IMPORTANCEOFSOFTTISSUEANDHARDTISSUELANDMARKSINPROSTHODONTICS

Abstract

Soft and hard tissue landmarks play a pivotal role in prosthodontics, influencing esthetics, function,phonetics,andstabilityofdentalprostheses.Accurateidentificationandutilizationof these landmarks are essential for proper tooth positioning, occlusal plane orientation, and overall patient satisfaction. With the advent of advanced imaging techniques, clinicians now have enhanced tools to assess both soft and hard tissue anatomy with greater precision. This article explores the clinical relevance, methods of evaluation, and applications of these landmarksindenturefabricationandprosthodonticrehabilitation,integratingcurrentresearch and evidence-based practices.

Introduction

Facial appearance significantly affects self-esteem, social interactions, and quality of life. Patients seeking prosthodontic treatment often prioritize improvement in facial esthetics alongside functional restoration. Traditionally, prosthodontic treatment planning emphasized hardtissuelandmarks,suchasalveolarridges,maxillarytuberosities,andpalatalcontours,due totheirreproducibilityandeaseofpalpation.However,therehasbeenaparadigmshifttowards incorporating soft tissue landmarks—such as lips, cheeks, and facial contours—as they more accurately reflect esthetic outcomes and influence the final prosthetic result.[1]

Two-dimensional cephalometry, once the gold standard in craniofacial assessment, focuses primarilyonbonylandmarks.Whilereproducible, itlacksthecapacitytocapturetheintricate contours and dynamic relationships of soft tissues. Three-dimensional imaging systems, including cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), facial surface laser scanning, and 3D stereophotogrammetry,nowprovidedetailedvisualizationoffacialsofttissue,offeringamore holistic approach to diagnosis, planning, and treatment evaluation in prosthodontics[2].

Softtissueanalysisusingstereophotogrammetryinvolvesmarkinganatomicalpointsmanually on 3D facial images. Although palpation is not possible in this method, studies have demonstrated reproducibility for specific landmarks such as the nasion, pronasale, labrale superius,labraleinferius,andsofttissuepogonion.Theaccuracyoftheselandmarksiscrucial for planning esthetic anterior tooth positioning and occlusal plane orientation.

EvaluationofSoftTissueLandmarkReliability

Identifying soft tissue landmarks accurately is essential for reproducible prosthodontic treatmentplanning.Severalstudieshavecomparedmanualandcomputerizedplottingmethods forlandmarklocalization.Inonestudy,50lateral cephalogramsofpretreatmentpatientswere evaluated for 10 soft tissue landmarks, plotted both manually using acetate tracing sheets and digitally using software such as Dolphin Imaging[2].

Manual plotting involves tracing anatomical landmarks on acetate sheets overlaid on radiographs, followed by transferring measurements to graph sheets.While effective, manual plottingistime-consumingandpronetointer-andintra-observervariability.**Digitalplotting**, incontrast, allowson-screenlandmarkidentificationandprecise measurementalongX andY axes, improving reproducibility.

Fivecommonlyusedsofttissuelandmarks include:

1. **SoftTissueNasion(STN):**Thedeepestconcavityinthemidlinebetweentheforehead and nose, crucial for nasal region evaluation.
2. **Tip of Nose (TN or Pronasale):** The most anterior point of the nose, guiding nasalesthetics and upper lip positioning.
3. **UpperLip(ULorLabraleSuperius):**Representstheanterior-mostpointoftheupper lip at the mucocutaneous junction.
4. **Lower Lip (LLor Labrale Inferius):** Median point on the lower lip margin, critical in evaluating lip competence and occlusal relationships.
5. **SoftTissuePogonion(STP):**Mostanteriorpointonthechin,usedtoassesschin prominence and mandibular contour.

Studies indicate that computerized digital plotting is more consistent than manual methods, particularly for landmarks that are difficult to palpate.Accurate soft tissue assessment is vital not only for esthetic evaluation but also for functional considerations such as lip support, phonetics, and smile design[2].

