

1

2

Minimally Invasive and Preventive Approaches in Contemporary Dental Caries Management

3

4

5

6 Abstract

7 Modern dental caries management has shifted from traditional restorative paradigms toward
8 biologically driven, minimally invasive, and preventive approaches. Preventive interventions,
9 including fluoride therapy, pit and fissure sealants, and dietary and oral hygiene guidance, form
10 the cornerstone of contemporary care. Microinvasive strategies, such as resin infiltration and
11 silver diamine fluoride application, allow early lesion arrest while preserving healthy tooth
12 structure. Minimally invasive restorative procedures, including atraumatic restorative treatment
13 (ART) and the Hall Technique, support long-term tooth survival and pulp vitality. This review
14 synthesizes current clinical evidence, explores challenges in adoption, and highlights future
15 directions in minimally invasive caries management, emphasizing patient-centered care and
16 long-term outcomes.

17 Keywords

18 Minimally invasive dentistry; Preventive strategies; Caries management; Silver diamine fluoride;
19 Resin infiltration; Atraumatic restorative treatment; Hall technique; Long-term tooth preservation;
20 Risk-based caries management.

21

22 Introduction

23 Dental caries remains one of the most prevalent chronic diseases worldwide, affecting
24 individuals across all age groups¹. Traditional operative approaches, emphasizing complete
25 lesion removal and restoration, often lead to cumulative tissue loss, repeated interventions, and
26 potential endodontic complications¹. In contrast, contemporary dentistry advocates for minimally
27 invasive and preventive strategies, which prioritize early lesion management, preservation of
28 tooth structure, and biologically guided therapy². The shift is driven by advances in cariology,
29 evidence supporting non-invasive and microinvasive interventions, and the growing
30 understanding of the oral microbiome's role in disease progression². Integrating risk-based
31 assessment with patient-centered care ensures interventions are tailored to individual
32 susceptibility and behavioral patterns².

33 Preventive Strategies in Contemporary Caries Management

34 Preventive strategies remain the foundation of modern caries management. Risk-based
35 frameworks, such as Caries Management by Risk Assessment (CAMBRA), allow clinicians to
36 customize preventive measures to individual patient profiles³. Evidence indicates that such
37 personalized interventions reduce new caries incidence and the need for restorative treatment
38 compared with non-stratified approaches³.

39 **Fluoride-Based Interventions**

40 Fluoride remains central to caries prevention. Topical fluoride varnishes (5% sodium fluoride)
41 have demonstrated significant efficacy in both primary and permanent dentition³. High-fluoride
42 toothpaste formulations (5,000 ppm) provide additional protection for high-risk populations,
43 including patients with xerostomia or root caries susceptibility³. Studies show that risk-targeted
44 fluoride regimens slow lesion progression, enhance enamel remineralization, and reduce the
45 overall caries burden³.

46 **Pit and Fissure Sealants**

47 Sealants are highly effective in preventing occlusal caries in newly erupted molars. Resin-based
48 sealants show high retention and can reduce occlusal caries incidence by up to 70% over five
49 years³. Glass ionomer sealants, although less retentive, offer fluoride release and are effective
50 in situations with compromised moisture control³. Optimal preventive outcomes are achieved
51 when sealants are combined with dietary counseling, oral hygiene instruction, and regular risk
52 reassessment³.

53 **Behavioral and Dietary Interventions**

54 Dietary modification and oral hygiene instruction are integral to risk-based preventive strategies.
55 Reducing fermentable carbohydrate frequency, encouraging proper brushing and flossing, and
56 reinforcing professional monitoring collectively enhance remineralization and lesion control⁴.
57 Patient education and adherence are critical, as non-compliance remains a significant barrier to
58 effective preventive care⁴.

59

60 **Minimally Invasive Therapeutic Approaches**

61 Minimally invasive dentistry aims to preserve healthy tooth structure while managing caries
62 progression through microinvasive techniques and conservative restorative approaches.

