

## REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55828

**Title: Abortion Curettage Procedures by General Practitioners (A Review of Ethical, Legal, and Justice Aspects)**

### Recommendation:

Accept as it is .....

**Accept after minor revision YES**

Accept after major revision .....

Do not accept (*Reasons below*).....

| Rating         | Excel. | Good | Fair | Poor |
|----------------|--------|------|------|------|
| Originality    | YES    |      |      |      |
| Techn. Quality |        | YES  |      |      |
| Clarity        |        | YES  |      |      |
| Significance   |        | YES  |      |      |

Reviewer Name: Dr. Himanshu Gaur

### *Detailed Reviewer's Report*

The manuscript addresses a highly relevant and sensitive issue concerning the ethical, legal, and justice aspects of curettage procedures performed by general practitioners; however, it requires major revisions to meet academic publication standards. While the topic is important, the study lacks a clearly articulated research gap and does not sufficiently demonstrate its originality or theoretical contribution in the introduction. The abstract and introduction are largely descriptive and should be strengthened by explicitly stating the problem statement, objectives, and significance of the study. The methodology section, although identifying a normative juridical approach, remains generic and requires deeper explanation regarding the selection, analysis, and application of legal sources, as well as clearer justification of the chosen research design. The discussion on ethical, legal, and justice principles is informative but predominantly explanatory, with limited critical analysis and insufficient engagement with contemporary scholarly debates or comparative perspectives. The linkage between legal provisions, ethical dilemmas, and practical implications for general practitioners—especially in emergency and resource-constrained settings—needs to be more analytically developed. Furthermore, the results are not clearly distinguished from discussion, and the conclusion largely reiterates earlier content without offering strong theoretical implications, policy recommendations, or directions for future research. The manuscript also requires substantial language editing to improve clarity, coherence, and academic tone, along with stricter adherence to formatting and referencing guidelines. Overall, significant conceptual strengthening, methodological clarity, analytical depth, and structural refinement are necessary before the manuscript can be considered suitable for publication.