



# Plagiarism Checker X - Report

Originality Assessment

**0%**

**Overall Similarity**

**Date:** Feb 14, 2026 (11:30 AM)

**Matches:** 8 / 5247 words

**Sources:** 1

**Remarks:** No similarity found,  
your document looks healthy.

**Verify Report:**

Scan this QR Code



# 1 FROM DATA TO THEORY: METHODOLOGICAL DIALOGUE BETWEEN

## PEDAGOGICAL ACTION RESEARCH AND GROUNDED THEORY Manuscript Info

Abstract .....

Manuscript History Received: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx Final Accepted: xxxxxxxxxxxxxx Published: xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx Key words: Educational Reserach. Pedagogical Action Research.

Grounded Theory. Data Analysis. This study articulates Pedagogical Action Research (PAR) and Grounded Theory (GT), recognizing that both require methodological rigor, although of different natures. Even though GT can be employed from a positivist perspective, this work adopts a critical and reflective approach, oriented toward situated interpretation and social transformation. The central question is: how, from a critical perspective, can GT contribute so that the data constructed during a PAR move closer to the construction of a theory? To address this, the epistemological bases of both methodologies are discussed, highlighting the organization of the data in ways that enhance the use of collectively constructed results and reveal their potential to indicate the foundations of a theory. Drawing on Franco (2005, 2016, 2019), Strauss and Corbin (2008), and Quaranta (2024), the study suggests that GT can rearrange and reframe data, unveiling implicit theories within the educational practice of participating teachers.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2019. All rights reserved. Introduction: 1 Choosing a research method is not a simple task, especially when considering qualitative research, since “in addition 2 to allowing for the understanding of social processes that are still poorly understood in relation to particular groups, 3 it fosters the construction of new approaches, revision, and creation of new concepts and categories during an 4 investigation.”(1:57), this makes the choice of methodology an opportunity to broaden the scope, so that ultimately the 5 knowledge resulting from the research can contribute to the advancement of the field. 6 And this can be considered a victory for qualitative researchers, since, according to Bogdan and Biklen(2), although 7 the first qualitative research in the United States dates back to the nineteenth century, until the mid-1950s, work 8 carried out using qualitative methodologies was considered marginal, although serious and well-regarded work was 9

being carried out from a qualitative perspective during that period. 10 11 12 This scenario began to change from 1954 onwards, when the American Congress approved the first legislation 13 granting scholarships to qualitative researchers. This did not immediately impact research in the educational field, 14 whose development was only verified at the end of the 1960s, when federal programs, driven by political interests, 15 began to subsidize qualitative research based on exploratory work (interviews, autobiographical accounts, 16 participant observation) in schools, “recognizing that little was known about the schooling process of different 17 groups of children”(2:37), groups consisting of minorities, black children, poor children and schools in ghettos, 18 indicating the possibility of announcement and denunciation that qualitative research can have in favor of the less 19 fortunate, giving them a voice. 20 In the following decades, qualitative research underwent a process of recognition with the expansion of 21 investigations to other fields of education (school management, teachers, innovations, school transportation, the role 22 of women as managers, among others), research methods, publications, and the profile of researchers, reflecting the 23 evolution of the approach(2). 24

2 Regarding the researcher, when opting for qualitative research, they need to keep in mind that the paths of 25 qualitative research require observation, since 26 The goal of qualitative researchers is to better understand human behavior and experience. They 27 attempt to understand the process by which people construct meaning and to describe what this 28 meaning consists of. They resort to empirical observation because they believe that it is through 29 concrete instances of human behavior that one can reflect more clearly and deeply on the human 30 condition(2:70). 31 This reflection leads qualitative researchers, in their pursuit, to need to have the sense and sensitivity to grasp the 32 complexity of information presented by the data, a complexity that presents a movement that is “between the back 33 and forth of the path traveled towards the sedimentation of knowledge in the area, taking steps forward and 34 backward. However, nothing guarantees definitive achievements”(3:8). This is because they need to keep up

with the 35 interplay of social dynamics that permeate the meaning of each response or action observed during the research, 36 which makes the journey, the search, a moment of great importance for the successful development of the research. 37 And in the search for qualitative research methodologies that could bring to light this interplay of advances and 38 setbacks permeated by intersubjectivity, linked by the complexity of the data, **1 in this article, we** will analyze two 39 qualitative research methods: Pedagogical Action Research (PAR) (4), a primarily formative research methodology; 40 and Grounded Theory (GT)(5), a method that makes it possible to generate a theory based on data, from an 41 investigation carried out in a systematic way 42 These two methods, which emerged in the 20th century from studies in the fields of psychology and sociology, 43 respectively, were brought together in Quaranta's research (6). Such a study, due to the amount of data generated by 44 PAR and the possibility of understanding the meaning of the research data that GT provides in its analysis process, 45 offers strong support for a better understanding of a theory that has been confirmed in 46 this sense of understanding that the change in 47 teaching practice only occurs after teachers understand and discuss among themselves the circumstances and reasons for 48 their practice, and that these understandings are discussed among the teachers for the transformation of their practice. 49

This possibility of understanding the research led to the problem question that guides this article: how, from a critical 50 perspective, can GT contribute to the data constructed during a PAR approaching a theory? To answer this question, 51

our objective was to present the two theories and explain the possibility of a PAR having its data 52 analysis based on the principles of GT, which we believe can be integrated during the data analysis process, 53 enabling field research and data analysis to be developed in a way that generates very reliable data 54 within qualitative research. 55 Before discussing the possibility of research conducted through PAR and data analysis constructed through GT, 56 an introduction to the two methodologies will be presented briefly. 57

