

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

### TREATMENT OF IDIOPATHIC CARPAL TUNNEL SYNDROME: THE EFFICACY OF LOCAL STEROID INJ. VS. LOCALXYLOCAIN INJ. IN TREATMENT OF IDIOPATHIC CARPAL TUNNEL SYNDROME (I. C.T.S.) (A DOUBLE BLINDED STUDY).

### Wijdan H.AL-Shami<sup>1</sup> and Sami Salman<sup>2</sup>.

1. MB.ch.B.,DR- DMR, Specialist Doctor in Rheumatology and Rehabilitation AL-Yarmouk Teaching Hosp.Baghdad/Iraq.

.....

2. Prof. MRCP(UK) Medicine Prof./College Of Medicine, Univ. Of Baghdad/Iraq.

### Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 14 December 2018 Final Accepted: 16 January 2019 Published: February 2019

*Key words:*-Behaviour, determinant, factor, health, seeking.

#### Abstract

**Background:**Locale injections (using steroid  $\pm a$  local anesthetic) for treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome (C.T.S) is widely known & studied as asafe & effective conservative way of treatment. <sup>(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8)</sup>Aim of this study is to compare the efficacy of using each alone on treatment results

**Pts.&methods:**77pts with (I.C.T.S) were subjected to a double blind study putting them into two comparable groups & subjecting them to local e inj.into the carpal tunnel by either steroid or local anesthetic .pts were evaluated subjectively,

Objectively and electrophysiologicaly pre and one moths post injection .

**Results** : were in favour of steroid group with significant improvement of most symptoms and signs (p<0.01)

All electrophysiological abnormalities improved significantly (p<0.001) in steroid group while not in xylocain group (a part from SAP-amp.)

**Conclusion** :It looks that steroid alone has a superior effect over xylocain alone in treating I.C.T.S by local inj.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2019,. All rights reserved.

------

### **Introduction:-**

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) ( The compression of median nerve in the carpal tunnel , McArdle 1951) can be idiopathic ( I.C.T.S)or secondary to many causes <sup>(9)</sup>.

Local steroid inj. was mentioned for first time as a type of conservative treatment by (Phalen and Kindrick 1957)<sup>(10)</sup>, since then different studies were done to compare conservative vs .surgical decompression of the median nerve  $^{(11, 12, 13)}$ , and to compare local steroid effect vs systemic steroid  $^{(14)}$  and vs .other different conservative ways of treatment  $^{(10)}$  (splinting  $^{(15)}$ , lontophoresis $^{(16)}$ ).

For local injections steroid  $\pm$  a local anesthetic is used usually and successfully<sup>(1-8)</sup>.

**Corresponding Author:-Wijdan H.AL-Shami.** Address:-MB.ch.B.,DR- DMR, Specialist Doctor in Rheumatology and Rehabilitation AL-Yarmouk Teaching Hosp.Baghdad/Iraq. Mechanism of action was attributed to anti-inflammatory effect or a mechanical effect (displacing some thing which was compressing the nerve  $)^{(1,10)}$ 

This is a double blind study to compare the efficacy of using steroid alone vs anesthetic alone in treating pts . which I.C.T.S. by local inj.

## Patients and Methods:-

77 patients with I.C.T.S .(presented to rheunatol. consult .clinic / medical city , Baghdad ) 64 females and 30 males their mean age was  $38\pm11.5$  years, duration of sympts. $\geq$  3 moths occupation: house wife 76% teacher 9.2 % clerk 5.5% labourir 5.5 % and farmer 1.9 %. All were evaluated:

- 1. Clinically by (phalentest ,Tinel's test ,Pin Prick sens ., power of A.P.B and OP.bsides horizontal V.A.S. for severity of symptoms.)
- 2. Subjected to electrophysiological study (EMG/NCS) of both Ulnar and Median nerves of affected hands .
- 3. (Note : C.T.S was diagnosed if : median n . showed DML> 4.1 m sec , SAP –L> 3.7 msec , median SAP amp : Ulnar SAP amp =< 1 )
- 4. All secondary C .T.S cases (due to inflammatory arthritis, degenerative, traumatic , endocrine causes beside pregnancy and lactation )
- 1. Were excluded by history, laboratory tests, x-rays of affected hands.
- 2. Patients were randomly allocated , into two comparable groups ( regarding sex ,age, occupation duration and severity of symptoms .
- 3. Treatment was given in a double blind way as follows :
- 4. Group A : 0.5 ml (20mg) of triamcinolone Acetonide.
- 5. Group B: 0.5 ml of 2 % Xylocain.
- 6. Patients were asked to continue their normal daiely activities and occupations .and to avoid other treatments especially NSAIDs .
- 7. Re evaluation was done one month later by the same way .
- 8. At the end: comprison between the two groups was done by using Chi Square test for sympts and signs Paired t tests for V.A.S and electro physiological studies .

