



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN: 2320-5407

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

[www.journalijar.com](http://www.journalijar.com)

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55781

**Title:** Assessing Malaria and Typhoid Fever Trends Using Correlation and Covariance: Case Study of Adamawa Region (Cameroon)

**Recommendation:**

Accept as it is .....  
Accept after minor revision.....  
Accept after major revision .....  
Do not accept (*Reasons below*).....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		-		
Techn. Quality	-			
Clarity		-		
Significance		-		

Reviewer Name: Dr J Raja

## *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

### Overall Assessment

This manuscript offers a valuable statistical contribution to epidemiological surveillance by examining the dynamic relationship between malaria and typhoid fever through correlation and covariance-based time series methods. The study is well-motivated, technically sound, and relevant for public health planning in Cameroon and similar contexts.

### Strengths

#### 1. Methodological Rigor

- The use of stationarity tests (ADF and KPSS) combined with fractional differentiation demonstrates a high level of technical competence.

**REVIEWER'S REPORT**

- The combination of correlation, cross-correlation, rolling correlation, covariance, and rolling covariance offers a comprehensive analytical framework rarely applied in tandem in similar studies.

**2. Data Relevance and Scope**

- Weekly data over four years (2021–2024) provides sufficient temporal depth to justify time series modeling.
- Use of official Ministry of Public Health data enhances the reliability of findings.

**3. Clear Presentation of Results**

- Descriptive statistics and regression results are well reported.
- Visualizations support the statistical interpretations effectively.

**4. Practical Implications**

- The study meaningfully links statistical findings to disease monitoring, resource allocation, and surveillance strategies.

**Weaknesses and Areas for Improvement****1. Methodological Justification**

- The choice of fractional differentiation over classical differencing should be better justified, especially for readers unfamiliar with this approach.
- A brief comparison or sensitivity analysis could strengthen confidence in this choice.

**2. Interpretation vs Causality**

- Although the paper acknowledges limitations regarding causality, some interpretations (e.g., contemporaneous relationship) risk being read causally. This should be more explicitly framed as association-only.

**3. Visualization and Statistical Inference**

- Figures would benefit from confidence bands or significance thresholds, especially for cross-correlation and rolling measures.
- Clarify whether any statistical significance testing was applied to correlation coefficients.

**4. Literature Integration**

# International Journal of Advanced Research

**Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP**

[www.journalijar.com](http://www.journalijar.com)

---

## **REVIEWER'S REPORT**

- While the literature review is extensive, it is somewhat descriptive. A more analytical synthesis contrasting this study with existing methods (e.g., wavelets, ML-based approaches) would enhance scholarly depth.

### **5. Limitations Section**

- The limitations are acknowledged but could be expanded to include data quality issues (e.g., reporting bias, underreporting, diagnostic accuracy).

## **Contribution to the Field**

This study stands out by jointly applying correlation and covariance frameworks, including rolling and lag-based variants, to epidemiological time series in a low-resource setting. It provides a replicable analytical pipeline that can be applied to other disease pairings and regions.