

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

PSYCHOLOGICAL PROFILE OF PATIENTS WITH CENTRAL SEROUS CHORIORETINOPATHY: ROLE OF PERSONALITY, ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION

INTRODUCTION:

According to Hussain and Gass, central serous chorioretinopathy (CSCR) is the fourth most common nonsurgical retinopathy. It is an idiopathic localized serous detachment of the sensory retina at the macula associated with leakage at the level of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) due to hyperpermeability of the choriocapillaris through one or more sites^{[1][2]}.

Von Graefe was the first to record it in literature, and Duke Elder popularized the term CSCR^[3]. With a male preponderance (male:female ratio 3:1–10:1), CSCR usually affects young or middle-aged individuals (35–55 years old) singly, while the females are typically older. There isn't a clear racial correlation^[4].

The majority of patients with central serous retinopathy, according to Bennett's (1955) assessment, had a "tense obsessional or inadequate personality" or were plagued by "worry or over-work." "A great awakening of interest in the effects of stress on the organism acting through the nervous and endocrine systems" is what he said, predicting a significant impact from psychological variables^[5].

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), endogenous hypercortisolism (Cushing syndrome), systemic hypertension, psychopharmacologic medication use, pregnancy, *Helicobacter pylori* infection, and renal dialysis are examples of systemic connections. However, intraocular corticosteroids do not seem to be linked to CSC, although systemic corticosteroid use is^[6]

Patients typically report minor dyschromatopsia, metamorphopsia, micropsia, unilateral blurring of abrupt onset, and sporadic paracentral scotoma. Visual acuity (VA) rarely declines below 6/18, however it can range from 6/9 to 6/60. A low-strength convex lens could help treat acquired hypermetropia. When acute, the fovea is involved in a round or oval detachment of the sensory retina at the macula. One or more depigmented RPE foci pigment epithelial detachments (small PEDs) of varying diameters may be evident inside the neurosensory detachment during chronic presentation or recurrence. Additionally, in these situations, little patches of RPE atrophy and hyperplasia may be visible elsewhere in the posterior pole on fundus autofluorescence imaging.^[7]

RPE and photoreceptor degradation occur gradually with prolonged separation. Fundus fluorescein angiography and fundus auto fluorescence (FAF) show a gravitational tract when fluid travels downward in a gravity-dependent way, which rarely progresses to bullous CSR. To rule out a congenital pit, the optic disc needs to be inspected. About 80% of people regain near-normal vision when it resolves on its own in three to six months. Recurrence occurs in as many as 50% of cases. About 15% of patients have a chronic course that lasts more than a year.^[8]With or without one or more minor RPE detachments, optical coherence tomography (OCT) displays an optically empty neurosensory elevation. Macrophages with fluorophores from phagocytized outer segments are responsible for the punctate white reflector spots visible in the retina's hairy photoreceptor layer. Choroid thickening is visible on enhanced depth imaging OCT (EDI-OCT).^[9]

An early hyperfluorescent patch is visible on fundus fluorescein angiography, and it eventually grows into a "ink blot" or occasionally a vertical column called a "smokestack."

46 After then, the dye will progressively permeate the disconnected region. The dye will "pool"
47 in the area where a PED is present. Chronic or recurring illness is characterized by
48 widespread areas of leakage or many focal leaks.^[10] Areas of hyperfluorescence resulting
49 from choroidal hyperpermeability can be seen in the mid-stage of indocyanine green
50 angiography (ICGA), whereas the early phase may reveal dilated or damaged choroidal
51 arteries at the posterior pole.^[11] Chronic CSCR is frequently challenging to interpret,
52 particularly when fluorescein angiography (FA) shows areas of leakage. On the other hand,
53 ICGA reveals dilated choroidal veins and choroidal leaking.^[12]

