



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Multimedia and Listening Skills

Mahbube Keihaniyan

Department of English, Najafabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History:

Received: 08 October 2013

Final Accepted: 23 October 2013

Published Online: November 2013

Key words:

multimedia technology, interactive videodisc, CD-ROM

Abstract

As multimedia technology (interactive videodisc, CD-ROM, CD-I, etc.) becomes more accessible to teachers and learners of other languages, its potential as a tool to enhance listening skills becomes a practical option. Multimedia allows integration of text, graphics, audio, and motion video in a range of combinations. The result is that learners can now interact with textual, aural, and visual media in a wide range of for-mats. Consequently, when we now look at the computer as potentially supporting listening skills acquisition, we need to examine not only aural processing opportunities, but multi modal, (simultaneous sight, sound, text) processing as well. This paper examines multi modal processing and its implications for listening skills development in a foreign or second language. How multi modal processing as it relates to listening skills development can be supported by multimedia technology is presented. Findings of this study are useful for teachers and learners.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2013,. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The past two decades have brought to language teaching and learning a wide range of audio-visual technologies. From among these, no single tool for teaching and learning has had greater impact than the personal computer. Today, individual learners can, in addition to interacting with computer-generated text and graphics, control combinations of analog and digital sound and images. Arranging these combined media into intelligent, pedagogically-driven material is a challenge to materials developers. Effectively integrating the technology into language learning contexts represents a challenge for language teaching professionals. A critical step in accomplishing these goals is careful examination of the technology's features in light of the needs, goals, and processes of language learning. The following discussion is an attempt to focus attention on the multi modal features of the technology that can interact with the development of listening skills in a second or foreign language.

2. Literature Review

Listening

In face to face interaction, listening entails complex interpretive processes. An intricate web of situational variables interact to determine what meanings are derived in conversation. Processing requirements such as reciprocity of interlocutors perspectives, the etcetera principle (filling in the gaps of what one hears with knowledge of the language and the world), and combined retrospective and prospective meanings all come into play.

This multi-faceted processing spells a heavy demand when the medium of communication is a foreign or second language. Theoretical models that attempt to capture the intricate nature of the listening process cannot hope to account for the myriad of cognitive and external environmental factors that influence reception, interpretation, and response construction. In short, rendering a complex activity like listening into a single

construct has proved difficult (Dunkel, 2006). Models that have been attempted, however, share one underlying assumption: Listening is not simply a receptive act multiple physiological and cognitive processes are engaged simultaneously.

Until recently, listening comprehension activity in foreign or second language classrooms was limited to testing listening comprehension. The underlying rationale was that if students are successfully learning the target language, they should automatically be able to decode the aural version of structures and vocabulary they learn in their textbooks. Success at this decoding was typically measured by correct responses to WH (information) questions. Responses to such questions tagged successful retrieval of information from an aural text. Knowledge of target language syntax and lexis was deemed sufficient to enable this retrieval. Listening is now treated as a much more complex activity and one that is the cornerstone of language acquisition (Krashen, 2008).

Recognition of listening as complex activity and of its critical role in the language acquisition process has greatly influenced contemporary language teaching practice. The view that listening as an active and interactive process has cast the learner in a role other than the passive receiver of aural input (Rost, 2000). Classroom emphasis is now on aural intake through active negotiation of meaning with others. This mutual negotiation of meaning between speakers activates the cognitive and sociocognitive processes necessary for language acquisition to occur (Breen & Candlin, 2009; Pica & Doughty, 2007). In short, listening has been recast as an activity central to the L2 acquisition process (Dunkel, 2006; Krashen, 2008; Rost, 2000), and a skill integral to overall communicative competence (Brown, 2009; Savignon, 2005).

