

1 **INTERNATIONAL LEGAL AND REGULATORY ASPECTS OF COMPUTER  
2 CRIMES IN THE FOOD SECURITY SYSTEM**

3 **Abstract**

4 Modern computer crime necessitates criminal-law counteraction by states and the international  
5 community. It is essential to respond by establishing unified international legal norms regulating  
6 the elements of computer crimes and liability for their commission, as well as by incorporating  
7 them into national legislation.

8 **Keywords:** computer crimes, United Nations, food security

9 

---

10 **Summary**

11 The main provisions of the report from the 44th session of the Food and Agriculture  
12 Organization are examined. Using a culturomic approach, methodologies and techniques in  
13 modern food studies are presented. It is concluded that when applying modern high  
14 technologies in food-related activities—especially in management decision-making—effective  
15 models of cybersecurity, information protection, and personal data protection must be created  
16 and continuously improved. It is emphasized that in the 21st century, food security will depend  
17 decisively on the role of the state, considering national, supranational, subnational, and global  
18 factors.

19 **Keywords:** computer crimes, food security, United Nations

20 

---

21 **Introduction**

22 Modern computer crimes, in the context of transformation within the technotronic environment,  
23 have a cross-border and transnational character. However, in the existing cyberspace, they have  
24 no state or geographical boundaries. Computer criminals, forming a technical “underground” of  
25 the global community, are not divided by nationality. They unite in international organized  
26 criminal groups.

27 Therefore, these characteristics of contemporary computer crime necessitate criminal-law  
28 countermeasures by states and the international community through the establishment of unified  
29 international legal norms regulating computer crimes and liability for their commission,  
30 followed by their implementation in national criminal legislation.

31 

---

32 **Methods**

33 The empirical research method was used to collect and analyze data from real cases and events.  
34 A historical-logical approach was applied to reveal the objectives and tasks of the study. The  
35 framework of the research and interpretation of results relied on theoretical knowledge. The  
36 historical method was also used to analyze past events based on primary sources and other  
37 evidence, along with the general scientific method of synthesis.

39 **Results**

40 In academic circles, it is considered that the first international study of the problem of  
41 computer crime and the development by the global community of criminal-law  
42 measures to combat it—including computer crimes in the field of food security—was  
43 undertaken by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development  
44 (hereinafter OECD) during the period from 1983 to 1985. This is reflected in the report  
45 *Computer-Related Crime: Analysis of Legal Policy*. In this report, in addition to  
46 recommendations for improving the national criminal legislation of OECD member  
47 states, a minimum list of computer-related acts subject to further criminalization by  
48 legislators was formulated (for example, intentional hacking, alteration, deletion,  
49 concealment of computer data and/or computer programs, intent to commit forgery,  
50 illegal movement of funds or other tangible assets, obstruction of the functioning of  
51 computer and/or telecommunications systems, etc.) (Kopcheva, 2006).

52 Subsequently, on 26 November 1992, the OECD Council adopted a recommendation on  
53 guidelines for the security of information systems, including legal principles containing  
54 mandatory rules of criminal law providing for liability for violations of information  
55 system security.

56 A significant step toward the development of an international criminal-law mechanism  
57 to counter computer crime was also taken by the Council of Europe, which on 13  
58 September 1989, at a meeting of the Committee of Ministers, adopted Recommendation  
59 No. 2 (89) 9. This recommendation includes two lists of computer crimes recommended  
60 for criminalization in the national legislation of Council of Europe member states. The  
61 first list contains a “minimum” set of offenses that are mandatory for inclusion in  
62 criminal law (e.g., computer fraud; computer forgery; damage to computer data and  
63 computer programs; computer sabotage; unauthorized access to computer networks;  
64 unauthorized interception of data; unauthorized copying of protected computer  
65 programs). The second list includes an “additional” (optional) set of computer crime  
66 offenses recommended for criminalization in the legislation of member states.

67 In 1994, the *UN Manual on the Prevention and Control of Computer-Related Crime*  
68 (UN, 1994) was published, providing an overview of crimes regulated by the criminal  
69 legislation of foreign states in the field of data and information protection, including in  
70 cyberspace.

