

1 Agroecological adaptability and forage valorization of *Pennisetum purpureum* and *Dolichos*
2 *lablab* for small ruminant feeding systems in southern Niger: a narrative review.

3 **Abstract**

4 Sahelian livestock systems, particularly in southern Niger, face increasing constraints due to
5 climatic variability, rangeland degradation, and seasonal feed shortages. Identifying locally
6 adapted forage species to sustainably support small ruminant production is a priority for
7 regional food security. This study conducted a structured narrative review, synthesizing
8 scientific and technical data from peer-reviewed articles, institutional reports, and regional
9 databases. The analysis focused on the agroecological performance and nutritional
10 valorization of *Pennisetum purpureum* and *Dolichos lablab* in semi-arid environments. The
11 review highlights a significant functional complementarity: *P. purpureum* provides high
12 biomass yields (averaging 7-12% crude protein) and environmental resilience, while *D. lablab*
13 acts as a high-quality protein supplement (18-25% CP) that enhances soil fertility through
14 nitrogen fixation. Evidence suggests that integrating these species into smallholder systems
15 can reduce the "protein gap" during the dry season and improve rumen fermentation
16 efficiency in small ruminants. Combining *P. purpureum* and *D. lablab* represents a consistent
17 agroecological strategy for intensifying forage production in Niger. Future research should
18 prioritize multi-site experimental trials to refine optimal harvest stages and socio-economic
19 adoption factors in the Maradi region.

20 **Keywords:** *Pennisetum purpureum*, *Dolichos lablab*, Small ruminants, Southern Niger,
21 Forage intensification, Agroecology.

22 **Introduction**

23 Livestock production systems in Sahelian regions are increasingly constrained by climatic
24 variability, recurrent droughts and progressive degradation of natural rangelands, which
25 together exacerbate seasonal feed shortages for small ruminants (Mansour, 2015; Zakari et al.,
26 2022). In southern Niger, these constraints directly affect the availability, quality and temporal
27 stability of forage resources, thereby limiting animal productivity and increasing the
28 vulnerability of agropastoral livelihoods (Abdou et al., 2021; Issaley, 2022). Recent empirical
29 evidence from the Sahelian region of Niger further indicates that adaptive strategies

30 combining local resource management and livestock system diversification play a significant
31 role in improving household resilience and food security (Zakari et al., 2022).

32 In response to these challenges, the integration of cultivated forage species adapted to semi-
33 arid environments has been widely promoted as a strategy to improve feed security, reduce
34 pressure on natural pastures and enhance the sustainability of mixed crop–livestock systems
35 (FAO, 2012; Klein & Grimaud, 2022). Agroecology provides a relevant analytical framework
36 for assessing such strategies, as it emphasizes the interaction between agronomic
37 performance, ecological functions and resource-use efficiency within territorial production
38 systems (Wezel et al., 2009).

39 Among the forage species suitable for Sahelian contexts, *Pennisetum purpureum* and *Dolichos*
40 *lablab* have attracted increasing attention due to their complementary functional traits. *P.*
41 *purpureum* is characterized by high biomass production, rapid regrowth and tolerance to
42 environmental stress, making it a key forage resource for dry-season feeding when managed
43 appropriately (Mijena, D., & Getiso, A., 2023). In contrast, *D. lablab* is a multipurpose legume
44 with high crude protein content and the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen, contributing both
45 to improved diet quality and to soil fertility enhancement in low-input systems (Gemechu et
46 al., 2020; Yattara et al., 2000).

47 Several studies conducted in tropical and semi-arid environments have reported positive
48 effects of grass–legume associations on forage quality, animal performance and nutrient
49 cycling compared to monoculture-based feeding systems (Gonçalves et al., 2022; Guibert et
50 al., 2007). However, despite the growing body of literature on individual forage species,
51 integrated syntheses combining agroecological adaptability, nutritional value and forage
52 valorization pathways for small ruminant systems under Sahelian conditions remain limited,
53 particularly for southern Niger.

54 The objective of this narrative review is therefore to synthesize existing scientific and
55 technical knowledge on the agroecological performance and forage valorization of *Pennisetum*
56 *purpureum* and *Dolichos lablab* in small ruminant feeding systems in southern Niger. By
57 integrating evidence on agronomic traits, nutritional value and system-level implications, this
58 review aims to provide a consolidated scientific basis for forage-based strategies adapted to
59 semi-arid livestock systems.