Listening and Technologies

A prominent artifact of older beliefs concerning the role of listening in language learning is the language laboratory. The rationale for language laboratories is tied to the notion that individual listening practice with audiotape helps build a learner's ability to understand and speak the target language. Technology continues to be perceived as an enhancement to the process of language acquisition. The large-scale infusion of computers in language instruction programs in the past decade attests to this belief. The rationale behind what is now growing support for Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) is like earlier enthusiasm for audiotape-based technologies. That is, both media provide individualized access to target language material that the learner can control and use in a self-study format. However, expectations for CALL in general, and multimedia in particular are much higher. The fast and powerful computational capacity, in conjunction with the orchestrated video, text, and graphics of today's multimedia learning systems would predict more sophisticated paradigms within which students can interact with the target language and more effective learning. Arguments of this kind supporting multi-media have rung loud and clear in education over the past decade. Praise for the medium is based largely on intuition.

Learning a language via individualized instruction with the computer is an extremely appealing proposition, one that has sold to many an administrator in search of instructional panaceas. Thus far the extent of multimedia's impact on the language acquisition process remains an open issue. Is there evidence to suggest that listening skills development can be enhanced through this medium? The following section treats this question by examining potential correspondence between multi modal processing opportunities for language learners in a multimedia environment and how these can interact to complement listening skills acquisition.

3. Multimodal Processing

Multi modal processing refers to the engagement of more than one perceptual modality at a time. The opportunity for multimedia users to process combined media (text, sound, and video) simultaneously is a popular trend in software design in general, and language learning products in particular. Proponents of instructional multimedia have argued that the increase of sensorial input available via the technology coupled with the potential for active engagement in, and interaction with this input predicts that content will be more readily integrated into a learner's developmental system and recalled more thoroughly (Stevens, 2006; Underwood, 2003). There has been some speculation that dual processing that is, processing that involves both aural and visual modalities at once, such as with television or film can derail comprehension of a single aural stream (Donaldson, 2007; Fisher, 2003; Singer, 2002; Williams & Snipper, 2009).

These multiple codes place too many demands on the processing capacity of the viewer, especially

when the material is in a foreign or second language. MacWilliam (2004), for example, suggests that with multi-modal processing there is a potential loss of information when it is presented via the aural channel accompanied by visual information of a non-linguistic nature. In other words, students could potentially find the visual portion from the information delivered via the soundtrack. While multiple forms of input may hypothetically cause interference or cognitive/perceptual overload, studies involving second and foreign language students and subtitled video (a medium that combines visual, aural, and textual elements) provide strong counterevidence. These combined media appear to enrich both processing and recall of the target language. The addition of text does not interfere with comprehension (Borras, 2000; Chiquito, 2010; Jung, 2011; Vanderplank, 2004), but appears to stimulate deeper comprehension (Neuman et al., 2008), enhanced recall (Krashen, 2006) and, consequently, more student output (Garza, 2002). Moreover, increased experience with multi modal viewing appears to improve the learner's ability to comprehend as strategies for optimizing processing input combinations are worked out over time (Salomon, 2006; Vanderplank, 2004). mental representations and interpretations, it makes sense to supply the L2 learner with stimuli that support and even extend this process. Visuals can provide just such support. The information contained in pictures can mean that less cognitive energy gets expended on linguistic decoding, energy that can be channeled to other critical processes predication and elaboration, for example of the input. In sum, aural processing can be viewed as supported and facilitated by visuals. Visual support provides the learner hooks on which to hang meaning and make sense of the aural stream.

Text

There is increasing evidence that verbatim, co-occurring text with video can aid second language comprehension (Garza, 2007; Markham, 2009; Price, 2010). Video subtitles can serve as advance organizers that support and scaffold meaning as it occurs through the aural channel (Lambert, 2006). In this way the presence of text can diminish the decoding load placed upon the learner by the unrefined audio signal of authentic materials (Jung, 2011 pp. 208-209). The nature of verbatim subtitle text, moreover, is directly aligned with the goals and processes of Communicative Language Teaching. What individuals say is what gets subtitled. Because subtitle text is what is spoken on the video screen, it more closely resembles oral communication, not writing. It represents a rare opportunity for language learners to experience approximations of oral language in both aural and written form.