Pedagogical Action Research (PAR) and Grounded Theory (GT): what are 58 these methodologies? 59 Pedagogical Action Research: 60 The origins of action research are

controversial. In a period marked by the end of World War II 61 and the challenges of sociopolitical reconstruction in the post-war period, Kurt Lewin (1890 – 1946), a German-born 62 social psychologist, formulated action research in the mid-1940s from an innovative perspective, 63 by combining rigorous scientific investigation with practical intervention aimed at transforming adverse social contexts. 64 His central idea was not only to study reality but to modify it through collective action, 65 putting research at the service of change. 66 However, Guns(7) states that the first theory of action research stems from the researcher Moreno ii, 67 due to his work aimed at reducing tensions and promoting group socializations, 68 iFor a deeper understanding of the methodologies, we recommend the supplementary reading of the texts that make up the theoretical framework of this article. ii Jacob Levy Moreno (1889-1974) was born in Bucharest, Romania, in 1889, and passed away in New York, USA, in 1974. Psychiatrist, he developed work that challenged the cultural conservatives of his time 3 with the goal of developing healthy interactional environments, by means of his research with groups for social 69 changes and his belief that the researchers should be a "social investigator" participating in 70 the research and being influenced by it. Although they did not know each other during their time in Europe, 71 they developed work that began in the 1930s, and in many ways, they approached the study of small groups that led Lewin 72 to create Group Dynamics and Moreno to create Psychodrama. 73 Lewin and Moreno met at Columbia University 1 in the United States of America (USA) 74 and had moments of closeness where they shared ideas, stories (both were Jewish refugees in the USA), 75 and issues about theories and concepts to which they were dedicated. With Lewin's untimely death, Moreno 76 expressed his admiration for 77 his colleague but began to have difficulties with his collaborators and declared that they appropriate the research shared with 78 Lewin and did not give him due credit(8). 79

Although there is this doubt, Lewin, before his death, directly addressed prejudice against minorities in his famous 1946 article, *Action Research and Minority Problems*, where he systematized the spiral cycle method (plan → act → observe → reflect). For this reason, we believe Lewin to be the creator of action research. In the 1946 article, when discussing the fight against ethnic and racial discrimination, Lewin indicates that, from its beginnings, action research was applied to critical issues of the historical context of his time. His work resulted in an experimental methodology focused on democratic social transformation, which became an instrument to promote collective decisions and deepen the critical understanding of shared reality. A theory in the complex field requires evidence from many data points that are elaborated and subjectivized by the research participants. In the educational field, the studies by Franco and Betti (9) point out different historical perspectives of action research, starting in the mid-1970s to 1980s, with British researchers such as John Elliot and Clenn Aldeman, who promoted the use of action research aimed at improving teaching practice, developing its cycles in the classroom with a focus on collective growth and critical reflection. Lawrence Stenhouse strengthened research as an essential foundation of teaching by laying the groundwork for the curriculum as investigation, where he argued that teachers were researchers of their own contexts, bridging theory and practice. From the 1980s onward, action research incorporated Jürgen Habermas's critical theory, which proposed the distinction between instrumental (technical) and communicative (dialogical) action, legitimizing action research as not only transformative but also dialogical, emancipatory, and intersubjective. John Wilfred Carr, influenced by Habermas, emphasized critical reflexivity: pedagogical practices should be informed by communicative reasoning, legitimizing teaching action as a deliberative process. Paulo Freire and Orlando Falls Borda brought Action Research (AR) to a South American reality, with a focus on

popular education, where AR develops in favor of the popular classes, allowing their participation and voice, favoring interpretive understanding as a source of knowledge. This modality became known as participatory action research. Maria Amélia do Rosário Franco (4, 11, 12) brings us a form of action research with its roots directly in Kurt Lewin (1890-1946). Called Pedagogical Action Research (PAR), this perspective of PA is aimed at the continuous training of protagonist teachers, critical-reflective, autonomous, capable of transforming themselves and their circumstances. The author believes that all action research has a formative character. However, in the case of PAR, the pedagogical training of the subjects of practice becomes the primary objective. It is a participatory, collaborative, pedagogical work between researchers and teachers, with the perspective of critical-reflective training, which, by assumption, will result in the improvement of teaching.

112

by working on the streets with children and prostitutes in Vienna and at Sing Sing prison (USA). From his work, he developed the concept of sociodrama, sociometry, and group therapy, and created psychodrama (10).

4 An important characteristic present in all perspectives

113 is that conducting action research is not simply allowing the other to speak, conducting an interview, asking a question and obtaining an answer, or waiting for the participant to perform a task. To develop action-research, it is necessary for the member to find space and time to speak, where their knowledge is recognized and generates data constructed with their participation as a subject of the practice. This highlights the need for the research to be conducted in a way that enables full participation, giving voice to everyone as researchers or co-researchers. Giving a voice to those involved in the research can be, in practice, one of the most difficult tasks in the investigative process carried out through action-research, since not everyone always wishes to speak, to express themselves, and this must

be respected during the research. This difficulty will only be reduced with a lot of dialog, with the participant 121 feeling comfortable and trusting the researcher. 122 By giving voice to the participants, collective participation is enabled, which generates a collective need to look, perceive, 123 and work together in solving the problem. In action research, the research problem is everyone's problem. And, for 124 this reason, it is necessary that during action research it is recognized that, 125 despite the differences between the researcher and the participants, there is a common cause, 126 which is the research problem or something to be transformed. How each person understands this problem is another matter, 127 since each one sees themselves differently in relation to the research problem. Therefore, 128 there will be different ways to address the research problem, what is desired to be changed, where, how, 129 and what the other's needs are. 130

And this process of understanding the other and speaking does not happen hastily; conducting action research requires more 131 time for execution than is normally observed in other research methods. A period of adaptation for the participants to the 132 training sessions is necessary, 133

where the researcher will bring inquiries about the topic to be studied and will carry with them reflections, thoughts, 134 and doubts, questions from the participants to be studied, initiating a data analysis that will generate provisional syntheses, 135 which will serve as guides for the development of the next sessions and will assist in the development of the cyclical spirals, 136 characteristic of the method. 137 The fact that there is a small analysis of data during the development of the research, carried out thru provisional 138 syntheses, can lead to the belief that in conducting action research, there is no need for a more in-depth data analysis, 139 that a collective perspective would suffice, which is not a true premise. The PAR, being academic, 140 aims to educate those who participate in it, whether they are researchers or research participants, and for this reason, it 141 requires a rigorous process of analyzing comprehensive characteristics. 142 Due to the allowance for speech and listening and the formative meetings, the data obtained are of great volume 143