60 **2. Materials and Methods**

61 **2.1. Type of study**

62 This study is based on a structured narrative literature review focusing on the agroecological
63 adaptability and forage valorization of *Pennisetum purpureum* and *Dolichos lablab* in small
64 ruminant feeding systems under Sahelian conditions. Although not designed as a systematic
65 review, explicit criteria guided the identification, screening and thematic organization of
66 relevant studies, in order to ensure analytical consistency and reproducibility. The narrative
67 review design was considered appropriate given the heterogeneity of agroecological contexts,
68 methodologies and indicators reported in the literature, which limits the feasibility of
69 quantitative meta-analysis (Wezel et al., 2009).

70 **2.2. Literature search strategy**

71 The literature search was designed as a continuous process accompanying the doctoral
72 research from its inception in March 2023 through the experimental phases, with a final
73 systematic update in March 2025. Searches were conducted using international scientific
74 databases (Google Scholar, Scopus and ScienceDirect) and complemented by technical and
75 institutional reports from FAO, CIRAD, ILRI and RECA Niger. The search strategy focused
76 on the intersection of forage species (*Pennisetum purpureum*, *Dolichos lablab*),
77 agroecological adaptability and forage valorization for small ruminant systems in Sahelian
78 and comparable semi-arid environments.

79 **2.3. Selection criteria and corpus constitution**

80 Publications were initially identified based on their relevance to forage production, nutritional
81 value and system integration of the targeted species. Duplicate records and documents
82 unrelated to Sahelian contexts, or to tropical regions with comparable agroecological
83 constraints, were excluded. Eligibility was assessed according to scientific or technical rigor,
84 relevance to forage-based livestock systems and contribution to agronomic, nutritional or
85 agroecological analysis. A final corpus of peer-reviewed articles and technical reports was
86 retained for synthesis.

87 **2.4. Data extraction and synthesis**

88 Relevant information was extracted from the selected literature and organized according to
89 thematic axes aligned with the objectives of the review: (i) agroecological adaptability, (ii)
90 nutritional value and animal performance, and (iii) forage valorization and system integration.
91 The synthesis relied on a comparative and critical reading of reported results to identify
92 convergent findings, contextual variations and remaining knowledge gaps. Reference
93 management software (Zotero) was used to ensure traceability and consistency of citations.

94 **2.5. Contextual focus**

95 Although the review integrates evidence from tropical and semi-arid regions, particular
96 attention was given to studies relevant to southern Niger and comparable Sahelian
97 environments. This contextual focus allows the discussion of forage-based strategies under
98 climatic, edaphic and management conditions representative of Sahelian livestock systems.

99 **2.6. Limitations of the review**

100 This review does not include original experimental data. Differences in methodologies,
101 performance indicators and environmental conditions across the reviewed studies limit direct
102 quantitative comparison. Nevertheless, the narrative synthesis approach enables the
103 identification of consistent patterns and practical implications for forage-based small ruminant
104 systems in semi-arid environments.

105

106 **3. Results of the Review**

107 **3.1. Agroecological adaptability of the studied forage species**

108 The reviewed literature consistently indicates that *Pennisetum purpureum* and *Dolichos*
109 *lablab* exhibit contrasting but complementary agroecological traits under tropical and semi-
110 arid conditions.

111 *P. purpureum* is widely reported as a high-yielding perennial grass, capable of producing
112 large amounts of biomass when water availability is sufficient, particularly in irrigated plots,
113 lowlands or humid zones (Mijena, D., & Getiso, A., 2023). Its deep root system and rapid
114 regrowth after cutting contribute to its tolerance to intermittent water stress and make it
115 suitable for dry-season forage production when managed appropriately.

116 In contrast, *D. lablab* is described as a drought-tolerant legume with flexible growth habits,
117 adapted to low-input systems and poor soils (Swamy, 2023; Yattara et al., 2000). Its ability to
118 establish under variable rainfall conditions and to fix atmospheric nitrogen represents a key
119 agroecological advantage in Sahelian environments, where soil nutrient deficiency frequently
120 restricts agricultural productivity. Several studies emphasize that *D. lablab* performs
121 particularly well when integrated into cropping systems or associated with grasses, rather than
122 grown as a sole forage crop (Gemechu et al., 2020; Pasternak, 2013).