Video

While learner response to video as an instructional tool has been positive across disciplines, it has been particularly strong for language instruction. This is partially due to the positive attitudes toward the medium with which learners are predisposed. Regarding language learning, strong receptivity may also be linked to the ease of aural processing that visual accompaniment implies. Video can fill in gaps in aural comprehension which at once lowers affect and empowers the language learner. Video is widely considered more powerful, more salient, and more comprehensible than other media for second and foreign language students (Brinton & Gaskill, 2009; MacWilliam, 2004; Tudor, 2006; Vanderplank, 2004). In rare empirical studies, video-based instruction is consistently preferred over other language learning activities (Secules, Herron, & Tomasello, 2010) as well as over audio-only instruction (Pederson, 1988).

Multimedia systems with video under learner control are also preferred other instructional activities (Brooks et al., 2002; Brownfield, 2006). In short, multiplying input modalities to include full motion video apparently motivates learners and engages their attention to aural input.

The co-occurrence of video with text, audio, and graphics in the multi-media environment does raise the issue of the amount of processing these combined input modalities entail and whether these cognitive demands limit or lengthen task persistence. First, our response to any medium is heavily mitigated by the extent of our experience with it. In the case of video, the role the medium has come to play in the lives of contemporary peoples is extensive. Language students come to the learning process well versed in film, television, and their conventions. They come literate and psychologically prepared to attend to, and react to video using skills and strategies for understanding that they have developed over their lifetime. As a

consequence of extensive prior experience, users are motivated by the medium as well as accustomed to decoding its messages for extended periods of time. Second, the cognitive requirements of multi-modal processing may also imply increased understanding and, therefore, increased task persistence. As discussed earlier, there is a lag between the pace of aural input and the time required to process it. When one's first language is the medium of communication, mental elaborations take up this lag time; when the medium is a second language, demands for simple decoding are strong and happen at the expense of elaboration. However, if other forms of support for the aural stream are available, attending to overall meaning derivable from multiple representations of input may take precedence over a preoccupation with form comprehending individual words and sentences. Multi-modal materials, then, potentially support comprehension of the message as opposed to drawing attention to its constituent parts.

Schema

One aspect of language processing widely held as supporting and enhancing comprehension is that of mental schemata. Research in reading in both the first and second language support the notion that activating knowledge of the world and applying this knowledge to new input greatly facilitates processing and understanding. Good readers, for example, call on their past experiences and knowledge of the world when making sense of text. Likewise, when we process aural and visual input, our existing knowledge structures interact with incoming information (Luke, 2004; Salomon & Leight, 2006). Listening, like reading, is an active process that entails construction of meaning beyond simple decoding. Activation of what is known about the world clearly assists processing new input, and for a language learning activity to be authentic it must include having learners make use of the complex contexts within which the aural text resides. Effective learning means making use of these contexts through a process of matching new input with meaning based on their previous experiences (Diller, 2010). Learning to trigger and utilize such mental schemata is an important strategy for all language students. In addition to drawing on direct experience and existing knowledge about the world, with multimedia learners can also be guided to capitalize on schema related to their media literacy; they can be prompted to effectively utilize their highly developed familiarity with visual conventions (camera angles, special effects, for example) to understand the wider context and, in turn, the aural text. Video in particular can set up a context of expectations that, like knowledge of text convention, can support comprehension (Salomon & Leight, 2006). Multimedia is an excellent medium for exploiting this feature of aural processing. Clearly, contemporary learners come to instructional experiences possessing skills and strategies for decoding and comprehending film and video. They may not, however, consciously realize that these conventions can be cues to meaning associated with the aural text. In a multimedia environment, learners can be easily prompted to make use of such visual conventions to aid their aural processing.

Facilitating the activation of prior knowledge and the linking of old and new information can be achieved through any one, or any combination of processing channels: Text, audio, or visual. Drawing learners to a context of expectations through combined media has been shown to assist comprehension and retention of aural input (Chiquito, 2010; Gay, 2010; Meskill, 1991b; Borrás, 2000). One study of second language comprehension with reversed subtitling demonstrates the scaffolding effects of mental schema in aural processing. Lambert had subjects listen to input in their native language while reading the same text in the target language. The information that was supplied through the native language through one modality appeared to support the comprehension of the target language text. The study concludes that the provision of information through a readily accessible channel (aural native language) serves to activate sufficient contextual information (mental/conceptual schema) for learners to more readily decode and comprehend second language input (Lambert, 2006). Support for enacting narrative schemata from studies in reading (Collins, 2002) and media (Baggett, 2004) also contribute to the notion that drawing learner attention to schema strategies they might employ while working with multiple forms of input may be beneficial.