63 **Microinvasive Techniques**

64 **Resin infiltration** penetrates non-cavitated enamel lesions, occluding microporosities and
65 halting lesion progression⁵. Systematic reviews report long-term efficacy for up to seven years,
66 along with esthetic improvement in white spot lesions⁵.

67 **Silver diamine fluoride (SDF)**, typically applied as 38% topical solution, arrests active dentinal
68 lesions in over 80% of primary teeth and demonstrates strong efficacy in permanent teeth⁵.
69 While SDF causes black staining, patient and caregiver acceptance is high when clinical
70 benefits are explained clearly⁵.

71 **Minimally Invasive Restorative Procedures**

72 **Atraumatic restorative treatment (ART)** utilizes high-viscosity glass ionomer cement to
73 restore small cavities without rotary instruments⁶. ART preserves tooth structure, is well
74 tolerated in pediatric populations, and demonstrates long-term survival rates of 85–90% at 3–5
75 years⁶.

76 **The Hall Technique** involves sealing carious primary molars under preformed metal crowns
77 without caries removal or local anesthesia⁶. Clinical trials show excellent outcomes in occluso-
78 proximal lesions with minimal intervention⁶. Both ART and the Hall Technique emphasize tissue
79 preservation and patient comfort.

80

81 **Clinical Evidence, Outcomes, and Challenges**

82 **Evidence for Preventive and Microinvasive Strategies**

83 Clinical evidence consistently supports the efficacy of preventive and microinvasive approaches.
84 CAMBRA-based interventions reduce lesion incidence and restoration needs³. Fluoride varnish,
85 high-fluoride toothpaste, and sealants demonstrate significant reductions in lesion progression
86 and occlusal caries³. Resin infiltration slows progression of non-cavitated lesions up to seven
87 years⁵. SDF arrests active lesions effectively and is cost-efficient, particularly in pediatric and
88 elderly populations⁵.

89 Minimally invasive restorative approaches, including ART and the Hall Technique, provide high
90 survival rates while maintaining pulp vitality and structural integrity⁶. Long-term outcomes show
91 reduced need for repeated restorations, lower endodontic intervention rates, and enhanced oral
92 function⁶.

93 **Challenges in Adoption**

94 Despite strong clinical evidence, several barriers limit widespread adoption of minimally invasive
95 dentistry:

- 96 1. **Clinician Barriers** – Traditional training emphasizes complete lesion removal, creating
97 resistance to adopt biologically based strategies⁷. Techniques such as the Hall
98 Technique may conflict with prior occlusal principles, leading to hesitation or improper
99 modification of protocols⁷.
- 100 2. **Patient-Related Barriers** – Compliance with preventive measures and acceptance of

- 101 aesthetic compromises (e.g., SDF staining) influence treatment outcomes^{8,9}. Low health
 102 literacy can hinder patient understanding and adherence⁸.
- 103 3. **Diagnostic Limitations** – Accurate assessment of lesion activity and depth is essential;
 104 however, visual-tactile inspection and adjunctive technologies have limited sensitivity
 105 and specificity^{7,9}. Misdiagnosis can lead to overtreatment or restorative failure.
- 106 4. **Evidence Gaps** – While strong data exist for primary teeth, long-term evidence for
 107 selective removal and minimally invasive approaches in permanent teeth remains
 108 limited^{7,10}.
- 109 5. **Economic and Systemic Constraints** – Fee-for-service reimbursement models often
 110 incentivize restorative procedures over preventive care¹¹. Time-intensive preventive
 111 strategies are difficult to implement in high-volume practices, limiting adoption¹².

112

113 **Long-Term Tooth Preservation**

114 Minimally invasive and preventive strategies enhance long-term tooth survival, maintain pulp
 115 vitality, and improve oral function and quality of life⁶. Teeth treated with microinvasive and
 116 conservative restorative interventions demonstrate fewer restoration replacements, lower
 117 endodontic intervention rates, and reduced extractions⁶. Preservation of healthy tissue ensures
 118 biomechanical strength and reduces cumulative treatment costs⁶.