### **Results:-**

# Subjective evaluations

symptomatic				
Over all symptomatic improvement	Group A (steroid)	Group B(2% xylocain)		
1- Mean % of improvement /PtFeeling.	69 %	46.8%		
2- Final results of treatment				
100 % improve .(symptomfree )	18.8%	26.5 %		
Mild moderate improve.	76.7%	50%		
No improve	4.7 %	23.5 %		
3- Mean number of paracetmol tabs needed / 30 days	11	16		

### Severity of symptoms

### Horizontal V.AS .

	Before R	After R	Significance	
			T value	P value
Group A( steroid )	6.6	2.1	11.78	P> 0.001
Group B (2% xylocain )	7	3.9	5.9	P> 0.001

Signs	Group A ( steroid )		Group B (2%xylocain)		Significance	
	Turn ve	Not changed	Turn - ve	Not changed	$X_2$	Р
+vePhalen test	48%	52 %	43 %	57%	0.17	N.S
+veTinel's test	30.5 %	69.5 %	30.5 %	62.5 %	0.003	N.S
Hypo-algesia	38%	62 %	6.5 %	94 %	0.002	N.S
Hyper- algesia	28.5 %	71.5 %	25 %	75 %	0.12	N.S
(weakness)	34.5 %	65.5 %	32 %	68%	0.033	N.S

### **Objective** ( clinicalassements )

### **Objective : ( electrophysiological assements)**

EMG / NCV indices	Group A( steroid )			Group B(2 % xylocain)				
DML (msec)	Before Rx	After Rx	T value	P value	Before Rx	After Rx	T value	P value
DML (m sec)	5.3	4.7	5	p< 0.001	4.5	4.4	0.9	N.s
SAPL ( m sec )	4.7	3.9	6.2	p< 0.001	4.6	4.3	1.8	N.s
SAP – amp (m.v)	6.2	8.4	4.3	p< 0.001	4.7	3.4	4.1	p<
								0.001
NCV ( m / sec )	61.9	65.8	4.2	p< 0.001	60.1	61.5	0.9	N.S

### **Discussion:-**

- 1. Electrophysiological study is the most accurate diagnostic measure ,the best way of assenment of severity of nerve compassion and of results of treatment (Deckers )<sup>(17,18)</sup>.
- 2. We re-valuated our patients one month post treatments because this is the minimal period needed for expecting changes in electrophysiological indicines<sup>(2,19,20,21)</sup>.
- 3. A Certain % of C . T.S cases are self –limitting( complete remission )due to resting of affected hand or changing accupation<sup>(10, 23)</sup> therefore we instructed our patients to continue their usual jobs and A.D.L .Assessments of our results showed :
- 4. In the subjective feelings of pts :
- 5. Steroid group did slightly better thanxylocain group ( with a significant difference in both groups ) in most of the presenting symptoms .
- 6. Electrophysiologicaly : steroid was more effective in improving all parameter (but no . pt returned to normal in all parameters simultaneously) .
- 7. DML and SPA –L returned to normal in only some patients (more in steroid group)
- 8. Spontaneous activity/ thenarms. disappeared in the both groups ( cured )

## Conclusion:-

Its looks that steroid alone has a superior effect overxylocain alone and this may be due to both anti – inflammatory and mechanical effect of steroid vs only mechanical (volume) effect of xylocain

### **References:-**

- 1. V. Agarwal, R.Smgh, A.Sachderet a(2005)l.A prospective study of the long term efficacy of local methylprednisolone acetate inj. In the management of mild C.T.S.Rheumatology (oxford) 44: 647-650
- F.F Ayhan ,Ardic& H.R. Erdem (2000): Long term clinical &electrophysiological results of local steroid inj.In patients wich C.T.SFunct. Neurol 15: 157-165

