



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

Journal homepage: <http://www.journalijar.com>

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ADVANCED RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

EFFECTS OF SELECTED WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS ON PHYTOPLANKTON ABUNDANCE AND DIVERSITY IN RIVER CHEPKOILEL, ELDORET, KENYA

Akunga G. Nyakweba¹ and Kembenya E. Migiro^{2*}

1. Kisii University, School of Pure and Applied Sciences, P.O Box 408, 40200 Kisii, Kenya

2. Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, Kegati Aquaculture Station, P.O Box 3259, 40200, Kisii, Kenya

Manuscript Info**Manuscript History:**

Received: 10 January 2014

Final Accepted: 27 February 2014

Published Online: March 2014

Key words:Physico-chemical parameters,
composition, diversity index, genera***Corresponding Author****Kembenya E. Migiro****Abstract**

The study on the effects of water quality parameters on phytoplankton abundance and diversity in River Chepkoilel, Eldoret (Kenya) was done between October 2011 and February 2012. Three stations were selected; sampled upstream, midstream and downstream. A total of 31 genera of phytoplankton were identified, the most abundant phytoplankton in the bridge was *Anabaena cinalis* (567 cells mg l^{-1}), *Aphanocapsa rivularis* (545 cells mg l^{-1}) and *Coelomonas vestitoz* (242 cells mg l^{-1}). The least abundant phytoplankton in the Bridge was *Schroederia setigera* (3 cells mg l^{-1}) and *Scenedesmus maximus* (6 cells mg l^{-1}). Results indicated that many of the phytoplankton in River Chepkoilel are sensitive to physico-chemical fluctuations of water. There was increased diversity at Bridge from the month of October 2011 ($H' = 2.400$) to the month of February 2012 ($H' = 2.528$). This was due to a fairly conducive environment for phytoplankton production. A two way ANOVA test showed that there was a significant difference in pH, DO and conductivity ($p < 0.05$) and temperature ($p < 0.05$) in all stations.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2014., All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

This research is based on a study of effects of water quality parameters on phytoplankton abundance and diversity in River Chepkoilel, Eldoret, Kenya. Phytoplankton productivity and species composition in a water body are mainly influenced by nutrient availability (mainly phosphorus, nitrogen and silica for diatoms), environmental factors such as temperature and light and the morphology of the water body (Kalff, 2002). The phytoplankton diversity, abundance and species composition can be environmental indicators because of their sensitivity to environmental changes (APHA, 1992). But the routine biological monitoring of aquatic ecosystem is based largely on macroinvertebrates or phytoplankton (Weber, 1973; Kelly et al., 1995). According to (Adirondack Ecologists, 2010) abundance, diversity and species composition of phytoplankton can have significant implications with regard to both the water clarity and quality of any given body of water. Data on the phytoplankton and the physico-chemical features of River Chepkoilel is lacking completely. This study was carried out in order to determine some physico-chemical parameters of water as well as the phytoplankton abundance and diversity in this river. Rivers are of immense importance geologically, biologically, historically and culturally. Though, rivers contain only about 0.0001% of the total amount of water in the world at any given time (Vyzmal, 2008).

Rivers are vital as carriers and distributors of water and nutrients to catchment areas all around the earth. They are critical components of the hydrological cycle, acting as drainage channels for surface water. The world's rivers drain nearly 75% of the earth's land surface. They provide habitat, nourishment and means of transport to countless organisms. Their powerful forces create majestic scenery; they provide travel routes for exploration, commerce and recreation. According to (Vyzmal, 2008), rivers can be divided into three primary zones, the crenon is the uppermost zone at the source of the river which is further divided into the eucron (spring or boil zone) and