54 In many situations, just observation is enough. RPE tear formation can happen spontaneously
55 or in response to treatment techniques, if any. For acute CSR, oral spironolactone (40 mg
56 twice daily) causes faster subretinal fluid resorption than no therapy. If at all possible,
57 corticosteroids should be stopped, especially in situations that are severe, chronic, or
58 recurrent. Compared to traditional photocoagulation, subthreshold (micropulse) diode laser
59 treatment of the RPE site of leakage has demonstrated promising outcomes with reduced
60 retinal damage. In severe chronic instances, reduced dose photodynamic treatment (PDT)
61 with 50% light intensity and 30% to 50% of the dose used for choroidal neovascularization
62 (CNV) usually produces good results with a decreased frequency of major choroidal
63 ischemia.^[13] PDT may be used in conjunction with intravitreal anti-vascular endothelial
64 growth factor (anti-VEGF) medicines. Agents such as aspirin, beta-blockers, mifepristone,
65 and eplerenone may be helpful in certain acute instances.^[14]

66 It is impossible to overstate the significance of mental health for general wellbeing. It is one
67 of the main causes of disability worldwide and is steadily rising to the top of the list of causes
68 of disability-adjusted life years (DALY). According to Yannuzi (1986), personality qualities
69 (Type A) are linked to the cause of CSCR.^[15] Since then, a number of additional
70 psychological variables have been linked to the pathophysiology of CSCR, including
71 addiction (especially smoking), personality disorders, anxiety, stress, and obsessive-
72 compulsive disorders. There is a two-way relationship; psychological variables influence the
73 disease's etiology as well as its effects. Furthermore, it has been shown to alter the prognosis
74 and course of CSCR.^[16]

75 There are numerous ways in which stress and mental health conditions contribute to the
76 pathophysiology, including raising the risk of cardiovascular disease, causing epigenetic
77 changes, disrupting the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, altering the
78 immunological and metabolic profiles, and changing homeostasis. In addition to this,
79 psychotropic medication use has been linked to an increased risk of CSCR.^{[17][18]}
80 Additionally, CSCR has been closely linked to erectile dysfunction (ED) and OSA, both of
81 which are partially classified as psychiatric disorders.^[6]

82 Since the 1930s, psychosomatic linkages with ophthalmology have been mentioned, and they
83 are now more important than ever.^[19] One of the eye conditions with a significant
84 psychological component is CSCR, and managing it also entails taking care of psychological
85 health including stress and anxiety.^[20] Even while there is evidence that the consequences of
86 mental diseases differ across developed and developing countries, there have been very few
87 studies on the psychiatric elements of CSCR, especially from our region of the world.^[21]
88 Therefore, we conducted this study to determine the prevalence of CSCR in our population
89 and the relationship it has with its mental correlates, specifically Type A personality trait,

90 anxiety disorders, and depressive disorders. We also compared the results with those of other
91 nonretinal ocular diseases.

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

UNDER PEER REVIEW IN IJAR

99 METHODS:

100

101 With approval from the institutional ethics committee, this 12-month comparative cross-
102 sectional study was conducted at a government tertiary care hospital between July 2024 and
103 June 2025. Every adult patient who visited our institute's ophthalmology out-patient
104 department (OPD) on each of the three predetermined weekdays (Monday, Wednesday, and
105 Friday) and was later diagnosed with CSCR was included in our study using purposive
106 sampling.

107

108 These patients underwent routine clinical history, physical examination, and investigation
109 procedures when they first arrived at our outpatient department. They were specifically
110 examined for VA, contrast sensitivity, digital fluorescein angiography (DFA), anterior
111 segment assessment using a slit-lamp biomicroscope, posterior segment evaluation using a 90
112 D biomicroscope, and 3 D OCT with a macular scan. They were handled in accordance with
113 the current procedure.

114

115 Following a thorough explanation of our study to these patients, their valid consent was
116 obtained if they decided to participate. There were no specific exclusion criteria; the
117 existence of CSCR served as the selection criterion. They filled out a semi-structured
118 proforma with their demographic information, pertinent medical history (e.g., recurrent
119 disease, chronic CSCR, bilateral involvement, family history, coronary artery disease (CAD),
120 hypertension, smoking, steroid use, history of mental illness and/or medication, OSA, etc.),
121 and results of their ocular examination (VA in log of minimum angle of resolution
122 [LogMAR], central macular thickness from OCT, etc.). There were 182 CSCR patients in all.