123 **3.2. Nutritional value and reported effects on animal performance**

124 The nutritional profiles of the two species differ markedly, as documented across multiple
125 studies. *P. purpureum* generally provides a high quantity of forage biomass but shows
126 moderate crude protein (CP) content, typically ranging from 7% to 12% of dry matter
127 (Mapato & Wanapat, 2018; Mijena, D., & Getiso, A., 2023). Moreover, its digestibility
128 significantly decreases as the plant matures due to the rapid accumulation of structural
129 carbohydrates and lignin, a process characteristic of C4 tropical grasses (Rodrigues et al.,
130 2025).

131 This characteristic limits its use as a sole feed resource, particularly for growing or lactating
132 small ruminants.

133 Conversely, *D. lablab* exhibits higher crude protein concentrations, commonly reported
134 between 18 and 25% of dry matter, and relatively low lignification, resulting in better
135 digestibility (NRC, 2006; Yattara et al., 2000). Experimental studies conducted under tropical
136 conditions indicate that diets combining *P. purpureum* with *D. lablab* improve voluntary
137 intake, nutrient digestibility and live weight gain in small ruminants compared to grass-only
138 diets (Gemechu et al., 2020, 2021). Across the reviewed literature, grass-legume associations
139 are consistently associated with improved rumen function and more balanced nutrient supply,
140 particularly during dry seasons when natural pastures are of low nutritional quality. However,
141 reported performance levels vary depending on forage management practices, harvesting
142 stage and supplementation strategies.

143 **3.3. Forage valorization and system-level integration**

144 Several studies highlight the importance of appropriate forage valorization methods to
145 maximize the benefits of *P. purpureum* and *D. lablab* in small ruminant systems. Ensiling is
146 frequently reported as an effective conservation technique for *P. purpureum*, especially when
147 harvested at early growth stages to preserve digestibility (RECA Niger, 2024; Tamboura et
148 al., 2005). The inclusion of protein-rich legumes such as *D. lablab* in silage mixtures has been
149 shown to improve fermentation quality and nitrogen availability, thereby enhancing overall
150 feed value.

151 Beyond conservation techniques, the reviewed literature emphasizes the role of these forage
152 species in integrated crop-livestock systems. When cultivated near homesteads or within
153 cropped fields, *P. purpureum* and *D. lablab* contribute to reducing reliance on natural
154 rangelands and facilitating nutrient recycling through the use of manure and crop residues
155 (Gonçalves et al., 2022; Guibert et al., 2007). Such integration is frequently cited as a key
156 pathway for improving forage availability, stabilizing animal feeding strategies and enhancing
157 system resilience in semi-arid environments.

158 **4. Discussion**

159

160 **4.1. Agroecological relevance of grass-legume associations in Sahelian systems**

161 Beyond summarizing existing knowledge, this review highlights the functional
162 complementarity between biomass-oriented grasses and protein-rich legumes as a central

163 agroecological lever for stabilizing small ruminant feeding systems in semi-arid
164 environments. Similar conclusions have been reported in tropical and semi-arid livestock
165 systems, where grass-legume associations improve nutrient complementarity, reduce seasonal
166 feed gaps and enhance overall system efficiency compared to grass monocultures (Guibert et
167 al., 2007; Klein & Grimaud, 2022).

168 In Sahelian contexts, the complementary traits of *Pennisetum purpureum* and *Dolichos lablab*
169 appear particularly relevant. While *P. purpureum* ensures bulk forage production and
170 tolerance to environmental stress(Mijena, D., & Getiso, A., 2023), *D. lablab* contributes
171 nitrogen-rich biomass and improves soil fertility through biological nitrogen fixation
172 (Gemechu et al., 2020; Yattara et al., 2000). This functional complementarity is consistent
173 with agroecological principles emphasizing the optimization of biological interactions rather
174 than reliance on external inputs (Wezel et al., 2009).

175 Although focused on southern Niger, the conclusions drawn from this review are relevant to a
176 broad range of semi-arid livestock systems facing similar climatic variability, land
177 degradation and resource constraints, as reported in other Sahelian and sub-Saharan African
178 contexts (Abdou et al., 2021).

179 **4.2. Nutritional implications for small ruminant feeding strategies**

180 From a nutritional perspective, the reviewed literature consistently shows that the limitations
181 associated with grass-based diets, particularly low crude protein content and declining
182 digestibility with plant maturitycan be partially offset through the integration of forage
183 legumes. Crude protein contents of *P. purpureum* typically range between 7 and 12% of dry
184 matter, with digestibility decreasing rapidly as plants mature(Mapato & Wanapat, 2018;
185 Rodrigues et al., 2025).

