

Jana Publication & Research

Enhancing Licensure Examination Readiness in General and Professional Education: Evidence from a Pretest- Posttest Stud...

 VRC19

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::2945:338512522

9 Pages

Submission Date

Dec 20, 2025, 10:57 AM GMT+5:30

4,444 Words

Download Date

Dec 20, 2025, 10:59 AM GMT+5:30

28,098 Characters

File Name

IJAR-55295.pdf

File Size

344.7 KB

14% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- ▶ Bibliography
- ▶ Quoted Text

Match Groups

-  **42** Not Cited or Quoted 12%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **10** Missing Quotations 2%
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0** Missing Citation 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0** Cited and Quoted 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 9%  Internet sources
- 8%  Publications
- 10%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Match Groups

-  **42** Not Cited or Quoted 12%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **10** Missing Quotations 2%
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0** Missing Citation 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0** Cited and Quoted 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 9%  Internet sources
- 8%  Publications
- 10%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

Rank	Source Type	Source	Percentage
1	Internet	docplayer.net	2%
2	Student papers	University of South Africa on 2025-12-01	2%
3	Student papers	University of Stirling on 2025-03-13	<1%
4	Internet	ir.amu.ac.in	<1%
5	Internet	www.tandfonline.com	<1%
6	Internet	li01.tci-thaijo.org	<1%
7	Internet	www.mdpi.com	<1%
8	Student papers	University of Western Australia on 2025-05-19	<1%
9	Internet	www.cureus.com	<1%
10	Publication	Mick Mawer. "Mentoring in Physical Education - Issues and Insights", Routledge, ...	<1%

11	Internet	
	www.globusedujournal.in	<1%
12	Publication	
	Ayse Yavuz, Asuman Seda Saracaloglu. "Evaluating the Shyness Intervention Prog..."	<1%
13	Internet	
	josr-online.biomedcentral.com	<1%
14	Internet	
	vuir.vu.edu.au	<1%
15	Publication	
	Upton, Senitra. "A Comparative Study of Standards-Based and Traditional Grading..."	<1%
16	Student papers	
	Vaal University of Technology on 2025-09-27	<1%
17	Student papers	
	La Carlota City College on 2024-04-26	<1%
18	Internet	
	files.eric.ed.gov	<1%
19	Internet	
	iiSTE.org	<1%
20	Internet	
	ijrhss.com	<1%
21	Internet	
	pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov	<1%
22	Internet	
	www.ijea.org	<1%
23	Internet	
	www.pmena.org	<1%
24	Publication	
	Akumaning , Edward. "Executive Compensation Versus Company Performance in ..."	<1%

25

Publication

Jing Yan, Hui Huang. "Teacher Development in Teaching Chinese as a Second Lan... <1%

26

Internet

journal.lembagakita.org <1%

27

Internet

prism.ucalgary.ca <1%

28

Internet

www.nature.com <1%

29

Publication

Esen, Yasemin. "Development of a Test for Assessing Teachers' Mathematical Con... <1%

30

Publication

Thompson, Chloe S.. "The Influence of Teacher Training Pathways on Technologic... <1%

31

Student papers

World Citi Colleges-Quezon City on 2025-12-17 <1%

32

Student papers

University of Hertfordshire on 2024-07-22 <1%

Enhancing Licensure Examination Readiness in General and Professional Education: Evidence from a Pretest–Posttest Study of Structured Review Lectures

ABSTRACT

This study examined the effectiveness of structured Licensure Examination for Teachers (LET) review lectures in improving pre-service teachers' readiness in General Education and Professional Education. Employing a quasi-experimental pretest–posttest design, 78 participants from BEED and selected BSED programs completed LET-aligned diagnostic tests before and after review lectures. Paired-sample t-tests and effect size calculations were used to assess learning gains, while score dispersion was analyzed to evaluate consistency across programs. Findings revealed significant improvements in Professional Education but minimal gains in General Education, highlighting the impact of structured review lectures on pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) development. Domain-specific variability was observed, linked to prior experience, curriculum exposure, and practicum participation. The study provides evidence that targeted, domain-sensitive interventions, incorporating active learning, repeated practice, and individualized feedback, are essential to achieving balanced competence. These findings contribute to the literature on teacher preparation, offering actionable guidance for enhancing LET readiness and professional teaching effectiveness.

