



REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55154

Title: "Knowledge and Practices of Physical Restraint Use among Nursing Personnel"

- Recommendation:**
- Accept as it is
 - ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
 - Accept after major revision
 - Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity			✓	
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Date: 10.12.25

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strengths of the Paper

- **Comprehensive Coverage of Objectives:** The paper clearly states its objectives, which include assessing knowledge, practicing, and correlating these aspects with demographic data.
- **Use of Validated Tools:** The study employs structured questionnaires with demonstrated reliability (e.g., $r=0.77$) and an observation checklist with high interrater agreement (87%), indicating rigorous data collection methods.
- **Large Sample Size:** The research includes a sizable sample of nursing personnel ($n=60$) and patients, enhancing the reliability of the findings.
- **Relevance to Clinical Practice:** The study addresses an important aspect of nursing care related to patient safety and ethical considerations, making it highly pertinent for clinical settings.

Weaknesses of the Paper

- **Limited Details on Ethical Clearance:** The paper does not explicitly mention whether ethical approval was obtained, which is vital for research involving human subjects.
- **Inconsistent Formatting and Presentation:** There are noticeable inconsistencies in the presentation of tables, headings, and numbering throughout the document, affecting readability.
- **Lack of Vivid Discussion or Contextual Analysis:** The discussion section is minimal, with limited interpretation of findings in relation to existing literature.
- **Superficial Literature Review:** The references are limited and somewhat outdated, which restricts the contextual framing of the study's significance.
- **Limited Focus on Patient Outcomes:** The study primarily assesses knowledge and practice but does not include data on patient safety or outcomes related to restraint use.

Reviewer Comments

- **Ethical Clearance:** The paper does not mention obtaining ethical approval, which is necessary for research involving human subjects. It should explicitly state whether ethical clearance was sought and obtained.
- **Methodology:** The sampling technique is purposive, which may introduce bias, and the rationale for sample size calculation is not provided. More detail on inclusion/exclusion criteria and data collection procedures could improve clarity.
- **Typographical Mistakes:** There are several typographical errors, such as inconsistent spacing, line breaks within sentences, and minor errors in numbering that should be corrected for clarity.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- **Grammar and Language:** The overall language quality could be improved. Some sentences are awkwardly phrased, and there are grammatical mistakes requiring editing for flow and professionalism.
- **Formatting:** Tables are not consistently formatted, with some lacking clear labels or proper alignment. Uniform formatting should be implemented.
- **Clarity of Objectives and Results:** While objectives are clear, the presentation of results is somewhat fragmented, and key findings should be summarized more explicitly in text, not only in tables.
- **References:** The references provided are limited and include some outdated sources. Incorporating recent literature would strengthen the paper's credibility.
- **Incomplete Details:** Information such as ethical approval, detailed data analysis procedures, and discussion on implications for nursing practice are missing or insufficiently addressed.