.....



Journal homepage: http://www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

A CLINICAL STUDY OF 50 CASES OF VENTILATOR ASSOCIATED PNEUMONIA

Dr Kavya Patel, Dr Janak Khambholja

SMT NHL MMC, AHMEDABAD, INDIA, Resident, Department of internal medicine

Manuscript Info

Abstract

Manuscript History:

Received: 15 June 2014 Final Accepted: 26 July 2014 Published Online: August 2014

.....

Key words:

*Corresponding Author

Dr Kavya Patel

Copy Right, IJAR, 2014,. All rights reserved

Introduction

Ventilator associated pneumonia is one of the most common ICU acquired infections in mechanically ventilated patients. VAP continues to complicate the course of 8-28% of patients receiving mechanical ventilation for linger than 48 hours{1}. Because of the large disease burden and the resultant attributable morbidity and mortality there is great interest in accurately diagnosing, treating and preventing this complication. There are patient related, infection control related and intervention related risk factors for VAP. The most common mode for development of VAP is aspiration of oropharyngeal secretions containing potentially pathogenic organisms{2}. VAP is classified in to early onset and late onset VAP depending on the duration of mechanical ventilation at the time of onset of VAP and commonly isolated microorganisms in these two groups are distinct{3}. The detection of the causative organisms is necessary for guiding an appropriate therapy as there is strong evidence of the adverse effect of inadequate empirical treatment on outcome. The bronchoscopic methods BAL and PSB are well standardized and widely accepted invasive diagnostic techniques for identifying the etiological pathogen of VAP{4}. In the future it will be possible to diagnose VAP accurately and rapidly and to reduce mortality by initial appropriate antibiotic therapy. Identifying of risk factors associated with VAP will help to reduce the incidence of VAP in future by avoiding the risk factors{2}. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

- 1. To study the clinical profile of the patients with VAP
- 2. To study the risk factors associated with VAP
- 3. To study the role of modified CPIS in the diagnosis of VAP
- 4. To study the microbiological organism profile in early and late onset VAP
- 5. To study the appropriateness of antibiotic therapy and outcome of patients with VAP

METHOD:

The present study was carried out in a teaching hospital and the patients who have received mechanical ventilation for more than 48 hours with clinical suspicious of VAP were evaluated. The particulars of the patients like age, sex, diagnosis, indications for MV, details of clinical examinations and investigations were noted with calculation of CPIS score. Clinically suspected VAP was defined as the presence of new and/ or progressive infiltrates in the chest radiograph with no other obvious cause and presence of any two of the following: temperature >38*or <36*, WBC

>11000 or <4000, purulent tracheal secretions. These patients were to undergone for FOB with collection of BAL which was cultured and culture positive patients were included in the study. In our institute 250 patients were admitted and put on ventilator in ICU. Out of these 250, 96 were clinically suspected VAP and out of 96 patients 50 were confirmed VAP by BAL culture. These patients were followed till discharged from the hospital or until death.

RESULTS:

TABLE 1 AGE WISE DESTRIBUTION OF 50 CASES OF VAP

| AGE GROUP | NO. OF PATIENTS | PERCENTAGE (%) |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------|
| ≤20 | 3 | 6 |
| 21-30 | 5 | 10 |
| 31-40 | 9 | 18 |
| 41-50 | 16 | 32 |
| 51-60 | 7 | 14 |
| >60 | 10 | 20 |
| TOTAL | 50 | 100 |

TABLE 2SEX WISE DESTRIBUTION OF 50 CASES OF VAP

| SEX | NO.OF PATIENTS | PERCENTAGE(%) |
|--------|----------------|---------------|
| MALE | 35 | 70 |
| FEMALE | 15 | 30 |
| TOTAL | 50 | 100 |

TABLE 3

DESTRIBUTION OF PATIENTS ACCORDING TO INDICATION OF MECHANICAL VENTILATION.

| CATEGORIES | NO.OF PATIENTS | PERCENTAGE(%) |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| (a) CNS | 25 | 50 |
| 1. CVA | 5 | |
| 2. HEAD INJURY | 2 | |
| 3. MENINGITIS/ENCEPHALITIS | 2 | |
| 4. GBS | 7 | |
| 5. SNAKEBITE(NEUROPARALYTIC) | 4 | |
| 6. CERVICAL SPONDYLOSIS | 2 | |
| 7. BULBARMYESTHENIA | 3 | |
| (b) TROPICAL PROBLEMS | 19 | 38 |
| 1. FULMINANT TETANUS | 6 | |
| 2. OP POISENING | 8 | |
| 3. CEREBRAL MALARIA | 5 | |
| (c) RESPIRATORY DISORDERS | 6 | 12 |
| 1. ACUTE EXACERBATION OF COPD | 2 | |
| 2. MILLIARY TUBERCULOSIS | 1 | |
| 3. BRONCHIAL ASTHMA | 3 | |