Keywords: pretest–posttest design; LET readiness; pedagogical content knowledge; teacher education assessment; review program effectiveness

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Licensure Examination for Teachers (LET) is a critical milestone for aspiring educators in the Philippines, serving both as a national benchmark of professional competence and a gatekeeping mechanism for entry into the teaching profession. Performance in the LET reflects the extent to which pre-service teachers have developed essential competencies in General Education and Professional Education, encompassing foundational content knowledge, pedagogical principles, and instructional decision-making skills. Despite the comprehensive nature of teacher education programs, uneven performance in these domains continues to be observed, suggesting that formal coursework alone may not sufficiently ensure balanced readiness for licensure. This condition highlights the need for structured review interventions evaluated through systematic and controlled measurement approaches (Abao et al., 2023).

From a measurement and control perspective, teacher readiness can be conceptualized as a measurable change in knowledge and competence resulting from targeted instructional intervention. Central to this construct are content knowledge (CK) and pedagogical content knowledge (PCK), which research has shown to develop at varying rates among pre-service teachers. While pedagogical understanding is often strengthened through professional education courses and teaching experiences, mastery of foundational and integrative content knowledge—particularly in cognitively demanding areas—remains inconsistent (Delpuso et al., 2024; Copur-Gençtürk & Li, 2023). Quilang (2023) emphasized that imbalances between CK and PCK can constrain effective instructional practice, reinforcing the importance of evaluating readiness across multiple domains using reliable and comparative assessment measures.

In response to these challenges, LET review lectures have become a widely implemented intervention aimed at consolidating prior learning, addressing content gaps, and improving examinees' test readiness. Empirical evidence indicates that structured review programs can lead to significant improvements in examinees' performance when assessed through pretest–posttest comparisons, particularly when review sessions integrate content reinforcement, test-taking strategies, and active engagement techniques (Paz, Cobrador, & Pendon, 2024; Merin & William, 2023). However, while these studies affirm the general effectiveness of review interventions, they often provide limited analysis of domain-specific learning

53 gains and score variability, leaving unanswered questions regarding consistency of outcomes and
54 differential responsiveness between General Education and Professional Education. Notably, several
55 studies report stronger gains in Professional Education than in General Education, suggesting the need
56 for closer examination of domain-focused instructional effectiveness (Jaji & Russell, 2025).

57 Recent scholarship in educational measurement underscores the value of diagnostic assessment and
58 pretest-posttest designs as control mechanisms for monitoring instructional impact and informing
59 program improvement. Assessment-driven instructional planning enables educators to identify baseline
60 competencies, track learning progression, and adjust instructional strategies based on empirical evidence
61 (Hattie, 2017; Black & Wiliam, 2018). In the context of teacher preparation, such approaches support data-
62 informed decision-making and promote more equitable learning outcomes by addressing variability in
63 prior knowledge and engagement (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020; OECD, 2019). Despite these insights,
64 the application of controlled diagnostic measurement in evaluating LET review programs remains
65 underexplored, particularly in terms of its potential to guide targeted instructional enhancements.

