



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55178

Title: Unilateral optic neuropathy revealing an optic nerve meningioma

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Date: 11.12.25

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strengths of the Paper

- **Comprehensive Case Presentation:** The paper provides a detailed and well-documented case report of optic nerve sheath meningioma presenting with features mimicking inflammatory optic neuropathy, which adds valuable clinical insight.
- **Use of Imaging:** The inclusion of MRI findings with detailed descriptions aids in understanding the diagnostic process and supports the clinical findings effectively.
- **Highlighting Diagnostic Challenges:** The paper emphasizes the potential for misdiagnosis between meningioma and inflammatory optic neuropathy and discusses the role of corticosteroids, which adds relevance for clinicians.
- **Follow-up Data:** The longitudinal follow-up over several months demonstrates the treatment response, providing practical information on prognosis and management.
- **Integration of Literature:** The discussion references relevant studies and previous findings, placing the case within the broader context of existing knowledge.

Weaknesses of the Paper

- **Limited Sample Size:** Since this is a single case report, generalizability is limited, and conclusions are primarily anecdotal.
- **Insufficient Methodological Detail:** The paper lacks detailed information on diagnostic procedures, such as laboratory tests, and does not specify criteria used to confirm the diagnosis.
- **Inadequate Discussion of Differential Diagnosis:** The discussion could be expanded to more thoroughly compare alternative diagnoses and justify the final diagnosis.
- **Absence of Ethical Approval Statement:** The paper does not mention whether ethical clearance or patient consent was obtained, which is important in case reports.
- **Formatting and Presentation Issues:** The manuscript has inconsistent formatting, such as broken or misplaced numbering and references, which hampers readability.
- **Limited Clarity of Objectives and Outcomes:** The aims and the specific outcomes measured are not explicitly stated, reducing clarity.
- **References:** Some references appear incomplete or lack full citation details, reducing the credibility and traceability.
- **Minor Typographical and Language Errors:** Several small typographical mistakes and grammatical inconsistencies are present, which affect the professionalism of the paper.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Reviewer Comments

- **Ethical Clearance:** The paper does not mention whether ethical approval or patient consent was obtained, which is a critical requirement for case reports. Clarification or inclusion of this information is necessary.
- **Methodology Issues:** The report lacks explicit details on the diagnostic workup besides MRI; mentioning laboratory tests, functional assessments, or other diagnostic modalities would strengthen the case.
- **Typographical Mistakes:** Minor typographical errors are present, such as inconsistent spacing, punctuation issues, and numbering errors.
- **Grammar and Language:** The English language is generally understandable but would benefit from careful proofreading to improve clarity and professionalism.
- **Formatting:** The manuscript would benefit from a consistent format throughout, with clear section headings, standardized reference formatting, and properly numbered figures/tables if applicable.
- **Clarity of Objectives, Results, and Conclusions:** The objectives should be more explicitly stated at the outset, and the results and conclusions need clearer articulation to emphasize the clinical significance.
- **References:** The reference list is incomplete; full citations, including journal names, volume, pages, and years are necessary for proper attribution and verification.
- **Missing or Incomplete Information:** Details such as the patient's informed consent, specifics of the laboratory and imaging protocols, and follow-up examinations should be explicitly provided.