 TABLE 4

 DURATION OF MV AT THE TIME OF ONSET OF VAP

| DAYS OF MV | NO. OF VAP CASES | PERCENTAGE (%) |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 3-5(EARLY ONSET) | 18 | 36 |
| 6-10 | 18 | 36 |
| 11-15 | 8 | 16 |
| 16-20 | 3 | 6 |

| 21-25 | 2 | 4 |
|-------|----|-----|
| 26-30 | 1 | 2 |
| TOTAL | 50 | 100 |

TABLE 5 CLINICAL FEATURES OF VAP

| CLINICAL FEATURES | CASES | PERCRNTAGE (%) | |
|------------------------------|-------|----------------|--|
| FEVER | 36 | 72 | |
| PURULENT TRACHEAL SECRETIONS | 30 | 60 | |
| RALES ON CHEST EXAMINATION | 30 | 60 | |
| LEUCOCYTOSIS | 36 | 72 | |
| LEUCOPENIA | 4 | 8 | |
| HYPOTHERMIA | 1 | 2 | |

TABLE 6MODEFIED BASELINE CPIS IN VAP

| NO. OF PATIENTS | MODEFIED BASELINE CPIS | PERCENTAGE(%) | |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------------|--|
| 4 | 4 | 8 | |
| 6 | 5 | 12 | |
| 24 | 6 | 48 | |
| 12 | 7 | 24 | |
| 3 | 8 | 6 | |
| 1 | 9 | 2 | |
| 0 | 10 | 0 | |
| 50 | TOTAL | 100 | |

TABLE 7MICROORGANISMS ISOLATEDIN 50 CASES OF VAP

| MICROORGANISMS | NO. OF ORGANISMS ISOLATED | PERCENTAGE(%) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| GRAM NEGATIVE BACILLI | | |
| PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA | 22 | 40.0 |
| ESCHERICHIA COLI | 5 | 9.09 |
| KLEBSIELLA PNEUMONIAE | 3 | 5.45 |
| ENTEROBACTER | 0 | 0 |
| SERRATIA | 0 | 0 |
| PROTEUS | 1 | 1.8 |
| ACENATOBACTER | 5 | 9.09 |
| GRAM POSITIVE COCCI | | |
| STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS | 15 | 27.27 |
| MSSA | 8 | 14.5 |
| MRSA | 7 | 12.7 |
| STREPTOCOCCUS | 4 | 7.2 |
| PNEUMONIA | | |

 TABLE 8

 MICROORGANISMS ISOLATEDIN EARLY AND LATE ONSET VAP

 RGANISMS
 TOTAL NO (%)
 EARLY ONSET VAP
 LATE ONSE

| ORGANISMS | TOTAL NO (%) | EARLY ONSET VAP TOTAL NO (%) | LATE ONSET VAP TOTAL NO (%) |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | 101AL NO (%) | 101AL NO (%) |
| GRAM NEGATIVE BACILLI | | | |
| PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA | 22(40.0) | 1(1.8) | 21(38.18) |

| ESCHERICHIA COLI | 5(9.09) | 0(0) | 5(9.09) |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|----------|
| KLEBSIELLA PNEUMONIAE | 3(5.45) | 0(0) | 3(5.45) |
| ENTEROBACTER | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) |
| SERRATIA | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) |
| PROTEUS | 1(1.8) | 0(0) | 1(1.8) |
| ACENATOBACTER | 0(0) | 0(0) | 0(0) |
| GRAM POSITIVE COCCI | | | |
| MSSA | 8(14.5) | 8(14.5) | 0(0) |
| MRSA | 7(12.7) | 0(0) | 7(12.7) |
| STREPTOCOCCUS | 4(7.2) | 4(7.2) | 0(0) |
| PNEUMONIA | | | |
| TOTAL | 55(100) | 18(32.7) | 37(67.3) |