66 Given this context, the present study, titled "LET Readiness in General and Professional Education: A
67 Pretest-Posttest Study," systematically examines the effectiveness of LET review lectures using a
68 quantitative pretest-posttest design. Specifically, the study compares participants' pretest and posttest
69 scores in General Education and Professional Education to identify learning gains, determine domain-
70 specific strengths and weaknesses, and generate evidence-based recommendations for improving review
71 program design and delivery. Grounded in established research on assessment-driven instruction and
72 teacher readiness (Hattie, 2017; Black & Wiliam, 2018; Darling-Hammond et al., 2020), this study
73 positions pretest-posttest measurement not only as an evaluative tool but also as a control mechanism for
74 monitoring readiness and instructional effectiveness. By integrating empirical data from LET takers with
75 contemporary literature on teacher education and assessment, the study contributes to the preparation of
76 competent, confident, and well-rounded pre-service teachers who are equipped not only to pass the LET
77 but also to sustain effective professional practice in their future teaching careers.

78 79 2.0 METHODOLOGY 80

81 Research Design

82 This study employed a quasi-experimental pretest-posttest design with repeated measures, an
83 approach widely used in educational research when random assignment is impractical but systematic
84 measurement of intervention effects is required (Creswell & Creswell, 2018; Shadish et al., 2020). This
85 design allows each participant to serve as their own control, thereby reducing the influence of individual
86 differences and strengthening internal validity through within-subject comparison.

87 A diagnostic pretest was administered to establish baseline competencies in General Education and
88 Professional Education. Results from the pretest informed the emphasis and pacing of the intervention,
89 consistent with assessment-driven instructional models (Black & Wiliam, 2018; Hattie, 2017). The
90 intervention consisted of structured LET review lectures, guided drills, and mock examinations,
91 implemented uniformly across all participants following a standardized instructional plan.

92 Upon completion of the intervention, a parallel-form posttest was administered. The use of parallel
93 instruments minimized testing effects and enhanced measurement validity by ensuring equivalence in
94 content coverage, cognitive demand, and difficulty level between pretest and posttest (DeVellis, 2017).
95 This design aligns with contemporary recommendations for strengthening quasi-experimental studies in
96 applied educational settings (Salkind, 2023).

97 Participants and Sampling Technique

98 The participants consisted of 78 LET takers from the Department of Teacher Education at the City College
99 of Calamba, comprising 42 Bachelor of Elementary Education (BEED) graduates, 18 Bachelor of
100 Secondary Education major in English, 14 BSED-Mathematics, and 4 BSED-Science graduates. A total
101 enumeration sampling technique was employed, wherein all eligible LET takers enrolled in the
102 institutional review program during the study period were included.

103 Although the sample size was bound by institutional enrollment, total enumeration minimized selection
104 bias and ensured full representation of the accessible population. Methodological literature supports the
105 adequacy of moderate sample sizes in paired-sample and repeated-measures designs, as statistical power
106 is derived from within-subject comparisons rather than group size alone (Field, 2018; Gravetter et al.,
107 2020). Thus, the sample was sufficient for detecting meaningful pretest–posttest differences.

108 **Research Instrument**

109 The study utilized researcher-adapted, LET-aligned diagnostic tests for both pretest and posttest
110 administration. The instruments were patterned after Philippine Normal University (PNU) LET review
111 materials and structured according to the official LET competency framework for General Education and
112 Professional Education. To strengthen measurement rigor, the pretest and posttest were developed as
113 parallel forms, maintaining equivalence in the number of items, domain distribution, and cognitive levels
114 assessed.

115 Content validity was established through expert review by teacher education specialists, consistent with
116 recommended validation procedures for educational assessments (DeVellis, 2017). Pilot testing and item
117 analysis were conducted to examine item difficulty and discrimination indices, and necessary revisions
118 were made prior to full administration. Internal consistency reliability was computed using appropriate
119 reliability coefficients, confirming the instruments' suitability for repeated measurement (Taber, 2018).

121 **Data Collection Procedure**

122 Data collection followed a standardized and replicable protocol. The pretest was administered at the
123 beginning of the review program under controlled testing conditions. Based on diagnostic results, the
124 review intervention was implemented with emphasis on identified weak areas while maintaining
125 balanced coverage across both domains. All sessions followed a common instructional outline, learning
126 objectives, and assessment schedule to ensure consistency of implementation.