the hypocrenon (brook or headstream zone). These areas are characterized by low temperatures, reduced oxygen content and slow moving water. The rhithron is the upstream portion of the river that follows the crenon; it is characterized by relatively cool temperatures, high oxygen levels, and fast, turbulent flow. The potamon is the remaining downstream stretch of a river and it is characterized by warmer temperatures, lower oxygen levels, slow flow and sand bottoms. In Kenya, streams, rivers and lakes all together form a pattern of drainage systems which is governed by the topography of the land. River Chepkoilel falls under the Lake Victoria South drainage system in the vicinity of Eldoret town. Other rivers around Eldoret town include River Sosian and River Chepkoilel. The river is mainly affected by anthropogenic activities within its catchment, chemical effluents from Equator Flower Farm, appearance of invasive species, sewage discharges and aquaculture discharges from University of Eldoret (Vyzmal, 2008). Deterioration of water quality in River Chepkoilel has not been fully characterized through regular monitoring and therefore this remains a major problem.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

This study was conducted at River Chepkoilel which flows within the Chepkoilel wetland. The river originates from Chepkoilel near Shamro Primary school and flows along various agricultural farms including the Equator flower farm (Vyzmal, 2008). The river is mainly affected by anthropogenic activities within its catchment, chemicals effluents from Equator Flower Farm, appearance of invasive species, sewage discharges and aquaculture discharges from University of Eldoret (Vymazal, 2008).

Selection of sampling stations

Three sampling sites were selected in the study. The first site was at the Marura Bridge three kilometers from the river source, the second site was located at Matemo where the river is seen to be receiving lots of inflow from the surrounding agricultural land and the third site was located thirty metres immediately after Chepkoilel treatment discharge.

Phytoplankton Sampling

Sampling was performed in triplicate at each station on each visit between October 2011 and January 2012. Oblique tows were made using a 25 μ plankton net of 12 cm in diameter. Samples for phytoplankton were preserved using 1 ml Lugol's solution in 35 ml vials. The samples were allowed to settle for 48 hours and concentrated to approximately 35 ml by decanting. In the laboratory phytoplankton was identified using the key contained in the freshwater biology (Needham, 1962) and counted in a Sedgwick Rafter cell (Lund et al., 1958) using an inverted microscope at X400. Counting of the phytoplankton was done in the Sedgwick Rafter cell (50 mm long by 20 mm wide by 1 mm deep with a surface area of 1000mm²).

Water Quality Sampling

Water temperature, pH, conductivity were taken in situ by the use of mercury thermometer, pH meter and the conductivity meter respectively. DO was determined by the Winkler method in the laboratory.

Phytoplankton abundance

Phytoplankton abundance was given in cells ml⁻¹ and was calculated as:

$$\text{Phytoplankton density ml}^{-1} (D) = [(A) (l \cdot w \cdot d)] \dots\dots\dots (\text{Eq.1})$$

where,

- D = phytoplankton density for subsample of ml⁻¹ in number;
- A = average number of phytoplankton counted in one Sedgwick-Rafter cell;
- l = length in mm of the Sedgwick Rafter counting cell (50);
- w = width of the Sedgwick Rafter counting cell (20 mm);
- d = depth of the Sedgwick Rafter counting cell (1 mm).

The counted number of phytoplankton cells in each 1 ml sub-sample was converted to the original 35 ml sample by the following relationship:

$$\text{Phytoplankton density in 35 ml (T)} = D * V_1 \dots\dots\dots (\text{Eq. 1})$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \text{phytoplankton density for sub-sample in numbers per unit volume (ml}^{-1}\text{)} \\ V_1 &= \text{volume of the original sample (35ml).} \end{aligned}$$

The 35 ml volume was converted to the total volume filtered during the oblique tows by the following relationship:

$$\text{Final phytoplankton density} = T \times (1000/V_2) \dots\dots\dots (\text{Eq. 2})$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \text{phytoplankton density for 35 ml sample} \\ V_2 &= \text{original volume filtered during the net tows} \end{aligned}$$

Sample volume was calculated as follows:

$$(V_2) = \pi r^2 * d \dots\dots\dots (\text{Eq. 3})$$

Where,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \text{pi, with a value of 3.142;} \\ r &= \text{the radius of the Plankton net mouth (6 cm)} \\ d &= \text{distances moved by the net during towing (100 cm)} \end{aligned}$$