and complexity, which requires the researcher, during the development of the formative meetings, 144 to have different strategies for data collection, using recordings, filming, notes, and logbooks, in order to obtain a 145 broad and detailed source to review the events that occurred and the discussions held during the reflective meetings. This 146 requires greater effort and attention during the interpretation and analysis of the data, which can be carried out through different 147 approaches, both from a positivist perspective and through critical analysis. 148 The issue of complexity in organizing data in pedagogical action research carried out by the authors was the impetus for 149 us to undertake a more careful examination of the issues of articulation between PA and GT, but first, it 150 is necessary to present Grounded Theory (GT) or Data Grounded Theory (DGT). 151 The Grounded Theory (GT) 152 The issue of the low reliability of data in qualitative research in the field of sociology was the instigating motive for Barney 153 Glaser (1930-2022) and Anselm Leonard Strauss (1916-1996) to develop, based on a study about dying in 154 hospital contexts in California (in which the book *The Discovery of Grounded Theory*, from 1967, is a 155 theoretical landmark), a research method known as "Grounded Theory." 156 157 The book was released at a time 158 when qualitative research was discredited and misunderstood due to the observation of an orientation, 159 by many qualitative researchers, "a bit anarchic, unsystematic, and resistant to the formalization of 160 procedures" and "due to the criticism that the dominant positivist paradigm presented regarding the scientific nature of qualitative 161 methods" (13:42-43), which placed qualitative research in a severe crisis. 162

5 163 Glaser and Strauss, in their initial proposal, highlight three methodological characteristics as the main ones: "a 164 grounded theory must adhere to the data (fit), be relevant, and must 'work'" (13:29). Glaser, in 1978, 165 added another characteristic which would be the capacity to modify itself (modifiability) (13). These characteristics brought 166 GT a possibility of scientific rigor and reliability for

qualitative research based on carefully produced data, 167  
 systematically recorded and rigorously analyzed through techniques with the potential for  
 theory construction. 168 169 In the 1990s, due to differences in their thinking about research  
 processes, the authors parted ways, and Strauss, 170 along with his assistant Juliet M. Corbin,  
 began to develop a new approach to the method, more flexible. 171  
 From there emerged “an integrative approach  
 that values this highly structured research methodology” (14:490), 172 which allowed for  
 the development of the method and the expansion of its possibilities. 173 174 The  
 main differences between the research of Glaser and Strauss (5) and Strauss  
 and Corbin (15) can be observed in 175 the table below: 176 177 Table 1 -  
 Main differences between the research of Glaser and Strauss (5) and Strauss and Corbin (15)  
 178 STAGE GLASER E STRAUSS (1967) STRAUSS E CORBIN (1990) Research problem  
 Go into the field without a predefined research question, without theoretical reflection. Go  
 into the field without a predefined research question, after theoretical reflection. Formality in  
 the structure of coding data General analytical method without theoretical structuring.  
 Analytical method with structured steps. Operationalization With a more subjective nature, it  
 can be difficult to operationalize. Of a more objective nature, it can be easy to operationalize.  
 Verification and testing It generates concepts for theoretical formulation or a set of conceptual  
 hypotheses. The test is left for the development by other researchers in future research. It  
 generates a theory derived inductively, taking on constant checks and tests to validate the conc  
 epts Adapted from Parker and Roffey (1997) and Bianchi and Ikeda (2008). Source:  
 reproduction of Conejero and MacLennan (14:494). 179 The option of the approach  
 advocated by Glaser to seek theory after conducting field research,  
 according to Charmaz (16:47), 180 is due to the fact that grounded theorists “give more  
 weight to concepts derived from the data.” This makes the researcher, 181  
 “after developing their conceptual analysis of the data, go to the literature in their field and  
 compare 182 how and where their work fits into it” (16:47), since the search for data occurs after a  
 long and meticulous preparation of memos 183 with notes, observations, analyzes,

and codification of the data that enable the breakdown of categories that must be defined with the utmost care before being subjected to theory. We have divergences with the theory of Glaser and Strauss (5) in the aspect that refers to the absence of theoretical reflection, for considering it impossible to enter a work without theory. This stance is due to this research methodology being recommended for both novice and experienced researchers, which leads us to consider the necessity of theoretical knowledge so that the novice researcher understands what is happening in their research area and does not end up conducting their research based solely on assumptions, risking making it redundant. While for a more experienced researcher, we believe that they already bring with them a theoretical framework developed during their previous studies and, consequently, are unlikely to be unaware of theories on the subject in question. Regarding the absence of a research question, advocated by both Glaser and Strauss (5) and Strauss and Corbin (15), we believe that all research work must begin with a research question. We believe that theoretical-practical rigor must accompany all stages of research. Another point to be noted is brought up by Tarozzi (13) when he points out that although there are differences between the two strands of GT, both contain positivist traits, such as objective ontology, positivist epistemology, the correspondence between theory and reality,

6 these separation between the researcher and their object, and the ability to be generalized. However, the methodology cannot be classified as positivist, since from the 1990s onward, a new generation of scholars (notably Adele Clarke and Kathy Charmaz) rethought GT under new perspectives that allowed it to be emancipated from some of its positivist characteristics, revitalizing it. The fact that we do not agree with the perspective on the research question, the need for theoretical input to conduct field research, and recognize the positivist characteristics of the methodology does

not prevent us from viewing this theory as a possibility for guiding serious research that leads to good results. 205 This is because, unlike other qualitative methodologies, GT aims to “offer a non-speculative, 206 carefully legitimized research methodology, in order to combat the status of devaluation that qualitative methodologies had 207 for not ensuring an adequate verification” (17:71), which allows GT to enable research that, at the end of its 208 interpretative analysis, gives researchers the possibility to construct/develop a new theory. 209 By allowing this elaboration, the method requires rigor in its development and data analysis, 210 which implies that the researcher who follows this theory should possess the following characteristics: 211 1. Ability to step back and critically analyze situations; Ability to step 212 back and critically analyze situations; 213 2. Ability to recognize the tendency toward biases; Ability to recognize the trend toward bias; 214 3. Ability to think abstractly; Ability to think abstractly; 215 4. Ability to be flexible and open to constructive criticism; Ability to be flexible and open 216 to constructive criticism; 217 5. Sensitivity to the words and actions of informants; Sensitivity to the words 218 and actions of the informants; 219 A sense of absorption and devotion to the work process (18:21). 220 221 However, the authors clarify that the development of these characteristics will only occur if the researcher does 222 not act mechanically, as the method needs to be developed in a flexible and creative manner, 223 with the proposal that questions arise that elevate what is seen and heard to an abstract level before returning to the data, 224 requiring the researcher to learn to think comparatively, 225 developing the ability to perceive what is the same and what is different and ensuring “a sense of vision, in 226 which the analyst wants to follow along with the research.” The techniques and procedures (methods), 227 on the other hand, provide the means to transform this reality” (18:21), bringing a 228 methodology that is based on systematically interpreted data. 229 By recognizing that not all research aims to develop a theory, Strauss and Corbin (18) open the possibility for 230 the techniques developed in GT

to be used separately to meet the needs and objectives of the research in question, as was the 231 case in Quaranta's (6) research, which used only two techniques from GT data analysis in her study. 232 Strauss and Corbin observe that the data analysis stage "is not a structured, static, or rigid process" (18:65), but rather a 233 moment of agitation, of back and forth between the different types of coding (open and axial), since the analytical 234 procedures of the theory use techniques and analysis procedures that allow for a free and creative process, 235 with significant movement of the data in search of answers to the proposed question. 236