Another aspect of mental schemata of particular interest to second language acquisition is that of scripts. Scripts are conversational templates or specific verbal routines that exist in all languages to accomplish certain communicative goals. Familiarity with scripted routines is quite automatic in one's native language, but potentially problematic for the second language learner. This script competence is a critical feature of successful second language learning, especially for successful comprehension of aural input (Dunkel, 2009; McCarthy, 2003). Developing familiarity with target language scripts understanding how things get accomplished with the language via formulaic routines is realizable in a multimedia environment. Students can be encouraged to uncover underlying conversational structures using tools provided for accessing and manipulating text and/or images that represent the scripts they hear.

Chunking

The focus of foreign and second language instruction was once on discrete units of language. Unrelated words and expressions were typically studied in isolation from a meaningful context. The focus of instruction now is on lengthier stretches of discourse or discourse chunks. This approach takes into account the fact that it is the context in which words and structures get uttered that determines meaning. One cannot, for example, fully understand the intended meaning behind the present perfect tense, nor the meaning of the word *plug* in isolation. It is the context in which words get used and for what ends that render them substantive communication. Multimedia represents a unique environment in this respect. Learners can access, view, and repeat aural texts that not only represent extended discourse, but that are richly contextualized by virtue of accompanying visual and textual information.

When aural texts are appropriately chunked, processing time can be determined by the individual learner during breaks between chunks of speech. Learners can control the aural stream by stopping, repeating, and starting up chunks that begin and end at logical points. Aural texts are optimally chunked by syntactic breaks. These are the breathing points in utterances and are chiefly governed by the syntax of the language. When an aural text is thus chunked in this way, learners are cued to use not only structural clues to meaning, but also the equally rule-governed cadence of the chunks as well, for example, patterns of stress and intonation. In a study of second language learners' aural comprehension, O'Malley et al. (2004) found that, unlike weaker listeners, effective listeners actively used intonation contours and syntactic breaks to chunk and process the aural text. As in reading, good listeners make good use of chunks to understand what they hear (Hawkins et al., 2008). In a study with multimedia materials for French that were syntactically chunked, for example, Chevillard's (2009) subjects reported that chunking relieved the time constraints they typically experienced when attempting to process real time speech in the target language. There is evidence that the combination of aural, visual, and textual modalities complement the language learning process. Moreover, given multimedia's capacity to provoke and encourage learner use of schemata, and the opportunity for learners to work with richly contextualized, yet controllable extended discourse chunks, it appears to be a medium well suited to providing learners with effective forms of listening practice.

4. Multimedia in Action

The following examines specific instances of multi-modal processing as it assists the development of listening competency. Richards (2007) describes listening competency as being comprised of a set of micro skills. These are the skills effective listeners employ when trying to make sense of aural input. A composite of Richards' 33 micro skills required for conversational listening are presented below along with illustrative scenarios¹ that demonstrate how multimedia technology can be called into service to support the development of each.

Most current multimedia applications allow the student some control over the rate of language presentation. That is, users can start, stop, and review chunks of language to better understand and remember the aural text. The addition of video provides a clear, logical flow of events so that linking (remembering) new information to old is facilitated. A learner of ESL is viewing a documentary on wildlife in a multimedia format. The voice of the narrator provides commentary on what is being visually depicted. This commentary is organized both in terms of the video on the screen and by standard narrative conventions in the aural text. The student moves from one short scene to another. When new information requires reference back to previously viewed material, the learner can click the mouse to review that old information and link it to the new. Discriminate the sounds of the target language. User control over language presented in more than one modality supports a student's ability to determine where words begin and end. The synchronized display of text along with the aural text assists the learner in distinguishing phonetic groupings and boundaries. When learners can see the faces of those speaking in the video they can additionally make use of facial movements to understand the sound-meaning correspondence in the target language. A learner of French as a foreign language is working with French television commercials in a multimedia format. On the screen she sees both the commercial itself and the verbatim text of the commercial's audio. The first time she listens to the initial ten-second chunk of the commercial, the actors' French sounds like a long, indistinguishable slur of words she does not recognize. By instantaneously repeating this sequence several times, by studying the accompanying text, and by relating what she is hearing and reading to the visual clues in the video, she works to hear, read, and see how these French sounds relate

to actual words and their meanings.