186 Conversely, *D. lablab* exhibits higher protein concentrations, commonly reported between 18
187 and 25% of dry matter, and relatively lower lignification, resulting in improved digestibility
188 (NRC, 2006; Yattara et al., 2000). Feeding trials conducted under tropical conditions indicate
189 that diets combining *P. purpureum* with *D. lablab* improve voluntary intake, nutrient
190 digestibility and growth performance in small ruminants compared to grass-only diets
191 (Gemechu et al., 2020, 2021). These findings are consistent with broader evidence showing
192 that mixed forages enhance rumen function and animal performance during dry seasons when
193 natural pastures are nutritionally depleted (Amole et al., 2022; Gemechu et al., 2020).

195 **4.3. Forage valorization and system integration**

196 The discussion of forage valorization emphasizes that agronomic potential alone does not
197 guarantee effective utilization at farm level. Conservation techniques such as ensiling and
198 haymaking play a critical role in stabilizing forage supply across seasons in semi-arid
199 environments. *P. purpureum* has been widely reported as suitable for ensiling, particularly
200 when harvested at early growth stages to preserve digestibility (RECA Niger, 2022;
201 Tamboura et al., 2005).

202 The inclusion of protein-rich legumes such as *D. lablab* in grass-based silages improves
203 fermentation quality and nitrogen availability, thereby enhancing overall feed value
204 (Gemechu et al., 2021). Beyond conservation techniques, integrating cultivated forages into
205 crop–livestock systems contributes to nutrient recycling, reduced dependence on natural
206 rangelands and improved system resilience, as documented across sub-Saharan
207 Africa(Guibert et al., 2007; Rayne & Aula, 2020). Such integration pathways are particularly
208 relevant in Sahelian contexts, where securing forage resources near homesteads can also help
209 reduce pastoral mobility constraints and resource-use conflicts (Convers et al., 2007).

210 **4.4. Comparison with alternative forage options**

211 When compared to other forage species adapted to Sahelian environments, *P. purpureum* and
212 *D. lablab* occupy an intermediate position between highly productive but input-demanding
213 species and more rustic native grasses with lower nutritional value. For example, species such
214 as *Andropogongayanus*and*Cenchrusciliaris* exhibit strong drought tolerance but generally
215 provide limited crude protein content (Skerman & Fernando, 1990), whereas *Medicago sativa*
216 offers high nutritional quality but requires environmental conditions rarely met in semi-arid
217 zones (Messioughi, A, 2015). The reviewed evidence suggests that the relative advantage of
218 the *Pennisetum–Dolichos* association lies in its flexibility and compatibility with low-input
219 management, rather than in maximizing yields under optimal conditions. This characteristic is
220 consistent with the constraints faced by smallholder and agropastoral systems in southern
221 Niger, where natural pasture productivity remains highly unpredictable.

222 **4.5. Limitations and research perspectives**

223 This discussion must be interpreted in light of the limitations inherent to narrative reviews.
224 The heterogeneity of study designs, environmental conditions and performance indicators
225 across the literature restricts direct quantitative comparison. Moreover, relatively few studies
226 explicitly address long-term adoption dynamics and economic trade-offs associated with
227 cultivated forage systems in Sahelian contexts. Local perceptions of risk, resource uncertainty
228 and socio-economic constraints strongly influence the adoption of forage innovations in
229 agropastoral systems, as reported in qualitative studies conducted in central-eastern Niger
230 (Issaley, 2022).

231 Future research would benefit from multi-site experimental trials and participatory approaches
232 that combine agronomic performance, animal productivity and socio-economic feasibility.
233 Such studies would strengthen the evidence base required to scale forage-based interventions
234 adapted to semi-arid livestock systems.

235 **5. Conclusion**

236 This narrative review synthesizes scientific and technical evidence on the agroecological
237 adaptability and forage valorization of *Pennisetum purpureum* and *Dolichos lablab* in small
238 ruminant feeding systems in southern Niger. The analysis demonstrates that grass-legume
239 associations represent a coherent agroecological strategy for combining forage biomass
240 production, nutritional quality and soil fertility enhancement in semi-arid environments.