TABLE 9POLYMICROBIAL INFECTION IN VAP

| POLYMICROBIAL INFECTION IN VAP | NO.OF PATIENTS | PERCENTAGE(%) | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| NO. OF VAP PATIENTS | 50 | 100 | |
| NO.OF MICROORGANISMS ISOLATED | 55 | | |
| MONOMICROBIAL VAP | 45 | 90 | |
| POLYMICROBIAL VAP | 5 | 10 | |

TABLE 10 MORTALITY IN EARLY AND LATE ONSET VAP TOTAL NO. OF NO. OF PATIENTS MORTALITY(%) PATIENTS EXPIRED EARLY ONSET VAP 18 3 16.6 LATE ONSET VAP 32 15 46.8 TOTAL 50 18 36

TABLE 11

MORTALITY IN RELATION TO APPROPRIATENESS OF ANTIBIOTIC THERAPY IN VAP

| NO. OF PATIENTS(%) | NO. OF PATIENTS | NO. OF PATIENTS |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | EXPIRED(%) | SURVIVED(%) |
| TOTAL NO. OF PATIENTS | 18(36) | 32(64) |
| N=50(100) | | |
| APPROPRIATELY TREATED | 8(26.6) | 22(73.4) |
| PATIENTS | | |
| n = 30(100) | | |
| | | |
| INAPPROPRIATELY TREATED | 10(50) | 10(50) |
| PATIENTS | | |
| N = 20 (100) | | |

 TABLE 12

 MORTALITY IN PATIENTS WITH VAP AND INDICATION OF MV

| CATEGORIES | TOTAL NO. OF PATIENTS | NO. OF PATIENTS EXPIRED |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| (a) CNS | 25 | 11(44) |
| 1. CVA | 5 | 3(60) |
| 2. HEAD INJURY | 2 | 2(100) |
| 3. MENINGITIS/ENCEPHALITIS | 2 | 1(50) |
| 4. GBS | 7 | 2(28.5) |

| 5. SNAKEBITE(NEUROPARALYTIC) | 4 | 1(25) |
|-------------------------------|----|----------|
| 6. CERVICAL SPONDYLOSIS | 2 | 1(50) |
| 7. BULBARMYESTHENIA | 3 | 1(33.3) |
| (b) TROPICAL PROBLEMS | 19 | 6(31.5) |
| 1. FULMINANT TETANUS | 6 | 4(66.66) |
| 2. OP POISENING | 8 | 1(12.5) |
| 3. CEREBRAL MALARIA | 5 | 1(20) |
| (c) RESPIRATORY DISORDERS | 6 | 1(16.6) |
| 1. ACUTE EXACERBATION OF COPD | 2 | 1(50) |
| 2. MILLIARY TUBERCULOSIS | 1 | 0(0) |
| 3. BRONCHIAL ASTHMA | 3 | 0(0) |

TABLE 13 RISK FACTORS OF VAP

| VAP(n = 50) | NON VAP $(n = 46)$ |
|-------------|--|
| 32 | 20 |
| 28 | 14 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 5 | 4 |
| 8 | 3 |
| 7 | 6 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 3 |
| 8 | 3 |
| 10 | 9 |
| 24 | 15 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 8 | 6 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 2 |
| | $ \begin{array}{r} 32 \\ 28 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 24 \\ 15 \\ 3 \end{array} $ |

DISCUSSION :

In the present study out of 50 patients maximum no. Of patients were in 4th to 6th decades of life which suggests VAP is more common with advancing age. Among these 70% of patients were male and 30% female showing male to female ratio 2.33:1. The indications for mechanical ventilation in 50% patients were neurological conditions, in 38% tropical conditions and 12% with respiratory conditions. Neurological conditions were most common conditions for mechanical ventilation followed by tropical conditions. Various studies have mentioned cns disorders as one of the risk factor for VAP.

In the present study the duration of MV at the time of onset of VAP ranged from 3-30 days. Early onset VAP (\leq 5 days) found in 18 patients (36%), while the late onset VAP developed in 64 % of patients. In 88% of patients VAP developed within 15 days of initiation of MV so the onset of VAP was most common during the first 15 days of initiation of MV.

In the present study feve was present in 72%, leucocytosis in 72%, rales on chest examination in 60%, purulent tracheal secretion in 60%, leucopenia in 8% and hypothermia in 2%. So such features should raise susception of VAP and further investigation should be done to diagnose VAP as early as possible and to reduce the mortality by appropriate antibiotic treatment.