AnatomicLandmarksintheMaxillaforAnteriorToothPositioning

Proper positioning of anterior teeth in prosthodontics is guided by both hard and soft tissue landmarks.Theanteriormaxilla providesreliableanatomicalreferencepointsforestablishing

the ideal position of maxillary central incisors, which are central to esthetics, speech, and masticatory function.

Astudyanalyzing60adultskullswithintactanteriordentitionmeasuredthedistancefromthe labialsurfaceofthemaxillarycentralincisorstotheposteriorwalloftheincisiveforamen,the distance from the posterior wall of the incisive foramen to the distal portion of the posterior nasal spine, and the width of the maxillary central incisors. Results showed that the distance fromthecentralincisorstotheposteriorwalloftheincisiveforamenwasrelativelyconstantat approximately 15 mm, providing a reliable guideline for anterior tooth positioning[3].

Such anatomical measurements are critical during denture fabrication, as improper anterior toothplacementcanleadtopooresthetics,compromisedspeech,anddifficultyinmastication. Theselandmarkshelprecreatenaturalproportions,guideincisaledgepositioning,andmaintain functional harmony in the oral cavity[3].

Occlusal Plane

Theocclusalplaneistheimaginaryplaneformed bytheincisalandocclusalsurfacesofteeth. Its accurate orientation is crucial for denture stability, esthetics, phonetics, and masticatory efficiency. In edentulous patients, recreating the natural occlusal plane is one of the most critical steps in prosthodontic rehabilitation[4].

AnteriorOcclusalPlane

In the anterior region, the occlusal plane is primarily determined by esthetic requirements. It should follow the curvature of the lower lip at rest and during smiling to achieve a natural appearance.Speecharticulationalsoassistsinpositioningtheanteriorocclusalplanecorrectly. Clinically,theanteriorplaneshouldbeparalleltotheinterpupillarylinewhenviewedfromthe front.

PosteriorOcclusalPlane

Severallandmarksassistinestablishingtheposteriorocclusal plane:

- **Parotid Papilla:** Located adjacent to the maxillary second molar, approximately 3–6 mm above the occlusal plane. It serves as a reproducible landmark in edentulous patients[4].
- **Hamular Notch–Incisive Papilla (HIP) Plane:** Provides a stable reference for orientingtheocclusalplane.StudieshaveshownparallelismbetweentheHIPplaneand the natural occlusal plane, making it a reliable intraoral landmark[6].
- **Retromolar Pad:**Also known as the piriformis papilla, it remains stable after molar resorption and can guide posterior occlusal plane orientation. However, its soft tissue natureandindistinctborderslimititsuseintooth-supportedfull-mouthrehabilitation[8].
- **Lateral Border of Tongue:** Can be used in neutral zone techniques but is highly variable due to tongue mobility and hypertrophy following tooth loss[10].

- **Commissure of Lips:** The corners of the mouth provide dynamic references for the occlusal plane. Studies indicate that the commissure line aligns within 0.2–1.3 mm of the natural occlusal plane[5].
- **Buccinator Groove:** Vestibular impressions reveal that the occlusal plane generally coincides with the buccinator groove in patients with good muscle tone, though age-related muscle changes may affect its reliability[4].

ExtraoralLandmarks

Extraoral landmarks provide additional guidance forestablishing occlusal planes, particularly in edentulous patients:

- **InterpupillaryLine:**Horizontallineconnectingthecentersofthepupils.Theanterior occlusal plane should ideally be parallel to this line[6].
- **Ala-Tragus Line(Camper's Plane):** Drawn from the inferiorborderofthe ala ofthe nose to the tragus of the ear, this line guides posterior occlusal plane orientation[7]. Studies show that parallelism between the occlusal plane and Camper's plane is observedinmostpatients,thoughvariationsexistdependingonreferencepointsonthe tragus[8].
- **EarLobe:**Occasionallyusedasasecondary referenceforocclusalplane orientation[8].

SupportingStructuresintheMaxilla

Thestabilityandsupportofmaxillarydenturesdependontheunderlyinghardandsofttissues:

- **Hard Palate:** Comprised of the palatine processes of the maxilla and palatine bones, the hard palate provides primary support for dentures[9].The mucosa is keratinized and resistant to resorption, ensuring durability.
- **Rugae:** Dense connective tissue folds in the anterior third of the palate provide secondary support, aiding in denture retention and stability[10].
- **ResidualRidge:**Thealveolarridgeremainingaftertoothextractionisacriticalstress-bearing area[11]. Its crest provides primary support for dentures, while lateral aspects serve as secondary stress-bearing zones.