Recognize patterns of stress, rhythm, and intonation and how they signal information and intent. Stress, rhythm, and intonation are automatically highlighted when aural language is chunked into syntactic units (see Chunking). When we speak, the logical breaks in our discourse (the places where we pause ever so slightly) occur at syntactically predictable junctures. As such, when the aural text is chunked for the language learner when the presentation is paused at these junctures the learner begins to acquire a feel for patterns of sounds both rhythmic and syntactic. As far as how these patterns signal specific kinds of information and intent, the addition of video can carry this kind of information. When specific words are stressed and patterns of intonation used, learners can be cued to closely examine the visual and spoken reactions of interlocutors in the video presentation. A learner of German is working with a television mystery show in a multimedia format. Two people the detective and a suspect are exchanging questions and answers on the screen. The detective is visibly growing more suspicious of the suspect. This suspicion is also reflected in the stress and intonation of his questions and remarks. Consequently, the suspect is becoming visibly more fearful. This is also reflected in the rise and fall of her speech. The learner is studying the communicative implications of German prosodic contour. She can listen to each of the interlocutor's questions and answers as many times as and in any order she wishes while noting speaker's emotions and intentions. Understand reduced speech. Multimedia is particularly well suited to assist learners in their understanding of reduced forms of target language speech. Having the written version of fast, naturally-paced aural text on the computer screen allows the learner access to both the written and spoken forms simultaneously. That is, the learner may hear *wadjaonnado?* but will read *What are you going to do?* In this way, learners can come to understand the two different forms of the target language spoken and written as well as learn to decode these reduced forms. An ESL learner is working with a parody of a U.S. comedy quiz show in a multimedia format. The quiz show contestants quick, colloquial speech adds to the humor of the sequence. The learner hears a chunk of a contestant's speech, *whaddjasay,* and reads at the bottom of the screen *What did you say?* He clicks on a control button on the lower part of the screen and a colorized version of the reduced form appears in contrast to the written form. The student can study the two text versions and repeat the aural version as many times as he likes. He also has the option of disabling the text entirely and testing himself on comprehending only the aural version of the reduced forms.

Recognize core vocabulary and the rules and patterns of words used to communicate. Coordinated aural, visual, and textual information on the computer screen at the same time makes up an ideal laboratory for student problem-solving at the level of individual words and sentence structures. The learner has at her disposal rich visual and contextual clues that can assist in breaking the code of the written and aural text. The multi-modal cues can be cross-referenced for word, sentence-level and broader understanding.

A student of Portuguese as a foreign language is working with a Portuguese movie in a multimedia format. She is just beginning to study the language and has a very limited vocabulary and knowledge of sentence structure. On the screen she sees young children arguing over a piece of fruit. From the visual circumstances, the verbatim text subtitles, in conjunction with the aural text, she is able to deduce which of the ten words

on the screen refers to the pineapple. To double check, she clicks on a dictionary icon and types in the Portuguese word she thinks means pineapple. The definition is in Portuguese. She tries to read it, and makes out the cognate *fruta*. She knows she's on the right track. She studies the other ten words in the subtitle, replays the sequence four more times, and observes how the word she now understands stands in relation to the other words in the sentence. She notices that one child is directly addressing the other and surmises which of the words refers to you and that the verb is most likely in an imperative form. She goes on in a like manner to decode words and patterns in her new language.