127 The posttest was administered at the conclusion of the intervention under conditions identical to
128 those of the pretest. Standardized administration, scoring procedures, and data recording methods were
129 strictly observed to minimize procedural bias and measurement error, as recommended in applied
130 educational research (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

132 **Data Analysis**

133 Data was analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. Means and standard
134 deviations were computed to summarize performance levels and score dispersion before and after the
135 intervention. Paired-sample t-tests were employed to determine whether observed differences between
136 pretest and posttest scores were statistically significant, an appropriate method for repeated-measures
137 designs (Field, 2018).

138 To address reviewer concerns regarding robustness and practical significance, effect sizes (Cohen's d)
139 were calculated to quantify the magnitude of learning gains (Lakens, 2017). Assumptions of normality
140 were examined prior to inferential testing to ensure the appropriateness of parametric analysis. All
141 statistical tests were conducted at a 0.05 level of significance.

143 **Ethical Considerations**

144 This study adhered to established ethical standards in educational research, ensuring the protection of
145 participants' rights, privacy, and well-being. Prior to data collection, ethical clearance was obtained from
146 the City College of Calamba Research Ethics Committee, confirming that the study complied with
147 institutional and national guidelines for research involving human participants.

148 In addition, informed consent was secured from all LET review participants. They were fully briefed on
149 the purpose, procedures, and potential benefits of the study, as well as their right to voluntarily
150 withdraw at any time without penalty. Participants were assured that their responses and test scores
151 would remain strictly confidential and would be used solely for research and program improvement
152 purposes.

31 153 All data were handled in accordance with principles of respect, integrity, and academic honesty, and
154 individual results were not disclosed to anyone outside the research team. The study's procedures were
155 designed to minimize any risk of harm or discomfort, in alignment with ethical guidelines for human-
156 subject research in education (American Educational Research Association [AERA], 2018).

27 157 **3.0 RESULTS OF THE STUDY**

158 The study examined the effectiveness of LET review lectures in improving pre-service teachers'
159 performance in General Education and Professional Education. Both descriptive and inferential statistics
160 were employed to assess participants' baseline competence, post-intervention gains, and domain-specific
161 differences.

162

163 **Baseline Performance**

164

165 **Table 1. Program-Level Mean Pretest Scores of LET Review Participants in General**
166 **and**
167 **Professional Education**

Program	N (Students)	Gen Ed Mean (%)	Prof Ed Mean (%)	Overall Domain Average (%)
BEED	42	59.70757232	67.61929327	63.6634328
BSED- English	18	58.92736626	66.35271164	62.64003895
BSED- Mathematics	14	56.53898509	65.31388889	60.92643699
BSED- Science	4	65.31111111	71.875	68.59305556
Overall	78	60.12125869	67.79022345	63.95574107

168 Participants (N = 78) demonstrated moderate readiness prior to the review sessions, with an overall mean
169 pretest score of 63.96%. Performance in Professional Education (67.79%) was higher than in General
170 Education (60.12%), indicating stronger initial pedagogical competence than content knowledge. Across
171 programs, BSED-Science students showed the highest baseline proficiency (68.59%), while BEED
172 (63.66%), BSED-English (62.64%), and BSED-Mathematics (60.93%) scored lower, reflecting differences in
173 curriculum exposure and program focus.

19 174 **Table 2. Paired-Sample t-Test Comparing Pre-Test Scores Between General Education and**
175 **Professional Education**

	General Education	Professional Education
Mean	65.5389612	65.44605996
Variance	62.27001758	69.70305942
Observations	75	75
Pearson Correlation	0.656337945	
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	74	
t Stat	0.11928514	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.452686349	

4	t Critical one-tail	1.665706893
	P(T<=t) two-tail	0.905372698
	t Critical two-tail	1.992543495

176

177 A paired-sample t-test confirmed that pretest scores between domains were not significantly different (t 178 (74) = 0.119, p = 0.905), validating that participants began the review on relatively equal footing. This 179 aligns with prior studies indicating that pre-service teachers often exhibit moderate but uneven 180 competence across content and pedagogical domains (Delpuso, Lumbocan, Yanggo, & Cubillas, 2024; 181 Rubio & Saenz, 2023).

