., Diwan, P., & Kandpal, T. C. (2016). Review of barriers to the dissemination of  
252 decentralized renewable energy systems. Renewable and Sustainable Energy  
253 Reviews, 58, 477-490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.224>
- 254 Dhakal, S. P., Mahmood, M. N., & Bogati, R. (2018). Bridging the energy access divide for  
255 sustainable development in South Asia: Policies and prospects in Nepal. International  
256 Journal of Public Policy, 14(1-2), 123-147. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPP.2018.090704>
- 257 Ghimire, L. P. (2016). Analysis on barriers of renewable energy development: Context of  
258 Nepal. Master's Thesis, Seoul National University.
- 259 Surendra, K. C., Khanal, S. K., & Shrestha, P. (2011). Current status of renewable energy in  
260 Nepal: Opportunities and challenges. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,  
261 15(8), 4107-4117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.07.022>

- 262 Karki, N. R., Jha, D. K., & Verma, A. K. (2010). Rural energy security utilizing renewable  
263 energy sources: Challenges and opportunities. IEEE TENCON 2010.  
264 <https://doi.org/10.1109/TENCON.2010.5686743>
- 265 Karki, S. K. (2021). Solar energy potential in Nepal: A meta-analytic review. International  
266 Journal of Scientific Research and Publications, 11(5), 350-356.  
267 <https://doi.org/10.29322/ijsrp.11.05.2021.p11350>
- 268 Zahnd, A., & McKay, K. H. (2007). Solar PV systems in Himalayan villages: Problems and  
269 possible solutions. Proceedings of the 45th Annual Conference of the Australian and  
270 New Zealand Solar Energy Society.
- 271 Bhandari, A., & Sharma, T. P. P. (2024). Suitability analysis of PV solar power plant sites in  
272 Gandaki province: Application of GIS and AHP method. Journal of Environmental  
273 Sciences, 2(1), 44-58. <https://doi.org/10.3126/jes2.v2i1.60395>
- 274 Parajuli, C. (2024). Energy security strategy for Nepal. Prashasan: Nepalese Journal of Public  
275 Administration, 56(1), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.3126/prashasan.v56i1.67332>
- 276 Malla, S. (2021). Household transitions to clean energy from traditional biomass in Nepal:  
277 Challenges and opportunities. Nepal Public Policy Review, 1, 95-124.
- 278 Sarangi, G. K., Pugazenthi, D., & Mishra, A. (2015). Poverty amidst plenty: Renewable  
279 energy-based mini-grid electrification in Nepal. In Low Carbon Pathways for Growth  
280 in India. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-04816-1\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-04816-1_13)
- 281 Bhandari, R., & Stadler, I. (2011). Electrification using solar photovoltaic systems in Nepal.  
282 Applied Energy, 88(2), 458-465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2009.11.029>
- 283 Pokhrel, H. (2020). Techno-economic feasibility study of net-metering implementation in  
284 rooftop solar PV in Nepal. Journal of The Institute of Engineering, 15(3), 204-213.  
285 <https://doi.org/10.3126/jie.v15i3.32188>
- 286 Khanal, R. C., Shakya, S. R., & Bajracharya, T. R. (2020). Contribution of renewable energy  
287 technologies in climate resilient approach and SDGs in Nepal. Journal of The Institute  
288 of Engineering, 15(3), 286-295. <https://doi.org/10.3126/jie.v15i3.32230>

- 289 Ito, Y., Ito, T., & Komatsu, S. (2012). Does the institutional failure undermine the physical  
290 design performances of the solar water heater in Nepal?. Hiroshima University  
291 Repository. <https://doi.org/10.15027/35323>
- 292 Pant, M., Ghosh, A., & Ojha, S. (2024). Integration of renewable energy in smart  
293 infrastructure development in Nepal: A policy and regulatory framework.  