241 Although performance levels vary according to environmental conditions and management
242 practices, the overall trends identified support the relevance of integrating cultivated forages
243 into low-input crop-livestock systems. This synthesis provides a conceptual and empirical
244 foundation for designing low-input forage strategies that reconcile productivity, resilience and
245 ecological sustainability in Sahelian livestock systems, and offers perspectives applicable to
246 other semi-arid regions facing comparable challenges.

247

248 Abdou, A., Issifou, A., Soukaradji, B., Moustapha, A. E. M., & Ali, M. (2021). Impacts des
249 banquettes et demi-lunes forestières sur les caractéristiques physico-chimiques du sol
250 et la diversité végétale spontanée dans l’Ouest nigérien. *International Journal of*
251 *Innovation and Applied Studies*, 34(3), 583-600.

252 Amole, T., Augustine, A., Balehegn, M., & Adesogoan, A. T. (2022). Livestock feed
253 resources in the West African Sahel. *Agronomy Journal*, 114(1), 26-45.
254 <https://doi.org/10.1002/agj2.20955>

255 Convers, A., Chaibou, I., Binot, A., & Dulieu, D. (2007). La gestion de la transhumance dans
256 la zone d’influence du parc régional du W par le programme Ecopas. *Vertigo. La*
257 *revue internationale en sciences de l’environnement, Hors-série 4.*
258 <https://doi.org/10.4000/vertigo.761>

259 FAO. (2012). *Good agricultural practices for conservation agriculture in dryland conditions*
260 *of sub-Saharan Africa. Organisation des Nations Unies pour l’alimentation et*
261 *l’agriculture. Rome. - Recherche Google.*

262 Gemechu, T., Girma, M., & Eshetu, M. (2020). Effect of Elephant grass (Pennisetum
263 purpureum) ensiled with different proportions of Dolichos lablab (Lablab purpureus)
264 on intake, digestibility and growth performance of Horro sheep. *Nigerian Journal of*
265 *Animal Science and Technology (NJAST)*, 3(4), 1-19.
266 <http://njast.com.ng/index.php/home/article/view/113>

267 Gemechu, T., Girma, M., & Eshetu, M. (2021). Carcass and non-carcass yield characteristics
268 of horro sheep fed Elephant Grass (Pennisetum purpureum) ensiled with different
269 proportion of Dolichos Lablab (Lablab purpureus). *Asian J SciTechnol*, 12(2),
270 11553-11560. <https://www.academia.edu/download/78665673/8449.pdf>

271 Gonçalves, M. D. O., Carpanez, T. G., Silva, J. B. G., Otenio, M. H., De Paula, V. R., & De
272 Mendonça, H. V. (2022). Biomass Production of the Tropical Forage Grass

273 Pennisetum purpureum (BRS Capiaçu) Following Biofertilizer Application. *Waste*
274 and *Biomass Valorization*, 13(4), 2137-2147. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-021-01664-y>

275

276 Guibert, H., Crétenet, M., Fadoegnon, B., Fayalo, G., Koné, M., Dureau, D., Bredoumy
277 Kouassi, S., & Gigou, J. (2007). *Amendements organiques, productivité des cultures et*
278 *dynamique du carbone des sols en Afrique Sub-Saharienne.*
279 <https://agritrop.cirad.fr/541355>

280 Issaley, N. A. (2022). *L'AGROPASTORALISME AU CENTRE-EST NIGÉRIEN :*
281 *PERCEPTIONS LOCALES DU RISQUE ET STRATÉGIES D'ADAPTATION. CAS*
282 *DE TANOUT* (2e édition revue et corrigée, Vol. 2, p. 282-295). Revue DJIBOUL /
283 Université de Zinder.

284 Klein, H. D., & Grimaud, P. (2022). *L'amélioration des ressources fourragères en Afrique*
285 *subsaharienne subhumide* (Rapport scientifique No. CIRAD-EF-2022/574701; Les
286 cultures fourragères, p. 29). CIRAD – Centre de coopération internationale en
287 recherche agronomique pour le développement.
288 https://agritrop.cirad.fr/574701/1/document_574701.pdf?form=MG0AV3

289 Mansour, M. (2015). Assessing soil erosion risk in the Tillabery landscape, Niger. *African*
290 *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 9(3), 176-191.
291 <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJEST2014.1731>

292 Mapato, C., & Wanapat, M. (2018). New roughage source of Pennisetum purpureum cv.
293 Mahasarakham utilization for ruminants feeding under global climate change. *Asian-*
294 *Australasian journal of animal sciences*, 31(12), 1890.
295 <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6212747/>