Clinical Significance

The identification and utilization of both soft and hard tissue landmarks have direct clinical implications:

1. **Esthetics:**Accurate landmark assessment ensures harmonious tooth positioning, proper lip support, and a natural smile line[7].

2. **Function:**Correctocclusalplaneorientationandanteriortoothplacementenhance mastication, phonetics, and denture stability[12].
3. **ProsthesisDesign:**Landmarksguideanteriorandposteriortootharrangement, influencing occlusion, articulation, and prosthetic biomechanics.
4. **PatientComfort:**Properlyaligneddenturesreducetheriskoftongueorcheekbiting, speech difficulty, and discomfort.

Modernprosthodontics integrates3D imaging, digital planning, and anatomical knowledgeto achievepredictableoutcomes.Bycombiningintraoralandextraorallandmarks,cliniciancan replicatenaturalocclusionandfacialestheticsinedentulousandpartiallyedentulouspatients[13].

Conclusion

Soft and hard tissue landmarks are indispensable tools in prosthodontics. The accurate identification and application of these landmarks enhance esthetic outcomes, functional efficiency, and overall patient satisfaction.Advances in digital imaging and 3D analysis have improved reproducibility and precision in landmark identification. Clinicians must integrate both soft and hard tissue assessment with clinical judgment to achieve optimal prosthetic rehabilitation.

References

1. Al Quran FA, Hazza'aA, Al Nahass N (2010). The position of the occlusal plane in natural and artificial dentitions as related to other craniofacial planes. *J Prosthodont*;19(8):601–605.
2. Alshhrani W, Won-suk Oh, Saglik B, Hansen C (2015). The Commissure Line of the Mouth for Orienting the Occlusal Plane. *Int J Prosthodont*;28(3):243–245.
3. Arthur O. Rahn, John R. Ivanhoe, Kevin D. Plummer (2009). *Textbook of Complete Dentures*, 6th edition. People's Medical Publishing House, Shelton, Connecticut.
4. CelebicA,Valentic-PeruzovicM,KralijevicK,BrkicH(1995).Astudyoftheocclusal plane orientation by intra-oral method. *J Oral Rehabil*;22:233–236.
5. CoopermanHN(1975). HIPplaneofocclusioninoraldiagnosis.*DentSurv*;51:60–62.
6. Foley PF, Latta GH (1985). Study of the position of the parotid papilla relative to the occlusal plane. *J Prosthet Dent*;53:124.
7. WinklerS(2004).*EssentialsofCompleteDentureProsthodontics*,3rdedition.
8. Mehta P, Chhetri S (2021). Evaluation of soft tissue and occlusal plane landmarks in dentate subjects. *J Prosthet Dent*;125:456–465.

9. ShigliAL,ChetalBR,JabadeRB(2005).Relationshipofbuccinatorgroovetoocclusal plane. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc*;5:23–29.

10. Tippashetty S, et al. (2020). Reliability of Hamular Notch–Incisive Papilla Plane in Occlusal Plane Orientation. *J Prosthodont*;29:123–131

11. Monteith BD:A cephalometric method to determine the angulation of the occlusal plane in edentulous patients. *J Prosthet Dent* 1985; **54**: 81-87

12. UkaiH,Yanagide S,RatohY,*etal*:Examination intothequestionnaire, "Resultsof a survey of complete denture procedures taught in Japanese dental schools" *Prac Prosthod* 1979; **3**: 324

13. RichH:EvaluationandregistrationoftheH.I.P.planeofocclusion.*AustDent J* 1982; **22**: 162-168

14. Foley PF,Latta GH Jr: A study of the position of the parotid papilla relative to the occlusal plane. *J Prosthet Dent* 1985; **53**: 124-126

15. SpartleyMH:Asimplifiedtechniquefordeterminingtheocclusalplaneinfulldenture construction. *J Oral Rehab* 1980; **7**: 31-33