This movement occurs through comparisons that the researcher needs to make in their quest to understand what the data brings. 237 To this end, it

is necessary to ask questions iii about what is observed, in search of what is not revealed,

238

iii The questions can have different objectives,

Strauss and Corbin (18) suggest four ways to think about the questions when looking at the data:

1. Sensitive questions: look at what the data indicates. Example: "What's going on here? [...] How do they define the situation? What does it mean to them? [...]" (18:82); 2.

Theoretical questions: they assist in making connections and comparisons with the theory. Ex.:

"What is the relationship between one concept and another (that is, how do they compare and relate in terms of properties and dimensions)? [...] What would happen if...? How do

facts and actions change over time?" (18:82); 3. Questions of a more

practical and structural nature that guide sampling and assist in

the "development of the resulting theory structure" (18:83). Ex.: "Which concepts are well-developed and which are not? [...] Is my evolutionary theory logical? What are the logical

breaks?" (18:83); 4. Guiding questions: these are questions that "guide the interviews,

observations, and analyses of these and other documents" (18:83), the authors do

not provide examples of this type of question, as they are

specific to each research and each researcher. Even

the questions suggested by the authors can be modified according to the researcher's needs/interests.

7 requiring the research to abstract what the data means, shows, or indicates, in the pursuit of both general 239 patterns and variations in the presented data. 240 A PAR analyzed in the light of GT 241 Research conducted through action-research results in a large amount of data generated through recordings, interviews, 242 field diaries, observation notes of the meetings, and preliminary analyses (which generate provisional syntheses). 243 Ghedin and Franco (3) advise that the records need to include: 244 •

- References to the agreements established for the functioning of the group; 245 • Data related to understandings, interpretations, and syntheses of theoretical foundation readings; 246 • Description of group activities and practices; 247 •
- Summaries of group reflections and decisions; 248 •
- Characterization of the ongoing institutional and administrative changes; 249 •

Description of the participation of the group members (3:244). 250 251 And all this material requires a critical analysis that allows for the reliability of the obtained results. We emphasize the word 252 “all”, as the material to be analyzed is not limited to those prepared during the meetings; the logbooks, the notes 253 taken during the training sessions, and the thoughts that arise at random moments are 254

also material that should be part of the analyses and that provide information to aid in the development of the research. 255 Data analysis is the moment of data triangulation, where the researcher stands before the research, comparing what the 256 data brings us, the researcher's perspective on the phenomenon, and the theory that underpins the research, and, in light 257 of these three vertices, we engage in dialog in search of understanding and answers. 258 In the case of PA, this triangulation begins with partial syntheses, which can be considered mini 259 triangulations that occur after each meeting, where the interpretations of the data are 260 constructed by the research participants, taking into account the new conceptions and the subjective conditions of the work. 261

With the completion of the field research stage, the analysis of the obtained data begins.

This moment will be carried out 262 exclusively by the researcher responsible for the study, who will be in charge of transforming the generated data 263 into knowledge to be shared. This process of transforming the data constructed during the research into shared knowledge 264 does not have a predetermined guideline on the analysis method; for this reason, 265 the researcher may choose the one that seems most appropriate to their research objective. 266 Getting to know the GT was a moment of revelation of a possibility for a more critical and in-depth analysis of the data 267 together with the group. Reading about the methodology and its 268 analytical possibilities that would bring greater reliability to the data made the researcher's need to be curious and explore 269 new analytical possibilities greater than the anxiety to quickly finish the doctoral thesis (6) that used PAR as 270 the research methodology and was at the beginning of the data analysis stage. 271 Although Tarozzi argues that "in the absence of all the characteristics that follow, even if declined differently, 272 one cannot speak of GT" (13:22), Strauss and Corbin (18) open the possibility of using only some techniques of the method. v. 273 This flexibility that GT offers, of using only some stages of its methodology in research, brings a 274 great possibility of utilization within the field of educational research, due to its methodological breadth. However, 275

iv Often, in

moments of idleness, leisure, or even at night, research comes to our mind with thoughts that can bring answers to the concerns we couldn't bring to the surface while we were focused on the research. For this reason, we recommend that every researcher carry a pen and a piece of paper with them, so they can jot down that thought which, often, will be extremely useful in the research. v There is a discussion about whether GT is a methodology or a method. Tarozzi states that "for its founders, GT is „a general method“ (it was also defined as „strategic“ [...] and, contemporaneously, „a set of procedures.“” It is a methodology, that is, a global rational discourse, an orientation on the method and its theoretical analysis, a way of thinking (or constructing) social reality and, at the same time, a method; that is, a procedure, a set of instruments, a technique to work with empirical research data [...].

Methodological reflections should account for the integrative work process, while the method is functional to productive work. From that initial definition, different opinions have alternately emerged over time regarding how GT should be understood and its placement between methods and methodologies. "For Glaser (1978) and others, it is essentially a methodology; for Juliet Corbin (Satruss and Corbin, 1990), it is a method; for Kathy Charmaz (2006), a constellation of methods" (13:18). In this work, we understand GT as a methodology for the critical analysis of the data constructed during a PAR.