71 An important contribution to the development of the international criminal-law  
72 framework for combating computer crime and to law enforcement practice was made by  
73 the introduction in 1991, within the activities of the International Criminal Police  
74 Organization (hereinafter Interpol), of a computer crime codifier containing a  
75 classification of criminal acts committed using computers. This codifier has been  
76 integrated into Interpol’s automated information retrieval system and is currently used  
77 in more than 100 countries. Its advantage lies in the detailed systematization of  
78 computer crimes according to the method of their commission, which provides a fairly  
79 accurate representation. For objective reasons, however, this information is incomplete,  
80 as it does not include crimes committed using modern information and communication  
81 technologies. This finding is reflected in Recommendation No. 0 (89) 9 of the  
82 Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe of 13 September 1989.

83 It should be noted that the most important legal instrument defining the international  
84 criminal-law foundations for combating computer crime is the Council of Europe  
85 Convention on Computer Crime (hereinafter the Convention on Cybercrime), adopted  
86 on 23 November 2001 in Budapest. In the Convention on Cybercrime, existing  
87 computer crimes are divided into five groups (types): crimes against the confidentiality,  
88 integrity, and availability of computer data and systems (e.g., illegal access, illegal  
89 interception, data interference, system interference, misuse of devices); computer-  
90 related offenses (computer-related forgery, computer-related fraud); content-related  
91 offenses (offenses related to child pornography); offenses related to infringements of  
92 copyright and related rights; and offenses related to acts of racism and xenophobia  
93 committed through computer systems (Convention, 2005).

94 The Convention on Cybercrime is, in fact, the first international instrument aimed at  
95 forming a common criminal-law policy for protecting society against computer crime. It  
96 provides for the application, within the legislation of Council of Europe member states  
97 and states that have ratified the Convention, of criminal-law norms containing the  
98 elements of the most common computer crimes. In addition to the harmonization of  
99 substantive and procedural criminal law of the member states, the Convention also  
100 provides for a number of practical measures of an international nature. Special attention  
101 is paid to supporting the investigation of computer crimes and criminal proceedings  
102 related to this category of offenses. At present, the Convention on Cybercrime has been  
103 ratified by more than 50 states, including non-European countries (Australia, the  
104 Dominican Republic, Israel, Canada, Mauritius, Panama, the United States, Sri Lanka,  
105 and Japan). The Republic of Bulgaria has also ratified this Convention.

106 However, the Convention on Cybercrime does not establish a transparent mechanism  
107 for interaction between law enforcement authorities for the prevention, detection, and  
108 investigation of computer crimes. It primarily concerns computer crimes of a regional  
109 nature (initially applicable only to European states) and reflects the interests of NATO  
110 member states. It also contains legal gaps and conflicts (for example, issues related to  
111 the collection and presentation of electronic evidence, the liability of hosting providers,  
112 etc.).

113 At the Tenth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime, held from 10 to 17  
114 April 2000 in Vienna, the *Declaration on Crime and Justice: Meeting the Challenges of*  
115 *the Twenty-first Century* was adopted. Paragraph 18 establishes that UN member states  
116 commit to strengthening their capacities to prevent, investigate, and prosecute crimes  
117 related to the use of high technologies and computers (Vienna Declaration).

118 The Twelfth United Nations Congress on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice  
119 (Salvador, Brazil, 12–19 April 2010) adopted the *Declaration on Integrated Strategies*  
120 *in Response to Global Challenges: Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Systems and*  
121 *Their Development in a Changing World*. This declaration proposes that the  
122 Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice consider convening a meeting of  
123 an intergovernmental group of experts to build the capacity of national authorities to  
124 counter cybercrime, including prevention, detection, investigation, and prosecution of  
125 such crimes in all their forms, as well as to strengthen the security of computer  
126 networks (Congress, 2010).