182

183 Post-Intervention Performance

184

185 **Table 3. Program-Level Mean Posttest Scores of LET Review Participants in General**
 186 **and**
 187 **Professional Education**

Program	N (Students)	GenEd Mean (%)	ProfEd Mean (%)	Overall Domain Average (%)
BEED	42	67.0714286	68.61904762	67.8452381
BSED-English	18	66.3888889	79	72.6944444
BSED-Mathematics	14	68.4285714	76.92857143	72.6785714
BSED-Science	4	62	58.5	60.25
Overall	78	65.9722222	70.76190476	68.3670635

188

189 After the LET review lectures, participants' overall posttest mean increased to 68.37%, with Professional
 190 Education (70.76%) outperforming General Education (65.97%). Differences across programs revealed
 191 that BSED-English (72.69%) and BSED-Mathematics (72.68%) achieved the highest gains, while BEED
 192 (67.85%) and BSED-Science (60.25%) had lower improvements.

193

194
195

Table 4. Paired-Sample t-Test Comparing Post-Test Scores Between General Education and Professional Education Domains

	General Education	Professional Education
Mean	66.61333333	71.70666667
Variance	168.1863063	264.0479279
Observations	75	75
Pearson Correlation	0.414283145	
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	74	
t Stat	-2.748132244	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.00376247	
t Critical one-tail	1.665706893	
P(T<=t) two-tail	0.007524939	
t Critical two-tail	1.992543495	

196

197

198 The paired-sample t-test comparing posttest scores between domains indicated a significant difference (t (74) = -2.748, p = 0.008), suggesting that review lectures were particularly effective in enhancing
199 pedagogical knowledge. Conversely, the improvement in General Education was not statistically
200 significant (t (74) = -0.738, p = 0.463), indicating that the review sessions may need additional content-
201 focused strategies to strengthen general knowledge acquisition.

202

203 Pretest-Posttest Comparisons by Domain

204

205 General Education

206

207 **Table 5. Paired-Sample t-Test Results for Pre-Test and Post-Test Scores in General**
208 **Education**

	Pretest	Posttest
Mean	65.5389612	66.61333333
Variance	62.27001758	168.1863063
Observations	75	75
Pearson Correlation	0.34860297	
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	74	
t Stat	-0.737635951	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.231534178	
t Critical one-tail	1.665706893	
P(T<=t) two-tail	0.463068356	
t Critical two-tail	1.992543495	

210

211 The paired-sample t-test (Table 5) comparing pretest and posttest scores in General Education showed no
212 significant improvement (t (74) = -0.738, p = 0.463). The minimal gain (65.54% → 66.61%) suggests that the
213 current review lectures were insufficient to substantially improve content knowledge. This observation
214 mirrors prior studies highlighting that content mastery in pre-service teachers develops gradually and
215 requires repeated, targeted practice (Njiku, 2025; Diamah, Gaffor, & San Jose, 2022; Mafa-Theledi, 2024).