8 what is observed is its limited use in educational research, which is likely due to the difficulty of using the methodology (19), 276 which has a series of requirements to be considered GT and demands a rigorous and careful data analysis. Nevertheless, 277 education is a complex space, inhabited by diverse agents, 278 free and predisposed to act so that their needs and dreams are met [...], 279 which means that even if we can know the people, we can hardly anticipate the outcome of their interactions 280 [...]. Therefore, if much is systematically new, 281 we need research methodologies that deal with this novelty and embrace 282 these serendipity that may result from it. In that case, GT can be a privileged instrument. (19:3). 283 Given this possibility, from the perspective of Critical Pedagogy, analyzing the data according to its guidelines seemed 284 like an intriguing and challenging path that could yield differentiated results for the material 285 developed during the research, organizing it in a rational and meticulous manner. 286 The data analysis stage guided by GT consists of three main moments: open coding, axial coding, and selective coding. 287 Open coding is the first stage of data analysis. According to Strauss and Corbin, it is called that "because to reveal, name, 288 and develop concepts, we must open the text and expose the thoughts, ideas, and meanings it contains" (18:104). Thus, 289 this stage consists of identifying the concepts, their properties, and dimensions, through a separation of the data 290 into distinct parts that will be rigorously examined and compared in

their similarities and differences. 291 This breakdown of the data aims to divide and classify them into concepts *vi*, which are abstracted from the participants' 292 speeches and then named with a term that represents them in the search for what is less apparent in relation to an object. 293 This division of data that occurs in open coding can be done through different analysis strategies: "line by line", 294 "sentence or paragraph", or "carefully reading the entire document" (18), which allows for different modes of coding. 295 Line-by-line coding is the most time-consuming stage; it involves a technique that entails a detailed examination of the 296 data, sentence by sentence, or even word by word, allowing for the search for 297 their properties and dimensions through comparisons and relationships between the participants' statements, 298 seeking attributes that enable the data to be grouped according to observed patterns. This method of "line-by-line coding" 299 helps you see what is familiar in a new light. It also helps 300 you gain sufficient distance from the assumptions made by you and the participants about the material so that they can be seen 301 in a new light" (16:38), which enables the perception of the need for new clarifications about the data 302 and the emergence of the initial properties of the categories. 303 When analyzing through the "word", different meanings are sought within it that can broaden the perspective 304 on what is being expressed. What is the meaning of that word within the context of the sentence? What does it make 305 explicit or not explicit? This word-by-word analysis is called microanalysis of data (18). 306 The coding by "sentence or paragraph" is a technique more commonly used when the categories are 307 already established and a relationship between them is sought. This technique requires the researcher to look 308 at the sentence or paragraph and ask themselves about the main idea revealed there and name it. 309 The last open coding technique proposed by Strauss and Corbin (18) is to "read the entire document carefully", observe 310 what is presented in the text, and seek to establish relationships of similarity and difference between it 311 and other analyzed texts, aiming to code similarities and differences between them. 312

Here is an example of line-by-line analysis. In the first column is the participant's name; in the second, the question that generated the theme discussed during the meeting; the third column contains the question asked by the researcher about the meaning of the phrase; and in the fourth column, the location code used to identify the event observed. In the location code, the first number indicates the observed event, the second the meeting, and the letters are the participant's initials. This code will be important at the time

317  
vi Only 1% of these, between 2018 and 2020, used this methodology in Portugal (19). vii The ability to conceptualize is seen, by Strauss and Corbin (18), as an art that can be learned and that involves a dose of creativity. viii In the research that originated this article, 13 training sessions were held.

ix This code was the one that best adapted to the data organization done by the researcher, but it can be done in other ways, according to the researcher's needs or even not done at all.

9 of locating and organizing the data for the analysis that will be carried out at other times, since data with the same context can appear in different meetings. Table 2: Line-by-Line Analysis Model

Codenameteacher	How do you perceive playing today? What is this? What does this represent? What do these data mean?	Locationcode
Pimentinha (Little Pepper)	They like to run. The child's need to move during play.	13;02;PI Teacher J. (of Physical Education) is my favorite teacher, she takes me outside to play (repeating a student's words). The student likes the teacher who takes him to play.
Valentina I	think those who have siblings play more easily. Playing as a family encourages play.	09;02;PI Valentina I think those who have siblings play more easily. Playing as a family encourages play.
Borboleta (Butterfly)	If they even play, right? The cellphone doesn't allow it. The changes in play: traditional play is being replaced by technological play: cellphones.	11;02;VA Borboleta (Butterfly) If they even play, right? The cellphone doesn't allow it. The changes in play: traditional play is being replaced by technological play: cellphones.
Valentina I	played other games. Not that one, the spinning top caught my attention more. Not liking a game.	01;02;BO Valentina I played other games. Not that one, the spinning top caught my attention more. Not liking a game.
Sorriso (Smile)	The way families are treating children in today's world.	22;02;SO Sorriso (Smile) The way families are treating children in today's world.
Codenameteacher	How do you perceive play at school? What is this? What does this represent? What do these data mean?	Locationcode
Pimentinha (Little Pepper)	Here at school, you can't shout, it disrupts.	

The noise from the children disrupts the school. 17;02;PI The child must take pleasure in returning to school. The school has to be an attractive place. 18;02;PI The school playground is a smooth place, the surface is not good for playing. Play areas: the lack of adequate spaces in the school. 06;02;PI The school doesn't have a place to play. The play spaces: the school doesn't have space to play. 06;02;PI Dori In our time, it was completely different from what it is today. Today it's different, you play, it's not just an activity. In our time, it was just sitting down, looking straight ahead, at the back of a friend's head, you couldn't make a peep, make a noise. The change that time brings: the school was different, there was no playground, there was no talk of playing at school (children used to enter school at 7 years old in elementary school, now they enter at 4). Has that really changed?? 15;02;DO Dori In my house, there was a wall covered with round stones, we would take them off (she said, whispering, as if she were doing something wrong at that moment. It caused laughter)x. She was really smooth, great for playing. The emotions of play: - Playing encourages daring; - Playing encourages problem-solving; - Playing promotes creativity (looking at the wall and seeing something to play with). 27;02;DO Sorriso (Smile) My son studied at this school, the playground was made of wood, with dirt and grass. He was sad when they put down cement. (I remembered the time when I The play spaces: - In the past, there was space; - The changes in the spaces at school.

06;02;SO

xMaking notes

about how the participant expressed themselves during the recordings is important at the time of data analysis for understanding how the speech occurred.

