

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55618

Title: Management and Outcomes of Iatrogenic Bile Duct Injuries Post-Cholecystectomy: A Tertiary Hospital Experience in Retrospective Review

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....Y.....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		Y		
Techn. Quality		Y		
Clarity		Y		
Significance	Y			

Reviewer Name: Mr. Shashi Prakash

Detailed Reviewer's Report

This manuscript represents a valuable case analysis from the past regarding iatrogenic bile duct injuries that followed cholecystectomy. This study carries important meaning when considering the number of patients enrolled to be quite large and all cholecystectomies performed both by laparoscopic and open procedures. The study emphasizes the necessity of a multidisciplinary team approach when treating bile duct injuries.

The title is descriptive, provides information, and represents the nature of the study effectively.

The abstract provides a very good summary of the study but contains a absolute amount of numeric data that sometimes makes it a bit difficult to understand. However, adding a key finding, remove redundancies, and present results in nontechnical terms that are easier for a wide audience to grasp.

Literature

It is very comprehensive and supports references. On the positive side, it covers incidence, risk factors, and treatment. The part that needs improvement is to cut out repetitive sections on the incidence and timing of BDI. Need to add knowledge gap and the purpose of the study explicitly.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Patients and Methods

This is a very informative section that gives a clear understanding of inclusion criteria, diagnostic methodologies, classification framework, and outcome measures. Clarification on defining major and minor BDI needs to be brought in early on in this section, and all symbols or shortened forms should be clearly defined on their first appearance. Add ethical approval in this section.

The results are detailed with sufficient use of tables and images. The results are quite clear, with better organization of tests per BDI type and treatment form. The percents sometimes do not add up exactly to the number cross check once.

The discussion section includes every aspect and has adequate support from literature.

Conclusion: Clear and relevant.