Phytoplankton diversity

Phytoplankton diversity was calculated by Shannon-weaver diversity index, Simpson's diversity index.

i. Shannon-weaver index

Shannon index of diversity was got by

$$H' = -\sum P_i \ln(P_i) \dots\dots\dots (\text{Eq. 4})$$

where,

H' = the Shannon-Weaver Diversity Index

P_i = the relative abundance of each group of organisms

ii. Simpson's diversity index was got by

$$D = \sum (n/N)^2 \dots\dots\dots (\text{Eq. 5})$$

n = the total number of organisms of a particular species

N = the total number of organisms of all species

Statistical analysis

Shannon (H') and Simpson Diversity (D) were used to determine the phytoplankton diversity between October 2011 and February 2012 (Shannon and Weaver, 1949; Simpson, 1949). Two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to test for equality of variance in physico-chemical parameters using Minitab Release 14.

RESULTS

Phytoplankton Composition and Abundance

A total of 31 genera of phytoplankton were identified from three sampling stations. Among the identified phytoplankton, 13 genera were of class Chlorophyceae, 9 genera were from class of Cyanophyceae, 6 genera from class of Bacillariophyceae, 2 genera of class Euglenophyceae and 1 genus of class Dinophyceae (Table 1).

Table 1: Phytoplankton identified from the three sampling stations

Class	Species	Cell abundance (mg^l⁻¹)
Chlorophyceae	Ankistrodesmus falcatus	19
	Boryococcus braunii	19
	Closterium navicula	19
	Coelastrum microphorum	3
	Coelomoron spp.	629
	Cosmarium paradoxum	19
	Crucigenia quadrata	19
	Crucigenia triangulare	19
	Monoraphidium spp.	25
	Oocystis nageli	3
	Oocystis parva	19
	Scenedemus curvatus	19
	Scenedemus longus	3
	Scenedemus maximus	6
	Scenedesmus quadricauda	37
	Schroïdera setigera	3
	Surillella elegans	3
	Tetraedron-arthromisforme	3
	Traedron triangulare	3
Cyanophyceae	Anabaena cirnalis	567
	Anabaena flos-aquae	833
	Aphanocapsa rivularis	545
	Aphanothece spp.	254
	Chroococcus dispersus	34
	Chroococcus limneticus	6
	Chroococcus turgidus	12
	Coelomoron vestitoz	242
	Microcystis wasenbergii	3
	Microcystis aeruginosa	15930
	Microcystis flos aquae	5867
	Microcystis viridis	4397
	Planktolyngbya limnetica	12
	Pseudo anabaena tanganyikae	3
	Ramaria ankensis	43
Bacillariophyceae	Aulacoseira ambigua	25
	Aulacoseira nyansensis	102
	Cymbella cistula	53
	Diatoma hiemale	25
	Navicula granatum	19
	Navicula spp.	59
	Nitzschia lucastris	3
	Nitzschia palea	22
	Nitzschia sub acicularis	19

	<i>Synedra cunningtonii</i>	59
Euglenophyceae	<i>Euglena acus</i>	46
	<i>Euglena viridis</i>	3
	<i>Trachelemonous armata</i>	25
Dinophyceae	<i>Glenodium permadii</i>	19

The most abundant species in the river include *Microcystis aeruginosa* with 15930 cells mg l^{-1} , *Coelomonon* spp. 629 cells mg l^{-1} , *Aphanothece* spp with 254 cells mg l^{-1} , *Microcystis flos-aqua* with 5867 cells mg l^{-1} , *Microcystis viridis* with 4397 cells mg l^{-1} , *Aphanocapsa rivularis* with 545 cells mg l^{-1} , *Anabaena cinalis* with 567 cells mg l^{-1} and *Coelomonon vestitoz* with 242 cells mg l^{-1} . The least abundant phytoplankton were *Euglena viridis* 3 cell mg l^{-1} , *Nitzschia lucastris* 3 cell mg l^{-1} , *Microcystis wasenbergii* 3 cell mg l^{-1} , *Pseudo anabaena tanganyikae* 3 cell mg l^{-1} , *Coelastrum microphorum* 3 cell mg l^{-1} , *Tetrahedron arthromisforme* 3 cell mg l^{-1} and *Traedron triangulare* 3 cell mg l^{-1} of total abundance (Table. 1).