296 Messioughi, A. (2015). *Étude d'une plante fourragère, la luzerne (Medicago sativa L.) :*
297 *Importances phytochimiques, aspects thérapeutiques et essais microbiologiques*[Thèse

298 de doctorat en sciences, Université Badji Mokhtar – Annaba, Algérie]. URL :
299 <https://biblio.univ-annaba.dz/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/These-Messioughi->
300 Amel.pdf

301 Mijena, D., & Getiso, A. (2023). *Adaptation and herbage yield performance of Pennisetum*
302 *purpureum grass genotypes in the Rift Valley of Ethiopia. Cross Current International*
303 *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences*, 5(4), 33–42.
304 Https://easpublisher.com/media/features_articles/CCIJAVS_54_33-42_4nXdzh.pdf—
305 *Recherche Google.*

306 NRC, N. R. C. (2006). *LostCrops of Africa : Volume II – Vegetables* (p. 378) [Rapport
307 d'étude consensuelle / Ouvrage scientifique collectif]. National Research Council,
308 Policy and Global Affairs, Development, Security, and Cooperation.
309 <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/catalog/117>

310 Pasternak, D. (2013). *Le dolique d'Égypte (Lablabpurpureus) : Une nouvelle culture de base*
311 *pour les régions soudano-sahéliennes.*

312 Rayne, N., & Aula, L. (2020). Livestock Manure and the Impacts on Soil Health : A Review.
313 *SoilSystems*, 4(4), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.3390/soilsystems4040064>

314 RECA Niger. (2022). *Culture fourragère de dolique (Dolichoslablab)*. [https://reca-](https://reca-niger.org/IMG/pdf/gdt_fiches_techniques_20)
315 [niger.org/IMG/pdf/gdt_fiches_techniques_20](https://reca-niger.org/IMG/pdf/gdt_fiches_techniques_20)

316 RECA Niger. (2024). *Sélection thématique no 24 : Cultures fourragères irriguées.*

317 Rodrigues, R. C., Lana, R., Rodrigues, M. M., Costa, C. D. S., Cabral, L., Marcos Da Penha
318 Santos, A., Araújo, I. G. R. D., Araújo, J. S., Santos, F. N. D. S., Costa, K. A. P.,
319 Perazzo, A., Araújo, R., & Alcantara Veron, K. (2025). Elephant grass silage with
320 increasing levels of babassu pie : Fermentation parameters and nutritional value. *New*
321 *Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 68(7), 2423-2435.
322 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.2025.2519428>

323 Skerman, P. J., & Fernando, R. (1990). *Graminées tropicales: Vol. Volume unique* (Première
324 édition). Organisation des Nations Unies pour l’Alimentation et l’Agriculture (FAO).
325 https://archive.org/details/bub_gb_tCydcW6MK

326 Swamy, K. N. (2023). *Lablab purpureus : A climate-resilient multipurpose legume for*
327 *sustainable agriculture. International Journal of Botany Studies, 8(1), 45-52.*

328 Tamboura, H. H., Bougouma, V., Traoré, A., Kaboré, A., Ouedraogo, S. de B., & Sawadogo,
329 L. (2005). *Technique de conservation des fourrages par voie humide – L’ensilage*
330 (Fiche technique No. 18; p. 1-4). CIRDES, INERA, Université Polytechnique de
331 Bobo-Dioulasso, Université de Ouagadougou, Ministère des Ressources Animales.

332 Wezel, A., Bellon, S., Doré, T., Francis, C., Vallod, D., & David, C. (2009). Agroecology as a
333 science, a movement and a practice. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable*
334 *Development, 29(4), 503-515.* <https://doi.org/10.1051/agro/2009004>

335 Yattara, I. I., Neyra, M., Lahbib, M. M., Yossi, H., & de Lajudie, P. (2000). *Diversité des*
336 *Rhizobiums associés à Dolichoslablab utilisé pour l’amélioration de la jachère en*
337 *zone sahélienne.* <https://www.documentation.ird.fr/hor/fdi:010024605>

338 Zakari, S., Ibro, G., Moussa, B., & Abdoulaye, T. (2022). Adaptation Strategies to Climate
339 Change and Impacts on Household Income and Food Security : Evidence from
340 Sahelian Region of Niger. *Sustainability, 14(5), 2847.*
341 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052847>

342