123

124 Patients with nonretinal ocular pathology (refractive errors, corneal disorders, cataracts, etc.)
125 who visited our outpatient department were selected as a reference group. They gave their
126 valid consent after being informed about the study. The comparison group matched the cases
127 in terms of gender and age. There were 182 patients in each group.

128

129 Following blinding, both of these groups were sent to the psychiatric department for
130 additional assessment (the psychiatrist was unaware of which patients were in which group).
131 They were initially assessed clinically (history and mental status evaluation) for any pre-
132 existing psychopathology in the psychiatry department. Following that, rating scales were
133 used to rate each subject's performance.

134

135 An accepted method for identifying Type A personalities is the Framingham Type A scale
136 (FTAS), which was developed from the seminal Framingham Heart Study. Five of the ten
137 questions on this test have 4-point Likert scale responses, while the other half have Yes/No
138 responses. Numerous research have reported different cut-off score criteria, which have been
139 further questioned. Furthermore, our population's normative values were
140 unavailable. Consequently, we have adopted a less complex and contentious approach like to
141 Yannuzzi's. According to the norm, the replies to the first five items received scores of 0,
142 0.33, 0.67, and 1; higher scores indicate a Type A personality trait, and vice versa. Responses
143 to the final five questions received scores of 0 for "No" and 1 for "Yes," with a score of 1
144 indicating Type A traits. There was no need for a cut-off score because the cumulative scores
145 of the two groups were compared.^[22]

146

147 Every patient in both groups had their symptoms of anxiety and depression assessed. Max
Hamilton developed the widely used Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A) and Hamilton

148 Depression Rating Scale (HDRS or HAM-D). Likert-scale scoring is used to rate the items on
149 the 14-item HAM-A and the 17-item HDRS, which are clinician-rated measures used to
150 gauge the intensity of anxiety and depressive symptoms, respectively. The sum of the scores
151 indicates how severe the symptoms are. Mild to moderate anxiety is indicated by a HAM-A
152 score of less than 17, moderate to severe anxiety is indicated by a score of 25 to 30, and
153 extremely severe anxiety is indicated by a score of >30. Similar to this, an HDRS score of 0–
154 7 indicates normal depression, 8–13 mild depression, 14–18 moderate depression, 18–22
155 severe depression, and 23 and higher very severe depression^[23] Major anxiety disorders and
156 depression were also observed to be clinically present, and therapy was given.

157
158 Following the provision of psychological treatment and, in pertinent circumstances, follow-
159 up counsel, the patients were returned to their original department for management.

160
161 The researcher answered every question on the rating scales, including the self-rated ones,
162 and recorded the answers to ensure consistency (because vision impairment varied). The
163 Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)-23 was used to compile and evaluate the
164 data that was gathered from each participant using the proper statistical measures.

165
166
167
168

169 **RESULTS:**

170

171 **Table 1.**

172 Characteristics of the patients

CSCR patients (case group: n=182)		
Age (in years)	38.54±6.49 (range: 28–55)	
Characteristics		
	Number	Frequency
Gender		
Male	162	89.0%
Female	20	11.0%
Residence		
Urban	88	48.4%
Rural	94	51.6%
Associated history		
Family history of CSCR	6	3.3%
Coronary artery disease	42	23.1%
Hypertension	56	30.8%
Diabetes	60	33.0%
Smoking	90	49.5%
Alcohol use	50	27.5%
History of steroid use	36	19.8%
History of psychotropic medications	30	16.5%
Use of PDE-5 inhibitors	8	4.4%
Type of CSCR		
Acute	154	84.6%
Chronic	28	15.4%
Bilateral	36	19.8%
Recurrent	42	23.1%
Comorbidities		

CSCR patients (case group: <i>n</i>=182)		
(diagnosed during evaluation)		
Anxiety disorder	72	39.6%
Major depression	44	24.2%
Associated history		
Coronary artery disease	54	29.7%
Hypertension	58	31.9%
Diabetes	70	38.5%
Smoking	58	31.9%
Alcohol use	40	22.0%
History of steroid use	50	27.5%
History of psychotropic medications	34	18.7%