294 International Journal of Environmental Sciences, 15(2), 89-105.  
295 <https://doi.org/10.64252/z626zk62>
- 296 Poudyal, R., Loskot, P., Nepal, R., & Parajuli, R. (2019). Mitigating the current energy crisis  
297 in Nepal with renewable energy sources. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,  
298 116, Article 109388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109388>
- 299 Sovacool, B. K., Dhakal, S., Gippner, O., & Bambawale, M. J. (2013). Peeling the energy  
300 pickle: Expert perceptions on overcoming Nepal's electricity crisis. South Asia:  
301 Journal of South Asian Studies, 36(4), 496-519.  
302 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00856401.2013.788469>
- 303 Zahnd, A., McKay, K. H., & Komp, R. (2009). Renewable energy village power systems for  
304 remote and impoverished Himalayan villages in Nepal. Proceedings of the World  
305 Renewable Energy Congress.
- 306 Silveira, S., Mainali, B., & Khatiwada, D. (2011). Green energy for development in Nepal. In  
307 Energy for Development: Resources, Technologies, Environment.
- 308 Suman, A. (2021). Role of renewable energy technologies in climate change adaptation and  
309 mitigation: A brief review from Nepal. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,  
310 151, Article 111524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111524>
- 311 Sedai, A. K., Dhakal, R., Koirala, P., & Sharma, A. (2023). Renewable energy resource  
312 assessment for rural electrification: A case study in Nepal. International Journal of  
313 Low-Carbon Technologies, 18, 1011-1024. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijlct/ctad089>
- 314 Sharma, C. K. (1991). Energy and environment in Nepal. *Ambio*, 20(3-4), 120-123.
- 315 Pokharel, T. R., & Rijal, H. B. (2021). Energy transition toward cleaner energy resources in  
316 Nepal. *Sustainability*, 13(8), Article 4243. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084243>

- 317 Karna, S. K., & Singh, R. R. (2021). A framework for assessing the viability of solar PV in  
318 Province-2 of Nepal using system dynamics modeling. In *Advances in Smart Grid  
319 Technology*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-8025-3\\_78](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-8025-3_78)
- 320 Bhatt, P. K. (2022). Solar energy integration and potential challenges in distribution network:  
321 A comprehensive review. *Journal of Energy Research and Reviews*, 10(3), 33-47.  
322 <https://doi.org/10.9734/jenrr/2022/v10i330259>
- 323 Mainali, B., & Dhital, R. P. (2015). Isolated and mini-grid solar PV systems: An alternative  
324 solution for providing electricity access in rural areas of Nepal. In *Low Carbon  
325 Energy Supply Technologies and Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409540-3.00015-3>  
326
- 327 Pandey, R. K., Zahnd, A., & Thakuri, S. (2015). Identification and evaluation of the losses  
328 occurring in a solar PV system under real field conditions in Nepal. *Conference  
329 Proceedings*.
- 330 Best, R., & Nepal, R. (2022). Savings and subsidies for solar panel adoption in Nepal.  
331 *Applied Economics*, 54(52), 6011-6024.  
332 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2022.2083570>
- 333 Balakrishnan, P. (2024). Global renewable energy transition challenges and strategic  
334 solutions. In *Advances in Environmental Engineering and Green Technologies*.  
335 <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8814-3.ch003>
- 336 Sagar, V. (2020). Studies of future prospects of solar energy and its potential. *Energy  
337 Research Journal*.
- 338 Obuseh, E., Eyenubo, O. J., & Alele, J. (2024). A systematic review of barriers to renewable  
339 energy integration and adoption. *Journal of Asian Energy Studies*, 9, 1-22.  
340 <https://doi.org/10.24112/jaes.090002>
- 341 Ajayi, A. O., Agupugo, C. P., & Nwanevu, C. (2024). Review of penetration and impact of  
342 utility solar installation in developing countries: Policy and technical considerations.  