- 3. P.Girlanda, R.D. attole, C. Venutoetal(1993)Local steroid treatment in I.C.T.S. a shirt &long term efficacy (Randomized control trial)J. Neuroal 240 :(187-190)
- 4. H.Ozdogan , H. Yazici(1984)The efficacy of local steroid inj. In I.C.T.S. (a clonally blind study)Br.J. Rheumatol 23 :(272-257)
- 5. S. Marshall, G. Tardif & N. Ashworth (2007)Local corticosteroid inj. For C.T.S. (Review article)Cochrane data base syst. Rev. 2.
- 6. D.O Gradaigh&P. Merry(2000)Corticosteroid inj. For treatment C.T.S.Ann Rheum Dis.59 :(918-919)
- J.A. Schuchman ,Meluin ,R.J. Duran etal(1971)Evaluation of local steroid inj. For C.T.S.Arch phys. Med. Rehabil 52 : (253-255)
- 8. Timothy Armstrong M.D,William, Devor MD Laura Borsched M.P.H. etal(2003)Intra carpal steroid inj. Is safe & effective for short term management of C.T.S. Muscle &Nerve vol 29 issue 1 Nov.
- 9. Frazblan and R.A. wener(1999) What is C.T.S. ?JAMA 282: (186-187)
- 10. PhalenG.S(1966)The C.T.S. : 17 year experience in diagnosis & treatmentof645 HandJournal. Bone & Joint surg. 48 A (211-223).
- 11. Demirci, S. Kutluhan , H.R. Koyunogluetal(2002 )Comparison of open C.T. release & local stroid treatment outcomeIn I.C.T.S. Rheumatol. Int. 22 (33-37)
- DavoodJafari ,Hoomanshariatzadeh , Farid NajdMazharetal(2018 )Comparison of the efficacy of carpal tunnel release VS. local steroid inj. In the management of mild to moderate C.T.S. (a clinical trial)Shafa Orthopedic Journal 5(1) e 58159
- 13. Hui.AC., WongSM.Leung CH. etal(2005) Arandomized controlled trial of surgery VS. Steroid inj . Neurology , 64(12) 2074-8 doi.
- 14. Wong SM etal (2001)Local VS. systemic corticosteroid in the treatment of C.T.S.Neurology 12 , 56(11) 1431-2
- 15. R.H Gelberman ,D.Aronson& M.H Weisman (1980) C.T.S : results of a prospective trial of steroid inj.& splintingJournal .Bone &Joint Surg. 62-A no.7. 1181-1184.
- 16. Campittili P. & pace P. (ospedale di Jesi/ (ltal)C.T.S. infiltration or iontophoresis pRiabilitizione 22/1: (57-62).
- Deckers H., Vansteen land H&RosselleN(1968).EMG Findings in C.T.S.Rheumatolatal .Med. Phys. 23 /6 (269-280)196.
- 18. M.W Keith, V. Master etal(2009) Diagnosis of C.T.S.J. Am Acadorthosurg. 17 (6): 389-391
- 19. Goodman H.V.&Foster J.B(1962) .Effect of local steroid inj. On median n.conduction in patients with C.T.S. Ann.phys.med.6 (287-294) .
- 20. Ghantraine A, ALbassir Gerard R. Balthazar Letaws R.(Hop univ. de-baviere Liege) (1969)Electrical findings during the Course of C.T.S.Ann. Med. Phys. 12/2 (162-170)
- 21. Exp. Med. sec. 19 vol 13 1970
- 22. Fiesser U , Holdorff B. (NeuroklinklinStiglitzFreieuni v . Berlin GFR)(1981)
- 23. Clinical &EMG follow up in conservatively treated C.T.S.Actual neural 8/2 (93-96)
- 24. E.E Hagebeuk& A.W. Edeweerd(2004) Clinical &electrophysiological follow up after local steroid inj. in C.T.S.Clin .Neurophysiol115 1464-1468.
- 25. R.S Crow M.D .MRCP senior Medical Registrar (Bristol Royal Infermery)(1960)Treatment of C.T.SB.M.J .May 28 (1611-1615).