The clinical pulmonary infection score (CPIS) was developed in 1991 based on 6 variables; temperature, leucocyte count, tracheal secretions, chest xray infiltrates, oxygenation (PaO2/FiO2mmhg) and microbiological culture of tracheal aspirates. The modified baseline CPIS was calculated from the first five variables. Modified baseline CPIS

 \geq 6 was present in 80% of patients and <6 in 20%. So in a mechanically ventilated patients if CPIS is \geq 6, chances of VAP is hogh but CPIS <6 does not rule out VAP. This score is very important to diagnose VAP.

In present study, in 50 cases of VAP 55 microorganisms were isolated. We could not isolate anaerobic and fungal organisms in our setup due to restrain in technology to isolate them. Common organisms found were pseudomonas auregenosa(40%), staph. Aureus(27.27%), acenatobacter (9.09%) and e coli in (9.9%). Monomicrobial VAP was present in 90% and polymicrobial VAP in 10% of patients. In the present study among gram negative bacilli, pseudomonas was the leading eitiological agent and among gram positive cocci s.aureus was the leading eitiological agent. These two organisms have become more frequent and more antibiotic resistant. Microorganisms causinf VAP may defer according to patients in ICU, duration of ICU stay, diagnostic method, underlying medical problems. In early onset VAP there is high incidence of S.pneumoniae. acenatobacter, enterobacteriae while in late onset VAP there is high incidence of pseudomonas , MRSA, MDR GNB. Each institute should have antibiotic polices to overcome rampant, inappropriate, inadequate, unethical use of antibiotics giving rise to MDR organism in ICU setting which increases moratilty, morbidity and increases health cost of society as awhole.

Appropriate treatment is defined as administration of antibiotic drugs that are active against all lower respiratory isolates or those isolated in significant concentration by invasive methods. Mortality in inappropriately treated patients was significantly higher (50%), than that of appropriately treated patients (26.6%). So the treatment of VAP must be started promptly with adequate and appropriate antibiotics to reduce the mortality.

In present study mortality in VAP is highest in CNS disorders(44%) fillowed by tropical diseases (31.5%). This is attributed to longer duration of stay, resistant organism and altered level of consciousness which increases chances of aspiration.

Out of 96 clinically suspected VAP patients 50 were confirmed by BAL culture. Tracheostomy, reintubation, diabetes, prior antibiotic therapy, stress ulcer prophylaxis, sinusitis, MODS were significantly associated with VAP patients while COPD, asthma, coma, renal failure, tuberculosis, immunosupression were almost equal in both group of patients.

This study was compared with the following studies done previously and all the findings were in concordance with them: Craven study $\{5\}$, Fagon study $\{6\}$, Rakshit study $\{7\}$.

CONCLUSIONS:

No patients in ICU is immune to develop VAP. Proper ICU discipline must be maintained and all patients admitted in ICU should have their baseline CPIS score calculated on the day of admission and subsequently according to need. Each ICU should have antibiotic policies with regard to common organisms prevalent in that ICU with their drug sensitivity data. This ultimately decreases the mortality, morbidity and hospital stay.

REFERENCES:

- (1) Woodland M. Torretics A : Definition and classification of community acquired and nosocomial pneumonia. Eup Respir. Man 1997; 3:1 12
- (2) American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine Vol 171. pp.388-416 (2005)
- (3) Trouilletj, Chastre J, Vaugnat A et al : VAP caused by potentially drug resistant bacteria. Am J respire Crit Care Med. 1998;157:531-539.
- (4) Maduri GU, Chastre J. The standardization of bronchoscopic techniques for ventilator associated pneumonia. Chest 1992; 102: 557S-564S.
- (5) Craven DE, Kunches LM, Kilinsky V, Lichtenberg DA, Make BJ, McCabe WR. Risk factors for pneumonia and fatality in patients receiving continuous mechanical ventilation. Am Rev Respir Dis 1986; 133:792-796.
- (6) Fagon JY Chastre j. Vuagnat A, Trouillet JL, Novara A, Gilbert C. Nosocomial pneumonia and mortality among patients in intensive care units. JAMA 1996;275: 866-869.
- (7) Rakshit P, Nagar VS, Deshpande AK. Incidence, clinical outcome and risk stratification of ventilatorassociated pneumonia-a prospective cohort study. Indian J Crit Care Med 2005;9:211-6.
- (8) Baker AM, Mereth JW, Haponik BE : Pneumonia in intubated trauma patients. Microbiology and outcomes. Am J Respir. Crit Care Med 1996; 153:343-349.