

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55285

**Title: Aspects épidémiologiques, cliniques et thérapeutiques des polypes colorectaux : Étude rétrospective à l'Hôpital Cheikh Zayed**

### Recommendation:

- Accept as it is .....  
 ✓ Accept after minor revision.....  
 Accept after major revision .....  
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*) .....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

**Date:** 17.12.25

### *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

#### Strengths of the Paper

- **Clear and Relevant Objectives** The study clearly states its aim to analyze the epidemiological, clinical, and histological features of colorectal polyps in a specific hospital setting, which is important for local epidemiological data.
- **Extended Study Period and Sample Size** The research spans seven years and includes a considerable number of cases (83), providing a substantial dataset for descriptive analysis.
- **Focus on a Young Population** Highlighting the prevalence of polyps among young patients offers valuable insight into the specific demographic, which is often underrepresented in literature.
- **Detailed Description of Procedures** The paper thoroughly describes the polypectomy technique, preparation, and post-procedure monitoring, which adds clinical relevance.
- **Emphasis on Safety and Feasibility** The findings indicate that diathermic snare polypectomy was safe with no immediate complications, supporting its use in similar settings.

#### Weaknesses of the Paper

- **Limited Data on Follow-up and Long-Term Outcomes** The study does not include data on long-term follow-up, recurrence rates, or surveillance outcomes, limiting insights into patient prognosis.
- **Significant Histopathological Data Loss** A large proportion of histological results are missing (43%), which affects the comprehensiveness and validity of the histological analysis.
- **Retrospective Design with Potential Biases** Being retrospective, the study is susceptible to selection and information biases, which are not sufficiently addressed.
- **Lack of Statistical Analysis** The analysis is purely descriptive. No inferential statistics are employed to assess associations or significance, reducing analytical depth.
- **Insufficient Ethical Clearance Details** The paper does not mention whether ethical approval was obtained, which is critical for studies involving patient data.

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

*www.journalijar.com*

---

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

### Reviewer Comments

- **Ethical Clearance:** The paper states that data were collected from records honoring patient anonymity and that the study conforms to the Helsinki Declaration. However, it does not specify if formal ethical approval was obtained from an ethics committee. Clarification on this point is necessary to confirm compliance with research standards.
- **Methodology:** While the methodology is generally well-described, it is limited by its retrospective nature. The substantial percentage of missing histology reports raises concerns about data completeness, which should be acknowledged and addressed.
- **Typographical Mistakes:** The document appears to have minor typographical issues, mainly related to formatting inconsistencies, but overall, typographical errors are minimal.
- **Grammar and English Quality:** The paper's language is generally clear, with some areas that could benefit from editing for conciseness and clarity but does not impede understanding.
- **Formatting Issues:** The text includes inconsistent numbering, spacing, and structure. Improvements in formatting would enhance readability, especially in the presentation of tables and figures.
- **Clarity of Objectives, Results, and Conclusions:** Objectives are clearly outlined. Results are presented in a straightforward manner; however, the conclusions could better highlight the implications of the findings for screening strategies and public health.
- **References:** References are provided, though some are incomplete or lack detail. Ensuring all references follow a consistent citation style and are complete would strengthen the manuscript.
- **Missing or Incomplete Information:** Details on ethical approval, long-term patient follow-up, and reasons for the high rate of missing histology data should be included. Additionally, discussing potential biases and limitations more explicitly would improve transparency.