

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55749

Title: Transversal Planning in the 2024–2027 PPA: Children's and Adolescents' Rights Agenda

Recommendation:

Accept as it is
Accept after minor revision.....
 Accept after major revision
 Do not accept (*Reasons below*).....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		-		
Techn. Quality		-		
Clarity		-		
Significance		-		

Reviewer Name: Dr Feroze Ahmad

Detailed Reviewer's Report

The manuscript presents a conceptually rigorous and empirically grounded analysis of the Transversal Agenda for the Rights of Children and Adolescents within Brazil's PPA 2024–2027, offering a valuable contribution to debates on public planning and governance; however, several refinements are required prior to publication. The abstract effectively summarizes the study's scope and findings (lines 1–20), though it would benefit from a clearer articulation of the methodological procedures and data sources employed. The introduction (lines 21–68) convincingly situates the agenda within Brazil's institutional and political context, but could more explicitly delimit the research problem and formulate guiding research questions. The theoretical section on transversality (lines 69–124) is robust and well referenced, yet its direct analytical linkage to the empirical findings could be strengthened to avoid remaining predominantly conceptual. The methodology (lines 125–172) is clearly structured, though the criteria for selecting documents, indicators, and programs would profit from greater transparency to ensure replicability. The development and analysis sections (lines 173–410) provide a thorough and well-supported examination of objectives, indicators, budgetary fragmentation,

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

governance, and participation, but the length and density occasionally obscure the central analytical thread and could be streamlined for clarity. The conclusions and recommendations (lines 411–460) are coherent and normatively strong, although they would benefit from prioritizing the most feasible institutional reforms and clarifying their implementation pathways. Overall, the manuscript demonstrates high scholarly quality and relevance, but requires moderate revision to improve analytical focus, methodological clarity, and narrative conciseness.