119

120 **Table 1. Clinical Evidence and Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Caries Management**
 121 **Approaches**

122

Approach Category	Intervention	Primary Clinical Outcome	Reported Effectiveness	Key Advantages	Limitations
Preventive	Fluoride varnish, high-fluoride toothpaste	Reduction in caries development	Marked reduction in lesion progression	Non-invasive, cost-effective	Dependent on patient compliance
Preventive	Pit and fissure sealants	Prevention of occlusal caries	Up to 70% reduction over 5 years	Long-term protection	Risk of sealant loss

Microinvasive	Resin infiltration	Arrest of early lesions	Slows progression of non-cavitated lesions	Preserves enamel, improves esthetics	Technique-sensitive
Caries arrest	Silver diamine fluoride	Arrest of active dentinal caries	>80% of lesions arrested	Simple, quick application	Causes black staining
Minimally invasive restorative	ART, Hall Technique	Longevity of restorations	85–90% survival at 3–5 years	Preserves tooth structure, enhances patient comfort	Requires careful case selection

123
124

125 Future Directions and Emerging Trends

- 126 1. **Advanced Diagnostics** – Integration of artificial intelligence and digital imaging
127 enhances early detection and lesion activity assessment^{13,14}. Chairside tools may enable
128 real-time, personalized risk-based decision-making¹³.
- 129 2. **Bioactive and Smart Materials** – Nanotechnology-enhanced composites, sealants, and
130 biomimetic restorative materials promote remineralization, inhibit cariogenic biofilms, and
131 provide long-term preventive benefits^{15,16}.
- 132 3. **Novel Preventive Agents** – Nano-hydroxyapatite, antimicrobial peptides, and optimized
133 fluoride delivery systems offer enhanced remineralization and ecological control of the
134 oral microbiome¹⁷.
- 135 4. **Economic and Health System Integration** – Research on cost-effectiveness,
136 insurance structures, and public health delivery models is needed to support broader
137 adoption of minimally invasive care¹³.
- 138 5. **Longitudinal Trials and Standardization** – Multicenter trials with standardized
139 protocols are essential to validate outcomes for SDF, resin infiltration, ART, and other
140 minimally invasive techniques¹⁸.

141 Conclusion

142 Preventive, microinvasive, and minimally invasive restorative approaches represent a paradigm
143 shift in contemporary caries management¹⁻¹⁸. These strategies arrest disease progression,

144 preserve healthy tooth structure, maintain pulp vitality, and enhance long-term outcomes.
145 Integration of risk-based preventive care, innovative diagnostics, and bioactive materials,
146 alongside systemic support and patient education, is crucial for the successful implementation
147 of biologically driven, patient-centered dental care¹⁸.