10 Codename teacher How do you perceive play at school? What is this? What does this represent? What do these data mean? Location code worked at the school daycare, in 1995). The system confines the child. The organization of the school hinders play, the system does not want play. 21;02;SO The child has to have a story to tell. The child: playing creates memories. What memories are being provided to the children? 31;02;SO 322 Codename tea

cher After the game of Five Stones What is this? What does this represent? What do these data mean? Location code Pimentinha I used to make it with constructions and or something like that. You can also use kitchen rice.. Memories of play: play creates memories.. 25;02;PI Learning thru play. 28;02;PI Serelepe This is the Nutella version, because the real one has little stones. Memories of playing: playing creates memories. 25;02;SE Ways of playing: the same game can happen in different ways. 08;02;SE Source: adapted from Quaranta (6). 323 The moment of open coding is very dynamic and requires many back-and-forths. For this reason, 324 various groupings of the observed phenomenon were carried out, as can be seen in the following tables, where some 325 examples of central themes or properties of the categories are presented, which do not yet express the research categories, 326 but served as initial bases to look at the data and seek the categories or subcategories, in their properties and dimensions, 327 to then be related "through hypotheses or statements of relationships" (18:121) and, thus, enable the definition of the central 328 category or core category. 329 330 The process of open coding "line by line" began after each meeting, where the teachers' speeches 331 were categorized and organized according to the meaning of the sentence (table 3). For this reason, one can observe in 332 the table the repetition of the same codes several times, which meant that that perception emerged not only at one moment but in 333 different statements by the teacher during the meeting or even in different meetings. 334 335

Table 3 - Model of organization of open coding after line-by-line analysis 336

Observed property	Location code	The child
Playing: difficulties	17;02;PI	31;02;SO
Playing: ways	08;02;SE	01;02;BO
Playing: the toy xi	28;02;PI	27;02;DO
Playing: possibilities	06;02;PI	06;02;PI
Space	06;02;PI	06;02;SO
Family and Society	11;02;VA	22;02;SO
The teacher: education		

xiln thesecond meeting (meeting used for the preparation of table 2), no data related to this property were represented, nor to the properties of teacher: training and technology. For this reason, there are no codes that represent them in relation to the meeting used as

an example. The time property only presented a code in the second meeting. .

11 Observed property Locationcode The teacher: memories 25;02;PI 25;02;SE System  
18;02;PI 21;02;SO Technology Time 15;02;DO Source: own elaboration,  
based on Quaranta's research (6). 337 After the classification process by encounter, the data  
began to be organized by central themes, 338  
which emerged according to the perceptions of the researcher and the participants. At  
this moment, it 339 is not possible to know which themes will emerge as  
categories and which will be subcategories; 340  
this will only occur with the development of the analysis process,  
after successive organizations and comparisons are 341 made with the data. 342 343 In  
the research, in addition to the property of the child, briefly presented in Table 4, 344  
the following also emerged from the analyzes based on line-by-line analysis as properties for  
observation and analysis: 345 space, time, technology, family and society, the system,  
the teacher (training and memories), and play (possibilities, 346 difficulties, modes,  
and toys), which were compared and observed exhaustively to identify points 347  
of convergence and divergence among them. 348 349 In the table,  
two levels of explanation of the observed phenomenon can also be observed: "(a) the actual words  
350 used by our informants and (b) our conceptualization of these words" (18:126), since when  
data analysis is conducted, 351 the interpretation of the data is carried out by the researcher.  
352 353 Table 4 - Examples of grouping by sentence based on the property child 354 The Child  
Time Phrase from the teacher Locationcode What's happening? What did the teacher mean?  
Most are only children or have much older siblings, around eighteen years old,  
or only interact with adults. 14;05;GI Changes in  
contemporary society that affect the way children play, both at home and at school. The new  
times. They play with things very quickly, they get bored very quickly. 39;06;SO The child's  
time, what is their limit of concentration? The child's lack of concentration, things catch  
their attention for a short time. Playtime. 355 Space Phrase from the teacher Locationcode

What's happening? What did the teacher mean? He spent two years sitting, fighting at home, without space. We even say hallway, hallway is not for running, but they see this here. 40;06;VR  
The lack of adequate spaces: Covid-19 made children stay at home, and many didn't have space to run, so now they see the school corridor as a racetrack. The child sees other possibilities for using the space, different from the adult.  
It's strengthening the work within the school, which we promote the frustration, but at home, we don't. It's complicated. 18;11;SE The school: a space for learning: not doing everything the child wants can also be educational. When playing, not all of the child's wishes are met, and this can be educational and can happen within the school. 356 357 358 359 Possibilities

12 Phrase from the teacher Location code What's happening? What did the teacher mean?  
The child has to have a story to tell. 31;02;SO The memories of playing will be recollections, and while playing, the child creates memories that, when an adult, will be remembered with joy. It's really cool (to watch the child), they come up with such gems. We watch their reasoning, it's very interesting, the discussion, the speech. 01;03;CD The need to understand the child's reasoning. When playing, the child exposes their reasoning and creates, but to understand the child's reasoning, the teacher needs to be observing, paying attention. They never want to play with their own toys. The boys like movement, they make balls of play dough to throw at each other, they bump their cars into each other. They only know how to play with violence. The girls are all about the little food, they like to be housewives, the mother. They imitate the family. We are social beings 24;04;VR The different ways boys and girls play. Is the boys' violence real? Do they perceive what they are doing as violence or as a game? The difference in perception between the adult and the child regarding the same phenomenon. The curiosity about what belongs to others. The possibility of exchanging toys during play allows the child to develop negotiation, interaction, and socialization skills that do not occur in other situations. Children set the rules of the game. 01;05;BO The child knows how to organize

themselves. She is capable of organizing the game and is very strict about the rules of play. If a rule is not respected, the child stops playing, ending the game or removing the peer who broke the rule. This enables the learning of rules, the emergence of leadership, socialization, encouragement of speech, and the child's autonomy.

360 Dissonances Phrase from the teacher Location code What's happening? What did the teacher mean? Their change in pedagogy is noticeable; they are understanding well that playtime is for playing and activity time is for activities.

06;04;BO Play vs. activity. Depending on the teacher's positioning, even if they think they are playing a game, the child perceives it as an activity. The child knows how to differentiate between playtime and activity time. Playing requires certain characteristics, and if these are not met, the child will not perceive it as play, and the moment will not be considered playtime. Last week I asked them to come up with a game, and it was even cool because the week is going to be the week of dreams, and it ended up coinciding with what they did. I told the boys, let's create something different? [...]

With the girls, I have the rolls that I get from the market for them to play with playdough. But I said, let's create something with them? [...] I thought, wow, how creative they are!

