



REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55178

Title: Unilateral optic neuropathy revealing an optic nerve meningioma.

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- Accept after minor revision.....**
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr. Amina

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

The manuscript presents a well-documented case of optic nerve sheath meningioma presenting with features resembling inflammatory optic neuropathy, offering valuable clinical insights. The detailed case presentation, supported by MRI findings with thorough descriptions, effectively illustrates the diagnostic process and reinforces the clinical observations. The report highlights important diagnostic challenges, particularly the risk of misdiagnosis between meningioma and inflammatory optic neuropathy, and discusses the potential role of corticosteroids in management. Longitudinal follow-up data over several months demonstrate the patient's treatment response, providing practical guidance on prognosis and management strategies. Additionally, the discussion integrates relevant literature, situating the case within the broader context of existing knowledge and enhancing its clinical relevance.

Despite these strengths, the paper has several limitations. As a single case report, its generalizability is inherently limited, and the conclusions drawn are primarily anecdotal. Methodological details are insufficient, with minimal information on laboratory tests, diagnostic criteria, and other assessments beyond MRI, which could have strengthened the report. The discussion of differential diagnoses is limited and could be expanded to justify the final diagnosis more thoroughly. A significant omission is the absence of information regarding ethical approval or patient consent, which is a critical requirement for case reports. Formatting and presentation issues, including inconsistent numbering, headings, and references, reduce readability and overall professionalism. Minor typographical and grammatical errors are present, affecting clarity. Furthermore, the objectives, specific outcomes, and conclusions are not explicitly stated, diminishing the paper's clarity and focus. Some references are incomplete, lacking full citation details, which undermines traceability and academic rigor.

To enhance the manuscript, it is recommended that the authors provide explicit ethical clearance statements, include more comprehensive methodological details, expand discussion on differential diagnoses, and standardize formatting throughout. Careful proofreading is also needed to correct minor language errors and ensure clarity. Completing all references with full citation details and explicitly stating the objectives, results, and conclusions would significantly improve the manuscript's quality and

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

clinical significance. Overall, the paper provides valuable insights but requires these revisions to meet the standards of a rigorous, professional case report.