148 References

- 149 1. Schwendicke F, Splieth C, Breschi L, et al. When to intervene in the caries process? An
150 expert Delphi consensus statement. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2019;23(10):3691–3703.
- 151 2. Ekstrand KR, Poulsen JE, Hede B, Twetman S. A randomized controlled trial of a 5,000-
152 ppm fluoride toothpaste for caries control in adults with xerostomia. *Caries Res.*
153 2019;53(3):296–304.
- 154 3. Paris S, Bitter K, Krois J, Meyer-Lueckel H. Seven-year efficacy of proximal caries
155 infiltration in arresting lesion progression: randomized clinical trial. *J Dent.*
156 2020;93:103277.
- 157 4. Ruff RR, Niederman R. Silver diamine fluoride versus sealants and fluoride varnish for
158 caries prevention and arrest: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open.*
159 2023;6(2):e2256296.
- 160 5. Frencken JE, Leal SC, Navarro MF. Twenty-five-year atraumatic restorative treatment
161 (ART) approach: a comprehensive overview. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2019;23(10):3843–3855.
- 162 6. Araujo MP, Innes NPT, Bonifácio CC, et al. Atraumatic restorative treatment compared
163 to the Hall Technique for occluso-proximal caries lesions in primary molars: a 36-month
164 RCT. *BMC Oral Health.* 2020;20:318.
- 165 7. Lim M, QK, et al. Minimally invasive selective caries removal: a clinical guide. *Br Dent J.*
166 2023;234(6):400–405.
- 167 8. Crystal YO, Janal MN, Hamilton DS, Niederman R. Parental perceptions and
168 acceptance of silver diamine fluoride staining. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2017;148(7):510–518.
- 169 9. Roberts A, Bradley J, Mirzaei R, et al. Does Potassium Iodide application reduce the
170 staining of Silver Diamine Fluoride? A systematic review. *Int J Paediatr Dent.*
171 2024;34(2):120–132.
- 172 10. Slayton RL. Challenges in the adoption of minimally invasive dentistry. *J Calif Dent*
173 *Assoc.* 2019;47(9):571–575.
- 174 11. Slayton RL, Urquhart O, Araujo MW, et al. Evidence-based clinical practice guideline on
175 nonrestorative treatments for carious lesions. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2018;149(10):837–849.
- 176 12. Center for Health Care Strategies. Emerging Approaches in Oral Health Care:
177 Considerations for Minimally Invasive Care in Medicaid. *CHCS Brief.* 2024.
- 178 13. Abdelaziz M. Detection, diagnosis, and monitoring of early caries: the future of
179 individualized dental care. *Diagnostics.* 2023.
- 180 14. Almthami MYA, Alshamrani KHM, Assiri HH, et al. Contemporary trends in dental caries
181 management: a systematic review. *Int J Med Toxicol Legal Med.* 2025.
- 182 15. AL-Kaff AA, Alshehri AZ, Alasmari RA, et al. Minimally invasive techniques for managing
183 dental caries in children: efficacy, applications, and future directions. *Cureus.*
184 2025;17(7):e87450.
- 185 16. Desai H, Stewart C, Finer Y. Minimally invasive therapies for the management of dental

- 186 caries—a literature review. *Dent J.* 2021.
- 187 17. Philip N. State of the art enamel remineralization systems: the next frontier in caries
- 188 management. *Caries Res.* 2018.
- 189 18. Warreth A. Dental caries and its management. *Int J Dent.* 2023.
- 190 19. Urquhart O, Ruff R. Barriers to the adoption of silver diamine fluoride in safety-net dental
- 191 clinics. *J Public Health Dent.* 2019;79(2):100–109.
- 192 20. Tiwari T, et al. Barriers and Facilitators to Promoting Oral Health Literacy and Patient
- 193 Communication among Dental Providers. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.*
- 194 2020;18(3):416.
- 195 21. Albino J, Tiwari T. Behavior Change for Caries Prevention: Understanding the Role of
- 196 the Patient. *JDR Clin Trans Res.* 2020;5(1):6–8.
- 197 22. Partial pulpotomy for carious pulp exposure in adult mature permanent teeth: systematic
- 198 review and meta-analysis. *BMC Oral Health.* 2025;26:31.
- 199 23. Innes NP, Ricketts D, Chong BS, et al. Prefomed crowns for decayed primary molar
- 200 teeth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015;12:CD005512 (Updated discussion in *BMC*
- 201 *Oral Health* 2019).
- 202 24. Ruff RR, Niederman R. Silver Diamine Fluoride vs Atraumatic Restoration for Managing
- 203 Dental Caries in Schools: A Cluster Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Netw Open.*
- 204 2025;8(2):e24567.
- 205 25. Desai H, Stewart C, Finer Y. Minimally invasive therapies for the management of dental
- 206 caries—a literature review. *Dent J.* 2021;9(5):53.

207

208