23;04;SO The child is creative, but the adult does not notice. There is a need for adults to understand the characteristics of children, to pay attention to their speech, their creativity. The research allowed the teacher to look at the children and notice their characteristics that often get hidden amidst the daily tasks. The teacher's encouragement of separating boys and girls while playing.

Class of Preschool I, four years old. A gente foifazerumabrinca deira e teve uma aluna que falou assim, mas adultobrinca? We went to play a game and there was a student who said, but do adults play? I said, adults play too. And I started playing with them. She was amazed because I was going to play with them.

02;05;CD Playing vs. being an adult. The adult positions themselves in such a distant manner from the child that they cannot perceive that the adult also has the capacity to play. There is a need to break the paradigm: An adult plays and can play with the child without ceasing to be an "adult." This can also be an influence of a

society that devalues play by children, seeing it as something lesser. 361 Resistances  
Phrase from the teacher Location code What's happening? What did the teacher mean?  
We can be the best teacher in the world, I can stand in front 02;03;DO The conditions for  
learning (time to learn/wanting to learn): for the student to learn, it

13 of my class and turn upside down for the child to hear me. If she doesn't want to, if she isn't ready  
for it, she won't learn. doesn't only depend on the teacher, it also depends on them. I  
remembered bell hooks (2017, p. 19): "this class, more than any other, made me  
abandon once and for all the idea that the teacher, by the simple force of their will and desire,  
is capable of making the classroom a learning Community". That's when you saw the photos that  
are in the group, but before we got to the little house, I played tightrope clown, jump the pirate.  
She looked and said, "will you play jump the pirate with me?" I played a little bit there.

12;05;EP Can adults play? Although there is resistance for adults to play,  
when this situation occurs, they get close to the child and create bonds. The teacher plays  
with the child, despite the pressure she feels, she manages to play with them. The child likes  
and expects him to play. On those rainy days, not playing, wow, how much we missed it. Wow,  
how restless they were. 24;05;SE Playing resides within the child, it is an urgent need for them,  
and its absence brings negative consequences for the child's behavior. Source:

own elaboration, based on Quaranta's research (6). 362 Axial coding is a  
moment that occurs concurrently with open coding, in a natural sequence of continuity in  
analysis. Its 363 objective is to "begin the process of regrouping the data that were divided during  
open 364 coding" (18:124) and occurs from the moment the data  
have already been exhaustively analyzed and categories begin to emerge, 365 being seen as  
"the act of relating categories with subcategories along their lines, properties,  
and dimensions" (18:124). It is a 366 moment when the main categories of the research begin to  
emerge. 367 The definition of what the categories of a research study will be is not a  
choice of the researcher; they must emerge from the 368 data,  
and this occurs through readings, the questions posed to the observed data,

and comparative analyses of the material 369 carried out based on approximations and distances in the search for how the presented categories relate to each other (18). 370 In the research, the analysis led to the properties that initially emerged to a new perspective, as can be observed in the 371 flowchart presented in Figure 1, in which the properties that appeared in the open coding indicated a 372 main category that was named Time/Space, which led to three categories named: Dissonances, Resistances, 373 and Possibilities. 374 What is the time/space for play within the Early Childhood Education school? How, where, and in what way do 375 the dissonances, resistances, and possibilities found in the data influence the time/space of play within Early 376 Childhood Education schools? Given this categorization, questions such as why, in what way, where, when, how, 377 and with what results, suggested by Strauss and Corbin (18) to aid in the contextualization of the phenomenon, 378 could begin to be answered and allowed for the understanding of the object of study from a completely new and unexpected 379 perspective. 380 Figure 1 - Flowchart of the development of categories 381

14 382 Source: Quaranta (6). 383 An important observation is that the GT brings us about the definition of categories is that they are not fixed, a 384 another researcher, coming from a 385 different theoretical orientation and having another research question, could arrive at a 386 very different interpretation. However, once the analyst explains in detail how they arrived at a 387 conceptualization, other researchers, regardless of their perspective, may be able to follow 388 the analyst's logical path and agree that this is a plausible explanation for what is happening (18:146). 389 In this way, there is not a single path and a single answer to the data, 390 but rather one that responds to the researcher's guidance, allowing them to follow different perspectives 391 according to their analysis. However, the chosen path must be explained and coherent so that others can follow it. 392 393 Although GT still suggests a third form of analysis, selective coding, this was not used in the research that gave 394 rise to this text. The main reason for its non-use was the time required to complete

theresearch. 395 Delvingintotheselectiveanalysiswould require a time that, duetothe deadlines imposedbythe system for 396 thecompletionofthesesanddissertations, hasbecomeunfeasible. For thisreason, wewillonlypresenttheselectiveanalysis, 397 butwewillnotdelveintopracticaexamples. 398 399 Selectivecodingisthemomentto refine thetheory in the“search for internalconsistencyandlogicalflaws, 400 completingpoorlydevelopedcategoriesandpruningexcesses, 401 andvalidatingthescheme”(18:155)sothatthetheorycanbeformalized. At thisstage, 402

15 thecategorieswillberefinedandintegratedinto a largetheoretical framework thru a review ofthe data in 403 searchoftheirvalidation. 404 405 Considerations (evenif provisional) 406 ConductingresearchbasedonPedagogicalActionResearch (PAR) as a fieldresearchmethodologyandGroundedTheory 407 (GT) for thecriticalanalysisof data was a 408 challengethatrequireddedicationthroughouttheentiredevelopmentoftheresearch. 409 410 The PAR, being a formativeresearch, is a methodologythatrequired time for its development, where, 411 duringthereflective meetings, theteacherhadtheopportunitytodiscussconcepts, perceptions, andpossibilities for a 412 pedagogicalpracticethatwouldbringmeaningandsignificancetotheirwork. In thistypeofresearch, 413

theresearcheralwaysshasthesubjectivityofthesubjectsofthepracticebeforethemthroughoutthe process, 414 whichincreasesthecomplexityofthisformofresearchandatthesame time densifiesthequantityandqualityofthe data 415 andknowledgebeingconstructed. 416 417 The GT, in turn, requested time, collectivesyntheses, in-depthanalyzes, andabstractionto look atthe data, 418 allowingthemtobeunderstood in anarticulatedandcontinuousmanner, producingpartialsynthesesofknowledgeaboutthe 419 reality beingresearched. 420 421 At thebeginningofthisarticle, wequestionedthepossibilityof a GT, from a critical perspective, 422 beingabletocontributetotheconsolidationofthe data constructedduring a PARinto a theoreticalapproximation. 423 Wewereableto observe thatthepracticeof a GT canbe a facilitator in theorganizationofresearch data, as itiscarried out 424 systematically,

