

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-56025

Title: Navigating Darkness: A Proposal for Dark Infrastructure to Reduce Light Pollution and Enhance Nature's Pathways

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision ... ✓

Do not accept (*Reasons below*).....

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality			✓	
Techn. Quality				✓
Clarity				✓
Significance				✓

Reviewer Name: Ankur Jyoti Dutta

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

(To be published with the manuscript in the journal)

The reviewer is requested to provide a brief comment (3-4 lines) highlighting the significance, strengths, or key insights of the manuscript. This comment will be displayed in the journal publication alongside with the reviewers name.

Following observation needs to be incorporated:

- The abstract of the paper needs to be brief clearly explaining the intent of the research and its works and findings.
- The keywords need to be increased and the current ones do not seem to be aligned with the abstract.
- There are minor grammatical errors in the paper which needs to be corrected.
- The images included should have proper sources mentioned. A brief introduction section also needs to be included before the background of the study section.
- The paper should be written as per the journal's format and guidelines
- The paper needs to be organised and written in a more scientific manner.
- The referencing of the paper needs to be done as per the journal format and guidelines.
- The methodology of the paper needs to clearly define the parameters of the study.

Detailed Reviewer's Report

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- The abstract of the paper needs to be rewritten and in a more scientific manner, where the author describes what the paper and their research is intending to do and contribute in the domain of studies. Minimum 5 relevant to the study keywords needs to be included
- The current paper seems to be written in a much unorganized manner where some sections are overlapping with one another and needs to be cross checked. The paper also needs to be written as per the journals prescribed format and guidelines.
- The methodology needs to include the parameters of the study and how they were selected and observed. The maps included (if generated needs to mention the method) also needs to have proper captions.
- The result sections needs to be expanded more where the author needs to highlight their observations that were derived from the analysis.
- The conclusion section needs to be aligned more towards the result and observation of the paper and should also include the author's own observation in it.
- The referencing of the current paper seems to be quite less and needs to be increased. Moreover the in line citation in the paper also seems to be missing which needs to be included.