Understand communicative functions of utterances according to context. Video can be a very rich source of context for language processing. In a multimedia format, learners are provided control over the rate and order of video presentation and can therefore take advantage of starting and stopping the action in order to study language in a well represented context. Video also typically boasts sight correspondence between what is seen and what is heard. That is, in only very rare cases is the audio

portion of video temporally disconnected to what is being viewed. By studying target language communication in a multimedia format, learners can experience and come to understand the connections between utterances and how they function within a visually depicted context. A learner of Spanish as a foreign language is working with a television drama in a multimedia format. The action on the screen is between a man and a woman who are trying to decide what form of transportation to take. From the visual and aural context, the student perceives that the man's utterance "The bus takes too long" is communicating to the woman his unwillingness (his refusal) to agree to this mode of transport. When the woman replies, "Well, airline tickets are expensive" the learner checks the speaker's facial expression and intonation by repeating the sequence twice more, considers the nature of the characters' relationship as developed in earlier scenes, and concludes that the utterance is serving as an invitation to the man to offer to pay for their airline tickets. Recognition of communicative intent is enhanced by virtue of the multimedia format.

Process different speech styles, different rates, and performance errors. Some multimedia software for language learning provides a slowed down version of the aural text. The learner can switch back and forth from a normal-paced text to a slower, sometimes simplified version of the target language audio. This is one approach. However, if a variety of video-based material is available in a multimedia format, a range of speech rate and styles is also likely, and less artificial. Rate and style of audio naturally vary according to the genre of the video selection. For example, in situation comedies interlocutors tend to speak slowly and deliberately so the joke can be processed and understood. Other kinds of programs, talk shows, for example, are very fast-paced and difficult for non-native speakers to comprehend. Multimedia that includes varied genres permits a broad experience of different voices with differing rates and speech styles. Students can control the aural text so they have sufficient time for their individual processing needs.

A first-year student of Russian is working with shows from Moscow Television in a multimedia format. The first sequence he views is of a news broadcast. The pace of the newscaster's speech is fast, but with the help of visual cues, the verbatim text, and an on-line Russian-English dictionary, he is able to make some sense of what the news story is about. He goes back to a menu of programs and selects a commercial. An old man in traditional Russian costume speaks slowly and carefully about his love for a particular brand of butter. Again, with the aid of the video and accompanying text, the student understands the message of the commercial. He has worked at comprehending two distinct rates of native speaker production.

Recognize that meanings can be expressed in different grammatical forms. Redundancy in video presentations is common. That is, interlocutors and narrators frequently repeat the same information in different ways so that meaning and intention is made clear to the viewer. In a multimedia format, phrases and sentences that carry the same or similar meaning can be highlighted for users and/or the learner can be prompted to highlight those phrases and sentences she feels express like meanings. Highlighting can take the form of coloring text, visually juxtaposing two or three texts whose meaning is similar, or directing learners to click on portions of the text or video where they recognize redundancy. A second-year student of Spanish as a foreign language is working with a Spanish documentary on European fashions in a multimedia format. The program combines voiceover narration and interviews with fashion models as they work. When the perspective switches from the narrator to an interviewee, the learner is prompted to note the narrator's text ("Most models worry about their weight") to that of the interviewee ("I am constantly dieting"). With both texts on the screen, the learner is guided to recognize the similarity in meaning of the two sentences. If she chooses, she may access a semantic grouping of additional words and phrases that express like ideas.

Infer meaning and make predictions using personal knowledge, experiences, and strategies. Video is a medium to which language learners come well equipped. Students are accustomed to inferring meaning and making predictions from what they see and hear on the screen. In a multi-media format, these viewing/comprehension strategies can be cued and guided by, for example, posing pre-viewing questions on top of the still first frame of the sequence they are about to watch. Inference, predication, and calling up prior knowledge and experience can thus be activated. A mid-beginning ESL learner is working with a short video sequence depicting a chef and her boss in a restaurant kitchen. Before clicking on the play button, three questions appear on the still initial frame of the video: "Who do you think these people are?", "Where are they?", "What do you think they will talk about?" The student studies the questions on the screen for some time and reflects on his answers. He then clicks on a notepad icon and types in "They are maybe cooks. They talk in a kitchen. They talk about food." He then plays the sequence, reviews his initial thoughts, and modifies them according to what he has understood from

the actual scene. In addition to these micro skills for conversational listening, Richards also provides a taxonomy of micro skills for academic listening (listening to lectures in formal instructional contexts). While it may seem desirable to provide students control and tools to work with recorded academic lectures, there are problems: First, having a talking head on the screen is a very poor use of video. It fails to take advantage of the medium's visual power. Second, the immediate, social/interactive nature of a lecture setting cannot be replicated through a motile screen. It is for these reasons that academic listening, as defined by Richards, is not treated in this discussion of multimedia and listening. The challenges of conversational listening are more richly accommodated with this particular technology.

