



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-56221

Title: A Rare Case Presentation On Mooren's Ulcer

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- ✓ Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance	✓			

Reviewer Name: Dr S. K. Nath

Date: 16.02.26

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Strengths of the Study

- **Originality:** The case report discusses a rare presentation of Mooren's ulcer, contributing valuable clinical insights into this uncommon condition.
- **Relevance:** The description of clinical features, diagnosis, and management of Mooren's ulcer is relevant for ophthalmologists and clinicians managing peripheral corneal ulcers.
- **Clinical Detail:** The report provides comprehensive clinical observations, imaging (slit lamp examination), and management steps.
- **Literature Integration:** Adequately references previous studies and classifications, providing context for the case.
- **Clear Case Description:** The patient's history, clinical findings, investigations, and treatment are well documented.

Weaknesses of the Study

- **Lack of Novelty in Treatment:** The management approach described (conjunctival recession, steroids, antibiotics) is traditional; the manuscript does not explore or evaluate novel therapies.
- **Limited Discussion on Differential Diagnosis:** The discussion briefly mentions differential diagnoses but could elaborate more on distinguishing features.
- **Absence of Long-term Follow-up:** Follow-up details are minimal; information on recurrence or long-term outcomes would strengthen the case.
- **No Ethical Clearance Mentioned:** The manuscript does not specify whether ethical approval or informed consent was obtained, which is essential for case reports.
- **Language and Presentation:** Minor grammatical and typographical errors; some sentences lack clarity.
- **Figures and Tables:** The figures are described but might benefit from higher resolution images and more detailed legends for better understanding.
- **References:** The reference list appears comprehensive but should adhere to consistent formatting.

Reviewer Comments

- **Title and Abstract:** The title clearly indicates a case presentation but could specify that it involves an atypical or rare presentation for better clarity. The abstract summarizes the case well but could benefit from clearer organization, explicitly stating the case's significance and key findings.

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- **Introduction and Objectives:** The introduction provides historical context and basic overview but lacks explicit statement of the study's aims or objectives.
- **Methodology:** The case report methodology follows a typical clinical approach, but there is no mention of ethical approval or patient consent. Details regarding investigations and their selection should be clarified.
- **Results and Discussion:** The clinical findings are well detailed. The discussion appropriately covers the pathogenesis and management of Mooren's ulcer but could delve deeper into differential diagnosis and newer treatment options.
- **Conclusion:** The conclusion accurately summarizes the outcome but overstates the efficacy of traditional methods; it should acknowledge limitations and emphasize the need for further research.
- **Ethical Clearance:** The manuscript does not mention ethical approval or informed consent, which is essential for case reports.
- **Language Quality:** The manuscript contains minor grammatical errors and areas where clarity can be improved. Careful proofreading is recommended.
- **Formatting and References:** Figures could be better presented with higher resolution images, clearer legends, and inline mentioning within the text. Reference formatting is inconsistent and should be standardized according to journal guidelines.

Additional Note: Based on a preliminary check, the content appears original and not previously published online. However, a comprehensive plagiarism check should be conducted prior to final acceptance.