The Cyanophyceae were the most abundant class with 94.67% followed by Chlorophyceae with 3.05% and Dinophyceae recorded the least abundance with 0.06% (Fig. 5) and there were also significant variations between stations (Table 2).




Figure 1: Overall class abundance of phytoplankton from the sampling stations in percentage

Table 2: Class abundance of phytoplankton means and SE in percentage and two way ANOVA P-values between the month of October 2011 and February 2012 per station

Class	Bridge	Matemo	Sewage	Status	p.value
Chlorophyceae	10.05±3.81	4.49±3.44	3.26±2.10	Decreasing	<0.05
Cyanophyceae	63.37±5.18	93.52±4.15	95.16±2.66	Increasing	<0.05
Bacillariophyceae	20.85±2.34	0.84±0.39	0.65±0.18	Decreasing	<0.05
Euglenophyceae	4.83±0.70	1.13±0.83	0.77±0.45	Decreasing	<0.05
Dinophyceae	0.90±0.14	0.02±0.05	0.16±0.29	Not stable	<0.05

Both Bacillariophyceae and Chlorophyceae decreased downstream whereas the cyanophyceae increased downstream.

Phytoplankton Diversity

Matemo had a lower diversity index value as compared to that of the sewage. All the diversity index values indicated a considerable decrease in diversity downstream from the month of November to February. The highest Shannon diversity index (Table 3) was recorded at the Bridge in the month of November (2.573) and the lowest Shannon index was recorded in Matemo in the month of October (1.1700). The highest Simpson's diversity index was recorded at the Bridge in the month of November (0.8981) and the lowest value was recorded at the sewage in the month of December (0.4445).

Table 3: Diversity indices from the month of October, 2011 to February, 2012 per station.

Index	Months	Bridge	Matemo	Sewage
Shannon H'	October	2.400	1.1700	1.3841
	November	2.573	1.7831	1.3463
	December	2.488	1.5044	1.2985
	January	2.563	1.6832	1.3044
	February	2.528	1.5683	1.3592
Simpson's	October	0.8377	0.5539	0.6558
	November	0.8981	0.8442	0.6379
	December	0.8684	0.7122	0.4445
	January	0.8946	0.7969	0.6180
	February	0.8824	0.7425	0.6440

Physico-chemical Parameters

The Sewage recorded the highest conductivity of $104.8 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$, with Bridge recording the least conductivity of $39 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$. The Bridge also recorded the highest amount of Dissolved oxygen of 5.9 mg l^{-1} and the Sewage recorded the least amount of Dissolved Oxygen of 2.4 mg l^{-1} (Table 4).

Table 4: Means and SD for physico-chemical parameters between the month of October 2011 and February 2012

Parameter	Bridge	Matemo	Sewage
Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	22.0 ± 1.44	20.0 ± 1.07	18.6 ± 0.48
pH	7.0 ± 0.25	6.2 ± 0.13	5.4 ± 0.08
Dissolved Oxygen (mg l^{-1})	5.9 ± 0.22	4.7 ± 0.21	2.4 ± 0.17
Conductivity ($\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$)	39 ± 2.16	54.8 ± 1.96	104.8 ± 2.50

All the water quality parameters indicated a significant difference between stations (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$). The highest temperature was recorded in Bridge 22.0°C and the lowest temperature was recorded in Sewage (18.6°C). The Sewage recorded the highest conductivity of $104.8 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ as compared to the other sampling stations (Table 4).