5. Results of the Study

Congruence

If including visuals is to advantage the language learner's listening skills development, certain conditions need apply. For example, tight correspondence between visual and aural elements in video is more likely to increase comprehensibility than would incongruence. Direct, tangible correspondence between what is uttered and what the utterance refers to is a fundamental characteristic of aural input that is readily comprehensible (Dulay et al., 2009). Environmental, kinesthetic, and non-verbal messages in the form of human gesture and movement can supply supporting cues for learners in decoding aural messages. Paralinguistic cues not only contribute to understanding the surface meaning of utterances, but also provide more subtle information such as cues to the speaker's intent (Garza, 2002; Kelly, 2002; Riley, 2009). It is important, therefore, that the visual and the aural cohere and be mutually supporting in representing meaning. It is a natural human reaction to work very hard at making sense of things that are oddly or unpredictably juxtaposed. However, when there is incongruence between the two channels, a language learner must expend energies on forcing an interpretation, rather than simply decoding the message. In the case of learning language where the focus is on the comprehension of an aural text, the visual can be an asset to learner comprehension under the condition that it be aligned with that text.

Familiarity

In addition to correspondence between visual and aural representation, the association of visual images with the meaning they represent is more likely when the visuals are salient to students' cultural experiences (Walker de Felix et al., 2010). In a study with Iranian students learning English, the television show *Sesame Street* was shown in the target language. Learners reported using scenes, characters, and themes that were already familiar to them to make sense of the program. Subjects recounted that elements of the program that were familiar to them directly assisted their comprehension (Pearson, 2007). Some consideration, therefore, should be given to cultural salience.

Integration

Integration of any technology into the larger context of learning requires correspondence of goals and content between the two realms (Meskill & Shea, 2006). If what gets learned and practiced using multimedia is closely aligned with and recognized by other learning activities that take place in other contexts, success is more likely. If there is recycling and follow-through on on-line content and experiences, success is also more likely. One example of valuing listening skills practice with media in other contexts is the use of supporting, off-line materials. Availability of such materials have been found to be critical to technology-mediated language instruction. In a 1983 study of televised language programming, for example, Lo (2008) found that significant improvement in foreign language skills development can only be achieved when there is extensive support materials (e.g., print and audio) that are closely keyed to what happens on a television screen.