opening doors to new questions that are reattached to the initial analysis, 425  
approaching the methodology of cyclical spirals used in PARs. 426 427 The methodology of  
data organization through GT allows for a movement that, while systematizing some data, also  
drives 428 the production of new questions and new perspectives during the research process.  
Therefore, it helps 429  
us redirect our own fieldwork by producing cyclical spirals of understanding the meanings being dev-  
eloped. 430 This dynamic also facilitates that, when drafting the data analysis,  
the way the researchers synthesized and interpreted the 431 data becomes more transparent.  
432 433 Conducting research on critical bases, using PAR and GT, was not an easy task, 434  
but the results obtained by Quaranta (6) were extremely gratifying and allowed for a  
methodological breadth that enabled the 435 data analysis to approach an educational theory,  
showing that qualitative research can generate data that, 436  
if deepened and methodically analyzed, can confirm or generate new theoretical perspectives.  
437 438 References 439 1. Minayo MCS. O desafio do conhecimento:  
pesquisa qualitativa em saúde. 14th ed. São Paulo: Hucitec; 2014. 440 2. Bogdan RC, Biklen  
SK. Investigação Qualitativa em Educação. Porto: Porto Editora; 1994. 441 3. Ghedin  
E, Franco MARS. Questões do método na construção da pesquisa em educação. 2nd ed.  
São Paulo: Cortez; 442 2011. 443 4. Franco MARS. Pesquisa-ação pedagógica: práticas  
de empoderamento e participação. Educação Temática Digital 444 [Internet]. 2016 Apr/Jun  
[cited 2025 May 15];18(2):511-30. Available from: 445  
<https://periodicos.sbu.unicamp.br/ojs/index.php/etd/article/view/8637507/13331>. 446 5.  
Glaser BG, Strauss AL. The discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for qualitative  
research. Aldine 447 Transaction. 1967. 448 6. Quaranta SC. O  
brincar como prática pedagógica: espaço/tempo de dissonâncias, resistências e  
possibilidades 449 [thesis on Internet]. Santos: Universidade Católica de Santos; 2024  
[cited 2025 May 15]. Available from: 450  
<https://tede.unisantos.br/bitstream/tede/8062/1/Silvia%20Cinelli%20Quaranta.pdf>. 451

16 7. Gunz J, Jacob L. Moreno and the Origins of Action Research. Educational Action Research [Internet]. 1996 [cited 2025 Jul 2];4(1):145-8. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/09650799600401111>. 453

8. Mello e Souza D, Bassani MA. Socionomia e psicologia ambiental: algumas bases comuns. Revista Brasileira de 454 Psicodrama [Internet]. 2020 Sep-Dec [cited 2025 Jul 2];28(3):198-211. Available from: 455 <https://revbraspsicodrama.org.br/rbp/article/view/447/437>. 456

9. Franco MARS, Betti M. Pesquisa-ação: por uma epistemologia de sua prática. In: Franco MARS, Pimenta SG 457 (orgs.). Pesquisa em educação: a pesquisa-ação em diferentes feições colaborativas. São Paulo: Edições Loyola; 2018. 458

10. Barone PT. Jacob Levy Moreno e o Psicodrama. Centro de Psicodrama de Michigan: workshops, educação e 459 treinamento [Internet]. Birmingham: Michigan, 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 2]. Available from: 460 <https://www.michiganpsychodramacenter.com/jacob-levy-moreno-and-psychodrama/>. 461

11. Franco MARS. Pedagogia da Pesquisa-Ação. Educação e Pesquisa [Internet]. 2005 Sep-Dec [cited 2025 Mar 462 10];31(3):483-502. Available from: 463 <https://www.scielo.br/j/ep/a/DRq7QzKG6Mth8hrFjRm43vF/?format=pdf&lang=pt>. 464

12. Franco MARS. Pesquisa-Ação: lembretes de princípios e de práticas. Revista Eletrônica Pesquiseduca [Internet]. 465 2019 Sep-Dec [cited 2025 Jul 15];11(25):358-70. Available from: 466 <https://periodicos.unisantos.br/pesquiseduca/article/view/949/pdf>. 467

13. Tarozzi M. O que é a Grounded Theory: metodologia de pesquisa e de teoria fundamentada nos dados. Petrópolis: 468 Vozes; 2011. 469

14. Conejero MC, Maclennan MLF. Desconstruindo o Dilema Glaser-Strauss: Uma Discussão Integrativa da 470 Grounded Theory em Administração. Revista Organizações & Sociedade [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 May 471 3];30(106):477-95. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1590/1984-92302023v30n0017PT>. 472

15. Strauss A, Corbin JJ. Basics of qualitative research: Grounded Theory procedures and techniques. London: Sage 473 Publications; 1990. 474

16. Charmaz K. Grounded Theory. In: Smith JA, Harré R, Van Langenhove L (eds.). Rethinking Methods in 475 Psychology. Londres: Sage Publications; 1996. p. 27-49. 476

17. Fernandes EM, Maia Â. Grounded theory. In: Fernandes

EM, Almeida LS. Métodos e técnicas de avaliação: 477 contributos para a prática e investigação psicológicas [Internet]. Braga: Universidade do Minho, Centro de Estudos em Educação e Psicologia; 2001 [cited 2025 May 3]. Available from: <https://repositorium.sdum.uminho.pt/handle/1822/4209?mode=full>. 480

18. Strauss A, Corbin J. Pesquisa Qualitativa: procedimentos e técnicas para o desenvolvimento de teoria fundamentada. 2nd ed. Porto Alegre: Artmed; 2008. 482

19. Silva NM. Grounded Theory para Iniciantes: contributo para a investigação em educação. Caderno de Pesquisas [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 May 3];52:e.08563. Available from: <https://www.scielo.br/j/cp/a/kGK38RTqrqPbdG6x6DmV6vM/?format=pdf&lang=pt>. 485

## Sources

1 <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10003579/>  
INTERNET  
<1%

---

EXCLUDE CUSTOM MATCHES	ON
EXCLUDE QUOTES	OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY	OFF