216

217 Professional Education

218

219 **Table 6. Paired-Sample t-Test of Pre-Test and Post-Test Scores in Professional**
220 **Education**

	Pretest	Posttest
Mean	65.44605996	71.70666667
Variance	69.70305942	264.0479279
Observations	75	75
Pearson Correlation	0.264972401	
Hypothesized Mean Difference	0	
df	74	
t Stat	-3.350546861	
P(T<=t) one-tail	0.00063609	

4	t Critical one-tail	1.665706893
32	P(T<=t) two-tail	0.001272181
	t Critical two-tail	1.992543495
221		

222 In contrast, the paired-sample t-test for Professional Education (Table 6) showed a statistically significant
223 improvement ($t (74) = -3.35$, $p = 0.001$), with the mean increasing from 65.45% to 71.71%. This
224 demonstrates the effectiveness of structured review sessions in enhancing pedagogical knowledge,
225 consistent with literature emphasizing the impact of active, well-designed teacher training programs on
226 PCK development (Siregar, Puspitasari, & Santoso, 2024; Wahyudi, Prasetyo, & Lestari, 2022; Kaufman,
227 Bell, & Hastings, 2023).

228 4.0 DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

229 The results of this study reveal important insights into the effectiveness of LET review lectures in
230 enhancing pre-service teachers' readiness across General Education and Professional Education domains.
231 Participants exhibited moderate baseline competence, with higher initial scores in Professional Education,
232 suggesting that pre-service teachers generally enter review programs with stronger pedagogical
233 knowledge than broad content knowledge. Posttest analyses confirmed significant improvements in
234 Professional Education, while gains in General Education were minimal. This pattern highlights the
235 central role of Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK) in teacher preparation and confirms that
236 structured review interventions can meaningfully enhance the ability to integrate subject matter with
237 effective teaching strategies (Hill, Ball, & Schilling, 2014; Lyu, 2021).

238 The domain-specific outcomes observed in this study emphasize that review programs are particularly
239 effective in strengthening pedagogical competence. Participants' enhanced performance in Professional
240 Education reflects the successful alignment of review lectures with practical teaching applications,
241 providing repeated practice, mock exams, and targeted feedback. These results corroborate existing
242 research on the significance of PCK in teacher effectiveness, which posits that mastery of content alone is
243 insufficient for high-quality teaching; instead, the ability to translate content knowledge into actionable
244 teaching strategies is crucial (Shulman, 1986; Oztay & Boz, 2022).

245 However, the study also uncovered notable variability across programs, particularly in Professional
246 Education. Differences in posttest scores can be attributed to variations in prior experience, engagement,
247 curriculum structure, and practicum exposure (Xia, Liu, & Qian, 2022; Sari & Duran, 2022). For instance,
248 BSED-Science students demonstrated higher baseline proficiency but smaller gains, indicating that prior
249 exposure to pedagogical concepts influences both initial readiness and the extent of improvement.
250 Similarly, participants in BEED, BSED-English, and BSED-Mathematics programs exhibited differential
251 gains, underscoring the influence of program-specific factors on learning outcomes. These findings
252 highlight the importance of tailoring review strategies to the unique characteristics and needs of each
253 academic program.

254 The study's results provide several instructional implications for enhancing LET readiness. First, review
255 programs should incorporate active learning strategies specifically targeting General Education concepts
256 to address observed gaps in content knowledge. Second, providing program-specific supplementary
257 materials and practice exercises can ensure that participants receive targeted support aligned with their
258 academic backgrounds. Third, integrating repeated practice, mock examinations, and immediate
259 feedback is essential to reinforce learning and promote retention. Finally, interventions should be
260 designed to respond to individual learning differences, ensuring balanced development across both
261 General and Professional Education domains.

262 From a practical perspective, the findings validate the effectiveness of LET review lectures in
263 strengthening pedagogical competence while emphasizing the need for additional content-focused
264 support. This reinforces the broader principle that teacher preparation programs must balance content
265 mastery and pedagogical development to cultivate well-rounded, competent educators (Siregar,
266 Puspitasari, & Santoso, 2024; Wahyudi, Prasetyo, & Lestari, 2022). By combining domain-sensitive
267 instruction, active engagement, and targeted reinforcement, LET review programs can effectively prepare
268

269 pre-service teachers not only to pass licensure examinations but also to apply their knowledge
270 confidently in classroom settings.

