



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

# International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55820

**Title: Freedom Fighter Ramakrishna Purushottam Ganapule**

**Recommendation:**

Accept after minor revision.....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality			✓	
Clarity	✓			
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Faheem Abdul Muneeb

### Reviewer's Comment

This manuscript presents a biographical and narrative account of Ramakrishna Purushottam Ganapule, a lesser-known freedom fighter from Haveri district, highlighting his early political awakening, participation in the Forest Satyagraha, revolutionary activities, and repeated imprisonment during the Indian freedom movement. The paper is valuable in documenting local and regional contributions to the national struggle for independence, an area that often remains underrepresented in mainstream historiography. By drawing on oral testimonies and local historical sources, the manuscript contributes to micro-historical understanding of grassroots nationalism in Karnataka.

### Historical Contribution and Content Strength

The manuscript's primary strength lies in its detailed chronological narration of Ganapule's involvement in Congress activities, Seva Dal volunteering, forest satyagraha actions, and revolutionary mobilisation between the 1920s and 1940s. The descriptions of the 1930 Forest Satyagraha and subsequent arrests are vivid and provide insight into the lived experience of young activists. The inclusion of oral interviews and regional sources strengthens the authenticity of the narrative and preserves important local memory.

### Scholarly Framing and Methodological Issues

## **REVIEWER'S REPORT**

While the manuscript is informative, it remains largely descriptive and does not clearly articulate a research question or analytical framework. The absence of explicit historical methodology limits its positioning as a research article. Greater contextualisation within broader freedom movement scholarship and clearer differentiation between narrative history and analysis would strengthen academic rigor. The language and structure also reflect a commemorative tone rather than a scholarly one, and substantial editing is required to improve clarity, coherence, and consistency.

### **Conclusion and Recommendation**

Overall, this manuscript is a valuable documentation of a regional freedom fighter and makes a meaningful contribution to local history and memory studies. With minor revisions focusing on scholarly framing, methodological clarification, and language refinement, the paper would be suitable for publication as a historical or biographical study.

**Decision: Accepted with minor revisions.**