173

174

UNDER PEER REVIEW IN IJAR

UNDER PEER REVIEW IN IJAR

176
177

Table 2.
Characteristics of the comparison group

Comparison group: non-chorioretinal ocular conditions (n=182)		
Age (in years)	38.79±7.78 (range: 28–55)	
Characteristics	Number	Frequency
Comorbidities (diagnosed during evaluation)		
Anxiety disorder	20	11.0%
Major depression	4	2.2%
Nature of disease		
Refractive error	82	45.1%
Corneal diseases	10	5.5%
Cataract	28	15.4%
Trauma	12	6.6%
Infections	22	12.1%
Glaucoma	28	15.4%
Associated history		
Coronary artery disease	22	12.1%
Hypertension	28	15.4%
Diabetes	44	24.2%
Smoking	36	19.8%
Alcohol use	40	22.0%
History of steroid use	8	4.4%
History of psychotropic medications	16	8.8%

178
179

180 **Table 3.**
 181 Comparison between CSCR and non-chorioretinal diseases groups ($n=182$ for
 182 both the groups)

Scales	Scores (Mean \pm SD)		Statistic (<i>t</i>)	Significance (<i>P</i>)
	CSCR	Non-chorioretinal diseases		
FTAS	5.25 \pm 1.06	4.73 \pm 1.42	2.783	0.006*
HAM-A	20.96 \pm 9.88	11.02 \pm 6.34	8.077	0.000*
HDRS	9.36 \pm 6.34	6.37 \pm 4.75	3.600	0.000*

183 **P*-value significant at 0.05 level. CSCR=central serous chorioretinopathy,
 184 FTAS=Framingham Type A scale, HAM-A=Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale,
 185 HDRS=Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, SD=standard deviation

186

187

UNDER PEER REVIEW

188
189

Table 4.
Association with comorbidities (Fisher exact test and odds ratio)

CSCR versus non-chorioretinal diseases					
	CSCR	Non-chorioretinal diseases	Z-statistic	Fisher exact test probability (P)	Odds ratio
Major depression			3.510	0.000*	14.18
Present	44	4			
Absent	138	178			
Anxiety disorder			4.192	0.000*	5.30
Present	72	20			
Absent	110	162			

190 *P-value significant at 0.05 level. CSCR=central serous chorioretinopathy

191

192

193 DISCUSSION:

194 In line with previous research, our study revealed that CSCR was common in middle-aged
195 people in their 40s, with a definite male preponderance (male:female ratio 8:1) and 60% of
196 these patients holding a white-collar profession.^[14,25] According to previous research, CSCR
197 patients have moderate to severe visual loss. The best corrected VA (BCVA) measured at
198 presentation ranged from Snellen's 6/18 to 6/60, or LogMAR 0.48–1.00, with a mean of 0.72
199 \pm 0.20 and a median value of 0.78. The mean central macular thickness (CMT) as determined
200 by OCT was 469.12 ± 67.21 μ m, with a range of 325 to 556 μ m.

201 3.3% of the individuals with CSCR had a positive family history of the same condition. Of
202 the patients, 23% had CAD, 31% had hypertension, and 33% had diabetes. Half of the CSCR
203 patients were found to smoke. different studies have indicated a variety of different risk
204 variables, as listed in Table 1.

205
206 Only 15% of individuals experienced chronic CSCR, compared to 85% who had acute-onset
207 CSCR. Additionally, 23% of cases were recurring, and 20% of cases presented bilaterally.
208 Although the rates do differ by location, these frequencies were somewhat lower than those
209 found in a prevalence research conducted in our nation.

210 A quarter of CSCR patients had significant depression and 40% had anxiety disorders after
211 mental examination, compared to 11% and 2.2% of patients in the group with non-
212 chorioretinal illnesses, respectively.

