ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928



International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55402

Title: "Dilated Cardiomyopathy as the Initial Presentation of Cardiac Sarcoidosis in a Young Patient"

Recommendation:	Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
✓ Accept as it is	Originality		✓		
Accept after minor revision Accept after major revision	Techn. Quality		✓		
Do not accept (Reasons below)	Clarity		√		
	Significance	√			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Date: 23.12.25

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strengths of the Paper

- Clarity and Focus on a Specific Clinical Scenario: The case is well-described, illustrating the unique presentation of cardiac sarcoidosis as dilated cardiomyopathy in a young patient, which highlights a rare but important clinical entity.
- Use of Multimodal Imaging: The paper emphasizes the crucial role of advanced cardiac imaging techniques such as cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and thoracoabdominal CT scans in supporting the diagnosis.
- Clear Therapeutic Approach and Follow-up: The management strategy, including immunosuppressive therapy and heart failure medications, is detailed with a positive clinical outcome, providing valuable insights for clinicians.
- Comprehensive Literature Integration: The discussion references relevant current literature and guidelines, grounding the case in the context of existing knowledge.

Weaknesses of the Paper

- Limited Explanation of Methodology: As a case report, the methodology section is minimal. However, details about diagnostic criteria, biopsy procedures, or additional laboratory tests could be further clarified.
- **Insufficient Details on Diagnostic Workup:** The rationale for choosing specific tests, such as the decision not to perform FDG-PET, and the exclusion of other differential diagnoses could be elaborated.
- Lack of Visual Data: The description of imaging findings is referenced with a figure, but the figure itself is not provided in this excerpt. Including images would significantly strengthen the case.
- **Inconsistencies in Referencing and Formatting:** Some references appear outdated or lack specific details, and formatting inconsistencies are evident throughout the text.

Reviewer Comments

• Ethical Clearance Status: The paper mentions that written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication, which is appropriate. However, a statement regarding ethical approval or local institutional review board approval is absent. For case reports, typically, formal ethical approval is not required, but a statement explicitly indicating this would improve clarity.

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- **Issues in Methodology:** The diagnostic process is well-described, but further details about how differential diagnoses were ruled out, and whether endomyocardial biopsy was considered or performed, are lacking. Clarification on these points would enhance the scientific rigor.
- **Typographical Mistakes:** No obvious typographical errors are detected in the provided text. A full review of the technical manuscript is recommended to confirm.
- Grammar and English Language Quality: The language is clear and professional, though minor stylistic improvements could be made for conciseness and flow.
- **Formatting Issues:** The formatting is generally acceptable; however, the references section could be better formatted according to journal standards, and figure placement or descriptions should be more precise.
- Clarity of Objectives, Results, and Conclusion: The objectives are adequately clear, centered on reporting a rare presentation and discussing diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. Results are well laid out, demonstrating the clinical course and improvement post-treatment. The conclusion effectively summarizes the main points but could reiterate the importance of early recognition.
- Adequacy of References: The references are appropriate and relevant, but some are quite recent (2021-2022), suggesting the literature is current. Ensuring all references are correctly formatted is advised.
- Missing or Incomplete Information: Including the actual imaging figures referenced would improve comprehension. Details about biopsy procedures or histological confirmation are minimal; clarification or inclusion of biopsy results would strengthen the diagnosis.