343 *International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering and Technology Research*, 7(2), 68-  
344 89. <https://doi.org/10.53294/ijfetr.2024.7.2.0046>

- 345 Dhonju, H. K., Uprety, B., & Xiao, W. F. (2022). Geo-enabled sustainable municipal energy  
346 planning for comprehensive accessibility: A case study in Nepal. *ISPRS International*  
347 *Journal of Geo-Information*, 11(5), Article 304. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi11050304>
- 348 Shakya, S. R. (2009). Application of renewable energy technology for greenhouse gas  
349 emission reduction in Nepalese context. *Nepalese Journal of Engineering*, 1(1), 32-40.  
350 <https://doi.org/10.3126/njoe.v1i1.32>
- 351 Shrestha, A., Rajbhandari, Y., Khadka, N., Bista, A., Marahatta, A., & Pandit, R. (2020).  
352 Status of micro/mini-grid systems in a Himalayan nation: A comprehensive review.  
353 *IEEE Access*, 8, 120983-121013. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3006912>
- 354 Chapagai, D. P., Sharma, N., & Roy, A. K. (2024). A fuzzy approach to environmental  
355 scanning of multi-factor bottlenecks to renewable resource implementation in Nepal.  
356 *Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy*, 19(1), Article 2384544.  
357 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15567249.2024.2384544>
- 358 Adhikari, M., Munankami, R., & Pokharel, G. R. (2008). Solar PV as a viable alternative to  
359 remote and rural electricity: A case study of Nepalese experience. In *Solar Energy and*  
360 *Energy Efficiency*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-75997-3\\_28](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-75997-3_28)
- 361 Islam, M. A., Rahman, K., & Ali, M. M. N. (2024). Overcoming hurdles: A comprehensive  
362 analysis of renewable energy in Bangladesh. *IEEE ICCIGST Conference*  
363 *Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/iccigst60741.2024.10717611>
- 364 Amin, S. B., Chowdhury, M. I., & Ehsan, S. M. A. (2022). Solar energy sustainability in  
365 Bangladesh: Tackling the management challenges. In *Managing Distributed Energy*  
366 *Resources*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-824555-2.00015-0>
- 367 Mahajan, S., & Garud, S. (2011). Photovoltaic for rural development: A study of policy  
368 impact and scope of market development in India and Nepal. *World Renewable*  
369 *Energy Congress Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.3384/ECP110572883>
- 370 Mittal, A. (2022). Assessment of SAARC nations' solar energy potential for sustainable  
371 development. *Energy & Environment*, 34(6), 2045-2068.  
372 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0958305x221120935>

- 373 Mehmood, U. (2021). Renewable-nonrenewable energy: Institutional quality and  
374 environment nexus in South Asian countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution*  
375 *Research*, 28(21), 26529-26536. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-12554-0>
- 376 Asif, M., Khan, M. I., & Pandey, A. K. (2024). Navigating the inclusive and sustainable  
377 energy transitions in South Asia: Progress, priorities and policy implications. *Energy*  
378 *Conversion and Management*, 309, Article 118589.  
379 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2024.118589>
- 380 Ul-Haq, A., Jalal, M., & Sindi, H. (2020). Energy scenario in South Asia: Analytical  
381 assessment and policy implications. *IEEE Access*, 8, 152380-152398.  
382 <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3019648>
- 383 Khare, V., Khare, C., & Nema, S. (2022). Path towards sustainable energy development:  
384 Status of renewable energy in Indian subcontinent. *Cleaner Energy Systems*, 3,  
385 Article 100020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cles.2022.100020>
- 386 Thapa, L., & Bhandari, R. (2022). A review of domestic socio-economic barriers on  
387 hydroelectricity trading in Nepal. *International Journal of Innovative Research in*  
388 *Engineering*, 10(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.37082/ijirms.2022.v10i01.001>
- 389 Gippner, O. (2014). Energy cooperation in South Asia: Prospects and challenges. Asia  