213
214 When compared to patients with non-chorioretinal disorders, CSCR patients scored
215 significantly higher on tests for Type A personality, clinical anxiety, and depression. The
216 ORs displayed in Table 4 further support the correlation between CSCR and the
217 aforementioned comorbidities; major depression has the highest OR of 14.18, followed by
218 anxiety disorders (OR 5.30). Many research around the world have made a detailed point of
219 this.^[20] Additionally, there was a substantial statistical association (P values 0.004 and 0.003,
220 respectively) between the HDRS scores and the CMT and VA scores. This highlights the
221 significance of depression in CSCR even more. The literature has extensively detailed the
222 connection between stress, anxiety, and depression.^[17]

223
224 Despite our best efforts, our study had certain limitations. The longitudinal course of the
225 illness was not examined, and the sample size was moderate. The presence of visual
226 impairment, which is obviously very distressing to any individual, may have contributed to
227 the scores on psychometric tests. This is a significant confounding factor, but it is
228 unavoidable in studies where patients with any disability are evaluated face-to-face for
229 anxiety and depression.

230
231

232 CONCLUSION:

233

234 Notwithstanding these limitations, our research was able to show how personality type,
235 anxiety, and depression in particular play a part in CSCR. Numerous medical conditions,
236 including ischemic heart disease, diabetes, hypertension, and thyroid dysfunction, have
237 already been linked to depression. Therefore, it would be reasonable to acknowledge its
238 significance in CSCR as well. Finally, we would want to point out that improving care will
239 eventually result from the detection of psychiatric illnesses in ophthalmology and vice versa.
240 It is undoubtedly hoped that psycho-ophthalmology would grow in the near future.

241

242

243

244

245

UNDER PEER REVIEW IN IJAR

246 REFERENCES:

- 247 1. Manayath GJ, Ranjan R, Shah VS, Karandikar SS, Saravanan VR, Narendran
248 V. Central serous chorioretinopathy: Current update on pathophysiology
249 and multimodal imaging. *Oman J Ophthalmol.* 2018;11:103–12. doi:
250 10.4103/ojo.OJO_75_2017.
251
- 252 2. Hussain D, Gass JD. Idiopathic central serous chorioretinopathy. *Indian J*
253 *Ophthalmol.* 1998;46:131–7.
254
- 255 3. van Rijssen TJ, van Dijk EHC, Yzer S, Ohno-Matsui K, Keunen JEE,
256 Schlingemann RO, et al. Central serous chorioretinopathy: Towards an
257 evidence-based treatment guideline. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2019;73:100770.
258 doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2019.07.003.
259
- 260 4. Desai UR, Alhalel AA, Campen TJ, Schiffman RM, Edwards PA, Jacobsen GR.
261 Central serous chorioretinopathy in African Americans. *J Natl Med Assoc.*
262 2003;95:553–9.
263
- 264 5. Chhablani J. 1st. Cambridge, MA: Elsevier; 2019. Central Serous
265 Chorioretinopathy.
266
- 267 6. Daruich A, Matet A, Dirani A, Bousquet E, Zhao M, Farman N, et al. Central
268 serous chorioretinopathy: Recent findings and new physiopathology
269 hypothesis. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2015;48:82–118. doi:
270 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2015.05.003.
271
- 272 7. Mazumdar S, Tripathy K, Sarma B, Agarwal N. Acquired myopia followed
273 by acquired hyperopia due to serous neurosensory retinal detachment
274 following topiramate intake. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* 2019;29:NP21–4. doi:
275 10.1177/1120672118797286.
276
- 277 8. Kitzmann AS, Pulido JS, Diehl NN, Hodge DO, Burke JP. The incidence of
278 central serous chorioretinopathy in Olmsted County, Minnesota, 1980–
279 2002. *Ophthalmology.* 2008;115:169–73. doi:
280 10.1016/j.ophtha.2007.02.032.
281
- 282 9. Imamura Y, Fujiwara T, Margolis R, Spaide RF. Enhanced depth imaging
283 optical coherence tomography of the choroid in central serous
284 chorioretinopathy. *Retina.* 2009;29:1469–73. doi:
285 10.1097/IAE.0b013e3181be0a83.
286
- 287 10. Mitarai K, Gomi F, Tano Y. Three-dimensional optical coherence
288 tomographic findings in central serous chorioretinopathy. *Graefes Arch*

