

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-55862

Title:Distance teacher training in ICT: from a technocentric approach to teaching innovation

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision..... Yes....

Accept after major revision

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality	✓			
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Mr. Javaid Ahmad Khan

Detailed Reviewer's Report

This research paper deeply identifies consistent evidence that insist distance ICT training enhances teachers' self-efficacy and pedagogical use of technology, while also revealing a persistent gap between technical training and pedagogical transformation. Particular emphasis is placed on collaborative practices, educational design that creatively utilizes ICT, but also on cultivating a critical attitude towards technology. In this paper the Abstract is written in a detailed format in which all things have been mentioned properly and also keywords are given properly but the mention of research questions, and findings in abstract is not found. In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title and has given a detailed background effectively but the research gap has not been given properly. In addition to this, the Methodology is given in a proper way. But the research questions or objectives are not given properly. In addition to this, Results are given properly. But the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript. Thus, after these minor changes this paper.

Reason for Minor Changes

In the Abstract part the mention of research questions, and findings etc. is not found. In the introduction part, the researcher has made link of introduction with the title and has given a detailed background effectively but the research gap has not been given properly. In addition to this, the Methodology is given in a proper way. But the research questions or objectives are not given properly. In addition to this, Results are given properly. But the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript. Thus, after these minor changes this paper is accepted.

Abstract

The Abstract is written in a detailed format in which almost all things have been mentioned properly and also keywords are given properly but the mention of research questions, and findings in abstract is not found.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Introduction

In the introduction part, the researcher has tried to link the introduction with the title and has given a detailed background with proper citations.

Methodology

Methodology is given in a proper way. But the research questions or objectives are not given properly

Discussion

Results are given properly. But the educational implications, limitations and further suggestions are not given in this manuscript. Thus, after these minor changes this paper is accepted. Also there has been made comparison of findings with findings of previous studies by the researcher.

Conclusion

Overall the research paper is good and important, so after making these minor changes this article can be accepted.