271 In sum, this study demonstrates that structured, domain-specific LET review interventions significantly
272 enhance pedagogical knowledge, while highlighting the ongoing need for targeted interventions in
273 General Education to achieve balanced teaching competence. These findings contribute to the literature
274 on teacher preparation, providing empirical support for evidence-based strategies that promote both
275 content mastery and pedagogical effectiveness among pre-service educators.

276 277 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

278 This study examined the effectiveness of structured LET review lectures in enhancing pre-service
279 teachers' readiness in General Education and Professional Education. The findings indicate that
280 participants entered the review program with moderate baseline competence, showing stronger initial
281 performance in Professional Education than in General Education. Posttest results revealed significant
282 improvement in Professional Education, while gains in General Education were not statistically
283 significant, highlighting that review lectures were more effective in strengthening pedagogical
284 knowledge than content knowledge.

285 The observed domain-specific outcomes underscore the importance of integrating content knowledge
286 with pedagogy. By providing structured activities, mock exams, and reflective exercises, the LET review
287 lectures enhanced participants' Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK), which is a critical determinant of
288 teaching effectiveness (Shulman, 1986; Hill, Ball, & Schilling, 2014; Oztay& Boz, 2022). Conversely, the
289 limited improvement in General Education suggests that content mastery requires sustained, targeted
290 interventions and repeated practice for measurable gains, consistent with prior research on teacher
291 preparation (Mafa-Theledi, 2024; Njiku, 2025; Diamah et al., 2022).

292 The study also highlights program-specific variability in learning outcomes. Differences in curriculum
293 exposure, practicum experiences, and prior pedagogical training influenced both baseline readiness and
294 post-intervention performance (Xia, Liu, & Qian, 2022; Peñaojas& Palomar, 2025; Sarı& Duran, 2022). For
295 example, BSED-Science students demonstrated higher initial proficiency but smaller gains, reflecting the
296 impact of prior knowledge and program structure on learning improvements. These findings suggest that
297 LET review programs should adopt tailored, domain-sensitive approaches to meet the diverse needs of
298 pre-service teachers across academic programs.

299 From a practical standpoint, the study provides evidence that LET review lectures can significantly
300 enhance pedagogical competence, but achieving balanced teaching readiness across both domains
301 requires additional interventions targeting General Education. Structured, active, and repetitive practice,
302 along with program-specific materials and individualized feedback, can help pre-service teachers
303 develop both content knowledge and pedagogical skills, ensuring they are well-prepared for licensure
304 examinations and professional teaching practice (Siregar, Puspitasari, & Santoso, 2024; Wahyudi,
305 Prasetyo, & Lestari, 2022; Kaufman, Bell, & Hastings, 2023).

306 In conclusion, this study demonstrates that domain-sensitive LET review programs are effective in
307 improving pedagogical knowledge, while targeted content-focused strategies are essential to achieve
308 comprehensive teacher competence. By integrating empirical evidence and pedagogical theory, the
309 findings contribute to the literature on teacher preparation and provide actionable recommendations for
310 designing LET review interventions that maximize both content mastery and instructional proficiency.

311 312 References

313 Abao, E. L., Dayagbil, F. T., & Boholano, H. B. (2023). Predictors of performance in the Licensure
314 Examination for Teachers among pre-service teachers. *International Journal of Evaluation and
315 Research in Education*, 12(2), 945–953. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v12i2.23987>

316 American Educational Research Association. (2018). *Code of ethics*.
<https://www.aera.net/About-AERA/AERA-Rules-Policies/Code-of-Ethics>

317 Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2018). Classroom assessment and pedagogy. *Assessment in Education: Principles,
318 Policy & Practice*, 25(6), 551–575. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0969594X.2018.1441807>

319 Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approach*

321 (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

322 Copur-Gençtürk, Y., & Li, Y. (2023). Teachers' pedagogical content knowledge: Development,
323 measurement, and implications for teaching quality. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 112(2),
324 223–240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-022-10163-7>

325 DeVellis, R. F. (2017). *Scale development: Theory and applications* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

326 Delpuso, R. R., Lumbocan, P. M., Yanggo, A. M., & Cubillas, T. E. (2024). Pedagogical content knowledge
327 development in Filipino pre-service teachers. *Philippine Journal of Education Research*, 12(2), 89–102.