127 At the subsequent Thirteenth United Nations Congress on Crime Prevention and  
128 Criminal Justice (Doha, 2015), the Doha Declaration reaffirmed international  
129 cooperation in combating computer crime and in creating a secure and resilient cyber  
130 environment, as well as in preventing and suppressing criminal activities carried out via  
131 the Internet. With regard to the development of criminal-law foundations for combating  
132 computer crime, the declaration focuses on specific categories of computer crimes  
133 requiring special attention from the international community: identity theft, recruitment  
134 for the purpose of human trafficking, and the protection of children from exploitation  
135 and abuse via the Internet.

136 Returning to the issue of countering computer crime in the context of developing  
137 criminal-law foundations to combat this negative social phenomenon, the adoption of a  
138 United Nations Convention on combating computer crime, in the author's view, is a  
139 long-overdue necessity. At present, a certain degree of politicization and alignment of  
140 the Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime (EU, 2025) in favor of the United  
141 States, the European Union, and other developed countries (Australia, the United  
142 Kingdom, Canada, Japan, etc.) has led to the situation in which many developing  
143 countries in Latin America, Africa, and Asia are seeking a fair alternative to ensure their  
144 cybersecurity and protect their national interests by creating their own regional  
145 international legal instruments.

146

## 147 **Conclusions**

148 It is particularly important to note that the international measures undertaken to protect  
149 against cybercrime still insufficiently address issues related to cybersecurity in the field  
150 of food supply, especially with regard to food self-sufficiency and food security. When  
151 preparing such legal and regulatory instruments, it is necessary to take into account all  
152 problems of a political, legal, procedural, and organizational nature—particularly those  
153 that hinder the activities of international judicial institutions. These include, for  
154 example: interference in the information space of sovereign states and the jurisdiction of  
155 national courts; differences in the criminal legislation of foreign states regarding the  
156 differentiation of liability for computer crimes; the lack of relevant multilateral and  
157 bilateral agreements between states on mutual legal assistance in criminal matters,  
158 including with regard to the extradition of cybercriminals; and the unwillingness of a  
159 number of countries to recognize the jurisdiction of international judicial institutions.

160 It should also be noted that, in the absence of a universally recognized United Nations  
161 international instrument, the criminal-law framework for combating computer crime  
162 remains bilateral or multilateral in nature.

163

164

## 165 **References**

166 KOPCHEVA, M., 2006 *Kompyutarniprestapleniya*. Sofia: Sibi.  
167 CONVENTION ON CYBERCRIME. [online] Viewed 30 Nov. 2025. Available from:  
168 <https://rm.coe.int/16802fa426>.

169 VIENNA DECLARATION on Crime and Justice: Meeting the Challenges of the Twenty-  
170 first Century. [viewed 09 nov. 2025]. Available from:  
171 <https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/422888?ln=ru&v=pdf>.  
172 Twelfth United Nations Congress on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Salvador, Brazil, 12-19  
173 April 2010. [viewed 09 nov. 2025]. Available from: [https://www.unodc.org/documents/crime-congress/12th-Crime-Congress/Documents/In-session/ACONF.213L.6/L6\\_E\\_rev\\_16\\_04\\_10.pdf](https://www.unodc.org/documents/crime-congress/12th-Crime-Congress/Documents/In-session/ACONF.213L.6/L6_E_rev_16_04_10.pdf)  
174 Thirteenth United Nations Congress on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Doha, 12-19 April  
175 2015 [viewed 09 nov. 2025]. Available from: <https://docs.un.org/en/A/CONF.222/L.6>  
176 UN Manual on the Prevention and Control of Computer-Related Crime. [viewed 09 nov. 2025].  
177 Available from: [Manual\\_ComputerRelatedCrime%20\(1\).pdf](#)  
178  
179  
180  
181 Proposals for a COUNCIL DECISION on the conclusion, on behalf of the European Union,  
182 of the United Nations Convention against Cybercrime; Strengthening International  
183 Cooperation for Combating Certain Crimes Committed by Means of Information and Communications  
184 Technology Systems and for the Sharing of Evidence in Electronic Form of Serious Crimes. [viewed  
185 09 nov. 2025]. Available from: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:52025PC0417>  
186  
187  
188