



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN(O): 2320-5407 | ISSN(P): 3107-4928

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-56229

Title: CAR DAMAGE PRICE PREDICTOR

Recommendation:

Accept as it is

Accept after minor revision.....

Accept after major revision yes.....

Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality			Y	
Techn. Quality			Y	
Clarity			Y	
Significance			Y	

Reviewer Name: Dr Shaweta sachdeva

Detailed Reviewer's

Pointwise major review points for updating the Title

1. The current title is too short and lacks scientific depth and technical specificity.
2. The title does not mention the core techniques such as deep learning, CNN, or transfer learning, which are central to the study.
3. The term "Price Predictor" is informal and vague; academic terms like "repair cost estimation" or "cost prediction framework" should be used.
4. The title should indicate the application domain clearly, such as vehicle damage assessment or automated car damage detection.
5. The title should reflect the system implementation aspect (e.g., web-based platform or automated system).

Pointwise major review points for improving the Manuscript

1. The abstract has grammatical errors, spacing issues, and informal language; it should be rewritten in formal academic style.
2. Inconsistency in reported accuracy values (95% in abstract vs 92% in results) must be corrected.
3. The introduction lacks a clear research gap and novelty statement compared to existing studies.
4. A proper literature review section with critical comparison of previous works is missing.
5. Dataset details (source, size, class distribution) are not clearly mentioned and must be added.
6. Methodology lacks technical depth such as model architecture details, hyperparameters, training procedure, and evaluation protocol.
7. The price estimation method is simplistic and not scientifically justified; it needs a clear mathematical or regression-based model explanation.
8. Experimental results lack statistical validation, confusion matrix, ROC curves, or comparative model analysis.
9. Figures are mentioned but not properly explained and referenced in the text.
10. The discussion section is weak and does not critically analyze results, limitations, and real-world implications.
11. Future work should be expanded with concrete research directions and technical improvements.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

12. References contain repetitions, formatting issues, and inconsistent citation style; they must be corrected.
13. The manuscript formatting, spacing, and typographical errors reduce readability and must be professionally edited.
14. Ethical considerations, dataset licensing, and reproducibility details are missing and should be addressed.
15. Conclusion restates results but does not highlight scientific contribution and practical impact clearly.

Report