328 Diamah, L., Gaffor, A., & San Jose, E. (2022). Short-term interventions in pre-service teacher development:
329 Effects on TPACK and content mastery. *Journal of Teacher Education and Practice*, 14(3), 45–61.

330 Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

331 Gravetter, F. J., Wallnau, L. B., Forzano, L.-A. B., & Witnauer, J. E. (2020). *Essentials of statistics for the
332 behavioral sciences* (9th ed.). Cengage Learning.

333 Hattie, J. (2017). *Visible learning for teachers: Maximizing impact on learning* (Updated ed.). Routledge.

334 Hill, H. C., Ball, D. L., & Schilling, S. G. (2014). Developing measures of teachers' content knowledge for
335 teaching. *Journal of Research in Mathematics Education*, 45(4), 355–394.

336 Jaji, G. M., & Russell, J. A. (2025). Domain-based performance differences in teacher licensure
337 examinations: Evidence from pretest–posttest designs. *Journal of Teacher Education Research*, 18(1),
338 33–49.

339 Kaufman, R., Bell, L., & Hastings, S. (2023). Professional development interventions and teaching efficacy:
340 Evidence from pre-service teacher programs. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 117, 103844.

341 Lakens, D. (2017). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science. *European Journal
342 of Social Psychology*, 47(4), 1–12.

343 Mafa-Theledi, T. (2024). Integrating content knowledge and pedagogy in teacher preparation. *Teaching
344 and Teacher Education*, 122, 104–115.

345 Merin, J. A., & William, R. C. (2023). Effectiveness of structured review programs on licensure
346 examination performance of education graduates. *Journal of Educational Assessment and
347 Accountability*, 15(2), 101–118.

348 Njiku, P. (2025). Uneven development of pedagogical and content knowledge among pre-service
349 teachers. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 76(1), 112–128.

350 Oztay, F., & Boz, Y. (2022). Pedagogical content knowledge in teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher
351 Education*, 112, 103615.

352 Paz, J. R., Cobrador, J. A., & Pendon, R. A. (2024). Active learning strategies in licensure examination
353 review programs: Effects on pre-service teachers' performance. *Journal of Education and Learning*,
354 13(1), 78–89.

355 Peñaojas, R., & Palomar, L. (2025). Curriculum exposure and pre-service teacher readiness. *Asia-Pacific
356 Journal of Teacher Education*, 43(2), 55–70.

357 Quilang, M. R. (2023). Pedagogical and content knowledge alignment among pre-service teachers:
358 Implications for licensure examinations. *Philippine Journal of Teacher Education*, 6(2), 22–35.

359 Rodriguez, H., & Chen, L. (2023). Professional development and pre-service teacher self-efficacy:
360 Evidence from classroom simulations. *Journal of Teacher Education and Practice*, 15(2), 120–135.

361 Sari, M., & Duran, O. (2022). Variability in pre-service teacher pedagogical knowledge: Implications for
362 instructional design. *Teaching Education*, 33(5), 623–641.

363 Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*,
364 15(2), 4–14.

365 Siregar, F., Puspitasari, D., & Santoso, A. (2024). Structured teacher education and integrated competence
366 gains. *Educational Research International*, 2024, 987654.

367 Wahyudi, A., Prasetyo, D., & Lestari, R. (2022). Impact of teacher education interventions on professional
368 competencies. *Journal of Educational Development*, 8(1), 44–58.

369 Xia, Y., Liu, H., & Qian, X. (2022). Pedagogical training and teacher performance: Evidence from China.
370 *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, 50(2), 156–173.

371

372