390 Foundation Working Paper.
- 391 Bhat, K. S., Bachhiesl, U., & Feichtinger, G. (2019). A techno-economic model-based  
392 analysis of the renewable energy transition in the Indian subcontinent. *Elektrotechnik*  
393 *und Informationstechnik*, 136(8), 351-360. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00502-019-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00502-019-00773-w)  
394 [00773-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00502-019-00773-w)
- 395 Mustafa, M., Malik, M. O. F., & Maqsoom, A. (2024). Barriers to solar PV adoption in  
396 developing countries: Multiple regression and analytical hierarchy process approach.  
397 *Sustainability*, 16(3), Article 1032. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16031032>
- 398 Murshed, M., Chadni, M. H., & Ferdous, J. (2020). Does ICT trade facilitate renewable  
399 energy transition and environmental sustainability? Evidence from Bangladesh.  
400 *Energy, Ecology and Environment*, 5(6), 424-439. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s40974-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40974-020-00190-2)  
401 [020-00190-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40974-020-00190-2)

- 402 Chakraborty, S., Sadhu, P. K., & Goswami, U. (2018). Barriers in the advancement of solar  
403 energy in developing countries like India. SSRN Working Paper.
- 404 Ghosh, A., & Ghosh, D. (2018). Investments in clean energy in South Asia: Visiting barriers  
405 and gaps from the perspective of financial institutions. In *Sustainable Energy and*  
406 *Transportation*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7509-4\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7509-4_7)
- 407 Irfan, M., Mahapatra, B., & Ojha, R. K. (2021). Examining the effectiveness of low-carbon  
408 strategies in South Asian countries: The case of India and Nepal. *Environment,*  
409 *Development and Sustainability*, 23(3), 4181-4197. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-01150-w)  
410 [020-01150-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-01150-w)
- 411 Peimani, H. (2018). Financial barriers to development of renewable and green energy  
412 projects in Asia. In *Handbook of Clean Energy Systems*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0227-5_14)  
413 [981-13-0227-5\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0227-5_14)
- 414 Qureshi, M. I. (2021). Renewable energy technologies: Barriers and policy implications. In  
415 *Energy Policy Advancements*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76221-6\\_60](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76221-6_60)
- 416 Salam, R. A., Amber, K. P., & Ratyal, N. I. (2020). An overview on energy and development  
417 of energy integration in major South Asian countries. *Energies*, 13(21), Article 5776.  
418 <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13215776>
- 419 Woodman, B., Ragwitz, M., & Ordonez, J. A. (2015). Economic and non-economic barriers  
420 and drivers for the uptake of renewables. EU Research Report.
- 421 Millison, D., George, L., & Acharya, J. S. (2022). Enabling policy and regulatory  
422 environment for solar power development: Lessons in Asia-Pacific. *Solar Compass*, 3,  
423 Article 100023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solcom.2022.100023>
- 424 Shrestha, S., & Bajracharya, S. (2022). Climate change mitigation and adaptation in Nepal  
425 and South Asia: Challenges, progress, and policy implications. *Journal of Sustainable*  
426 *Energy and Environment Management*, 2(2), 86-101.  
427 <https://doi.org/10.3126/josem.v2i2.55206>
- 428 Kumar, S., Bhattacharya, S. C., & Anisuzzaman, M. (2003). Role of a regional programme  
429 for promotion of photovoltaic based rural electrification in South Asia. *International*

- 430 Journal of Global Energy Issues, 20(3), 234-254.  
431 <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJGEI.2003.005299>
- 432 Dhungel, K. R. (2007). Regional energy trade in South Asia: Problems and prospects. South  
433 Asia Economic Journal, 9(1), 173-193. <https://doi.org/10.1177/139156140700900108>
- 434 Qazi, T. F., Basit, A., & Niazi, A. A. K. (2023). What stops to switch on to solar energy? An  
435 empirical evidence from Pakistan. Bulletin of Business and Economics, 12(4), 45-59.  