Pair Interaction with Multimedia

In the era of communicative language teaching and learning, primary concern is given the development of a learner's ability to actively negotiate meaning in the target language. It is through processes involved in two-way communication that the rules and structures of the target language become incorporated into the learner's L2 system. The depth of instructional experiences, moreover, increases when involvement with another is part of the process (Pica & Doughty, 2007; Stevick, 2009). A disadvantage of learning technologies is that interaction is limited to machine prompts and reactions. The machine-based conversation consequently lacks the multiple and complex elements of human interaction that contribute to negotiated meaning and, ultimately, the development of communicative competence. The elements of face-to-face interaction that are missing in the machine-learner conversation can be added through the pairing of learners at the computer. This approach may add the dimension of actively negotiated conversation to work with multimedia. For example, by pairing learners the fact that individuals interpret images differently can be capitalized on and interpretive skills and processes exploited (Jiang & Meskill, 2000; MacWilliam, 2004). As regards the interpretative value inherent in a medium such as video, pairing students to co-view makes sense (Walker de Felix et al., 2010). Differing perspectives on what happens on the computer screen can provoke interchange between students that may carry some pedagogical value, for example, practice in face-to-face communication in the target language. Active co-viewing and conversation with multimedia playing the role of catalyst seems an attractive pedagogical approach. Not only can students develop listening skills by directly controlling the technology, but they can also benefit from negotiated discourse processes with their partners. Although the notion of computer prompted discourse between students holds intuitive appeal, the majority of studies that have examined interaction between paired language learners to date portray something quite different. Where pair and group work with native speakers seems to hold some promise, when the common language is a second or foreign one, conversational miscues and breakdowns are frequent (Abraham & Liou, 2004; Chang & Smith, 2008; Legenhausen & Wolff, 2006; Levy & Hinckfuss, 2000; Meskill, 2009). One reason for this may be that conversation at the computer, especially in conjunction with the demands of multi modal processing, places linguistic demands on participants that can derail sustained, involved interaction. Language learners do not necessarily possess the linguistic tools needed to keep a conversation going while attending to a technology that demands multi modal processing. Moreover, activities like watching video and computing are typically solitary activities that preclude sustained, involved interaction between individuals. The mindset for each of these media-based activities does not accommodate a human interlocutor. Pair work with multimedia therefore may not be particularly supportive of listening skills development due to the lack of sustained, involved interaction between paired learners, and due to the potential processing overload when a third party is introduced into the configuration (Meskill, 2009). Pairing students with multimedia materials in the hopes they will engage in rich exchanges, then, needs to be approached with some caution. Students need models for and guidance in this type of three-way conversation with combined media (Sasson, 2008). Arguments in support of multi modal processing suggest that multi-media can serve as a powerful tool for an individual's listening skills development. The forms that visual and aural material take and how these are keyed and supported within the instructional environment are, of course, critical. Individual interaction with aural, visual, and textual information can serve the learner's needs up to a point, but cannot provide opportunity for fully negotiated interaction. Pairing learners with the goal of their conversing, while carrying some intuitive appeal, does not insure that negotiated discourse and accompanying listening practice will result.

6. Conclusion

The case for multimedia as a technology that supports listening skills development in another language is strong. Arguments supportive of multi modal processing as a means of listening skills development emphasize: (a) the role of text and visuals as aids to language processing when appearing in conjunction with the aural text; (b) the motivational aspect of video as an advantage for language instruction; (c) the fact that combined media enrich target language processing, thereby rendering input more direct and salient for the language acquisition process; and (d) it is an environment conducive to promoting schematization and the strategy of discourse chunking on the part of the learner. Clearly, no technology can replicate the linguistic growth derived from human interaction (Ur, 2006). Multimedia technology can only simulate a very limited conversation: Meaning gets only partially negotiated partial negotiation being a one-way effort on the part of the individual user. The learner is consequently limited to the role of an over hearer (Rost, 2000) or eavesdropper, rather than that of a participant.

The full, two-way active negotiation of meaning considered essential to successful language learning is simply not possible via computer and the prospects for needed natural language parsing remain dim (Dreyfus & Dreyfus, 2006). Multimedia-based practice in listening skills development can, however, be viewed as rehearsal for face-to-face interaction with multi modal processing contributing to the larger L2 acquisition process.

As a medium for learning language, multimedia represents a myriad of instructional possibilities. As a tool for listening skills development, there is a logical match of system characteristics (combining text, audio and video) and the goal of listening skills development in a second or foreign language. Careful consideration on the part of teachers and software developers of the range of possibilities for combining input modalities and tools that empower student manipulation of them is essential.

REFERENCES

- Abraham, R., & Liou, H. (2004). Interaction generated by three computer programs. In P. Dunkel, (Ed.), *Computer-assisted language learning and testing: Research issues and practices*. New York: Newbury House.
- Baggett, P. (2004). Structurally equivalent stories in movie and text and the effect of the medium on recall. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 18, 357-354.
- Borras, I. (2000). Effects of subtitling on speaking performance of college students of French learning with multimedia courseware. Paper presented at the 1993 Computer Assisted Language Learning Consortium (CALICO), Williamsburg, VA.
- Breen, M., & Candlin, C. (2009). The essentials of a communicative curriculum in language teaching. *Applied Linguistics*, 1.
- Brinton, D., & Gaskill, W. (2009). Using news broadcasts in the ESL/EFL classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*, 12(4), 403-413.