- 289 Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2006;244:1415–20. doi: 10.1007/s00417-006-0277-
290 7.
291
- 292 11. Ricci F, Missiroli F, Regine F, Grossi M, Dorin G. Indocyanine green
293 enhanced subthreshold diode-laser micropulse photocoagulation
294 treatment of chronic central serous chorioretinopathy. *Graefes Arch Clin*
295 *Exp Ophthalmol.* 2009;247:597–607. doi: 10.1007/s00417-008-1014-1.
296
- 297 12. Guyer DR, Yannuzzi LA, Slakter JS, Sorenson JA, Ho A, Orlock D. Digital
298 indocyanine green videoangiography of central serous chorioretinopathy.
299 *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1994;112:1057–62. doi:
300 10.1001/archopht.1994.01090200063023.
301
- 302 13. Nicolás M, Eandi CM, Alovizi C, Grignolo FM, Traverso CE, Musetti D, et al.
303 Half-fluence versus half-dose photodynamic therapy in chronic central
304 serous chorioretinopathy. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2014;157:1033–7.e2. doi:
305 10.1016/j.ajo.2014.01.022.
306
- 307 14. Haimovici R, Rumelt S, Melby J. Endocrine abnormalities in patients with
308 central serous chorioretinopathy. *Ophthalmology.* 2003;110:698–703. doi:
309 10.1016/S0161-6420(02)01975-9.
310
- 311 15. Yannuzzi LA. Type A behavior and central serous chorioretinopathy. *Trans*
312 *Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 1986;84:799–845.
313
- 314 16. Fok ACT, Chan PPM, Lam DSC, Lai TYY. Risk factors for recurrence of
315 serous macular detachment in untreated patients with central serous
316 chorioretinopathy. *Ophthalmic Res.* 2011;46:160–3. doi:
317 10.1159/000324599.
318
- 319 17. Tafet GE, Smolovich J. Psychoneuroendocrinological studies on chronic
320 stress and depression. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2004;1032:276–8. doi:
321 10.1196/annals.1314.037.
322
- 323 18. Tittl MK, Spaide RF, Wong D, Pilotto E, Yannuzzi LA, Fisher YL, et al.
324 Systemic findings associated with central serous chorioretinopathy. *Am J*
325 *Ophthalmol.* 1999;128:63–8. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9394(99)00075-6.
326
- 327 19. Harrington DO. Psychosomatic interrelationships in ophthalmology. *Am J*
328 *Ophthalmol.* 1948;31:1241–51. doi: 10.1016/0002-9394(48)91014-9.
329
- 330 20. Bazzazi N, Ahmadpanah M, Akbarzadeh S, Seif Rabiei MA, Holsboer-
331 Trachsler E, Brand S. In patients suffering from idiopathic central serous
332 chorioretinopathy, anxiety scores are higher than in healthy controls, but

- 333 do not vary according to sex or repeated central serous chorioretinopathy.
334 Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2015;11:1131–6. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S83216.
335
- 336 21. Cohen A, Patel V, Thara R, Gureje O. Questioning an axiom: Better
337 prognosis for Schizophrenia in the developing world? Schizophr Bull.
338 2007;34:229–44. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbm105.
339
- 340 22. Mahmood SS, Levy D, Vasan RS, Wang TJ. The Framingham Heart Study
341 and the epidemiology of cardiovascular disease: A historical perspective.
342 Lancet Lond Engl. 2014;383:999–1008. doi: 10.1016/S0140-
343 6736(13)61752-3.
344
- 345 23. Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.
346 1960;23:56–62. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.23.1.56.
347
- 348 24. Gelber G, Schatz H. Loss of vision due to central serous chorioretinopathy
349 following psychological stress. Am J Psychiatry. 1987;144:46–50. doi:
350 10.1176/ajp.144.1.46.
351
- 352 25. Spaide RF, Campeas L, Haas A, Yannuzzi LA, Fisher YL, Guyer DR, et al.
353 Central serous chorioretinopathy in younger and older adults.
354 Ophthalmology. 1996;103:2070–80. doi: 10.1016/s0161-6420(96)30386-
355 2.