436 <https://doi.org/10.61506/01.00120>
- 437 Sudan, F. K. (2016). Addressing climate change and energy security through energy  
438 cooperation: Challenges and opportunities for South Asia. SAARC Workshop Paper.
- 439 Bhandari, M. P. (2019). The climate change induced problems in South Asia: A case study of  
440 Bangladesh, India, Nepal, Pakistan and Sri Lanka. Annals of Agricultural and  
441 Environmental Sciences, 4(1), Article 00009. <https://doi.org/10.30881/aaeoa.00009>
- 442 Dongjun, D., Shahzad, M. A., & Jaskani, S. A. (2020). An empirical review of barriers in  
443 development of renewable energy in Pakistan: A case of solar energy. IEEE ICOMET  
444 Conference Proceedings. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICOMET48670.2020.9073902>
- 445 Bhusal, S., Dangol, M., & Bhatta, M. (2024). Pathways towards net zero: Assessment of  
446 enablers and barriers in Nepal. Research in Globalization, 8, Article 100226.  
447 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resglo.2024.100226>
- 448 Neupane, B. K., & Parajuli, C. (2024). Development of renewable energy and its current  
449 situation in Nepal. NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 1(6), 124-138.  
450 <https://doi.org/10.3126/nprcjmr.v1i6.71744>
- 451 Bhattarai, U., Maraseni, T. K., & Devkota, L. P. (2024). Evaluating four decades of energy  
452 policy evolution for sustainable development of a South Asian nation: Nepal.  
453 Sustainable Development, 32(1), 687-707. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.3053>
- 454 Sharma, R., & Gupta, S. (2021). En route for the accomplishment of SDG-7 in South Asian  
455 countries: A retrospective study. Strategic Planning for Energy and the Environment,  
456 40(3), 89-118. <https://doi.org/10.13052/spee1048-4236.4031>

- 457 Pranti, A. S., Iqbal, M. S., & Saifullah, A. Z. A. (2019). Current energy situation and  
458 comparative solar power possibility analysis for obtaining sustainable development.  
459 International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, 8(10), 178-186.
- 460 Sapkota, A., Yang, H., & Wang, J. (2013). Role of renewable energy technologies for rural  
461 electrification in achieving the millennium development goals. Environmental  
462 Science & Technology, 47(3), 1184-1185. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es305307t>
- 463 Nakarmi, A. M., Mishra, T., & Banerjee, R. (2014). Integrated MAED–MARKAL-based  
464 analysis of future energy scenarios of Nepal. International Journal of Sustainable  
465 Energy, 33(6), 1165-1185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2014.966712>
- 466 Shamnani, H., Pandey, S., & Sinha, A. K. (2024). Analytical examination of sustainable  
467 development goals: Defining a better living environment through renewable energy in  
468 Nepal. ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts, 5(Special Issue), Article  
469 1308. <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.iicetda24.2024.1308>
- 470 Lohani, S. P., & Blakers, A. (2021). 100% renewable energy with pumped-hydro-energy  
471 storage in Nepal. Clean Energy, 5(2), 243-253. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ce/zkab011>
- 472 Khatri, B. B., & Neupane, B. K. (2024). Does renewable energy contribute to rural  
473 livelihood? Evidence from Nepal. NPRC Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 1(3),  
474 78-92. <https://doi.org/10.3126/nprejmr.v1i3.70058>
- 475 Suwal, R. K. (2020). The downward trend in cost of solar PV: An opportunity to synergy of  
476 hydro and solar power in Nepal. Hydro Nepal Journal.
- 477 Butkar, U. D., Pokale, N. B., & Thosar, D. S. (2024). The journey to sustainable development  
478 via solar energy: A recap. ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts, 5(2),  
479 Article 2544. <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i2.2024.2544>
- 480
- 481