Table 5: Physico-chemical parameters per sampling stations and respective sampling dates

Parameter	Dates	Bridge	Matemo	Sewage	Status
DO	October	5.6 mg l^{-1}	4.5 mg l^{-1}	2.4 mg l^{-1}	Decreasing
	November	5.9 mg l^{-1}	4.7 mg l^{-1}	2.2 mg l^{-1}	Decreasing
Temperature	December	6.1 mg l^{-1}	4.5 mg l^{-1}	2.6 mg l^{-1}	Decreasing
	January	6.0 mg l^{-1}	5.0 mg l^{-1}	2.3 mg l^{-1}	Decreasing
	February	6.1 mg l^{-1}	4.7 mg l^{-1}	2.7 mg l^{-1}	Decreasing
	October	20.5°C	18.9°C	18.0°C	Decreasing
	November	23.6°C	19.5°C	18.0°C	Decreasing
	December	21.2°C	21.4°C	18.5°C	Decreasing
	January	22.9°C	20.0°C	19.0°C	Decreasing
	February	20.9°C	21.6°C	19.1°C	Decreasing
Conductivity	October	$41.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	$56.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	$99.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	Increasing
	November	$36.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	$55.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	$104.7 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	Increasing
	December	$40.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	$62.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	$102.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	Increasing
	January	$41.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	$56.3 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	$108.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	Increasing
	February	$39.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	$52.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	$105.0 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	Increasing
pH	October	6.9	6.1	5.4	Decreasing
	November	7.0	6.3	5.5	Decreasing
	December	7.3	6.0	5.5	Decreasing
	January	6.7	6.2	5.3	Decreasing
	February	7.1	6.5	5.4	Decreasing

A part from the conductivity results which indicated considerable increased trend downstream from the month of October to February, DO, pH and Temperature all showed a considerable decrease downstream (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The identified phytoplankton from the study area can be grouped into Bacillariophyceae, Chlorophyceae, Cyanophyceae, Euglenophyceae and Dinophyceae. The present study revealed that Cyanophyceae was the most abundant group recorded with 94.67% of the total phytoplankton densities and constituted the largest group of phytoplankton in River Chepkoilel. This is an indication of a river which is frequently disturbed by various anthropological activities like agricultural run-off from farms. This was followed by Chlorophyceae with 3.05%, Bacillariophyceae 2.03%, Euglenophyceae 0.25% and Dinophyceae with 0.06% of total abundance respectively.

The higher abundance of the Cyanophyceae could be attributed to conducive environment and tolerance to frequent fluctuation of physicochemical parameters along the river and their higher adaptability to frequent disturbances along the river downstream. The least abundant species along the river include *Coelastrum microphorum* with 3 cells mgL^{-1} , *Shroidera setigera* 3 cells mgL^{-1} , *Pseudoanabaena tanganyikae* with 3 cells mgL^{-1} , *Planktolynghya limnetica* with 3 cells mgL^{-1} , *Nitzschia lucastris* with 3 cells mgL^{-1} and *Euglena viridis* with 3 cells mgL^{-1} . The least abundance could be attributed to tremendous fluctuation of water quality, low silica in the river, and lack of basal rocks for their attachment.

From the observed diversity indices value from the month of October to February, Bridge showed highest value of ($H' = 2.573$) compared to Matemo ($H' = 1.7831$) and Sewage ($H' = 1.3841$). Recent studies which are also well corresponded with this findings include (Shah et al., 2008) in Bangladesh and (Farahani et al., 2006) in River Jajerood in Iran. The conducive environment in the Bridge could probably have led to the observed highest diversity index (H') of phytoplankton in this station.

The most encountered species of phytoplankton in the three sampling stations was *Aulacoseira ambigua*, *Navicula* spp, *Botryococcus braunii* and *Aphanocapsa rivularis*. Indicating there adaptability in all the conditions signifying that the river can support different forms of aquatic life though frequently disturbed. The second encountered species in the sampling stations Bridge, Matemo and Sewage were *Chroococcus disperses* and *Chroococcus limnetica* and the least encountered species in the three stations was *Glenodium pernatidii*.

Different diversity indices values from the present study clearly demonstrated that the Bridge had higher diversity of phytoplankton compared to the other stations. Abundance was also higher than the other sampling stations. The increased diversity at the Bridge may be attributed to the water runoff that probably brought the phytoplankton from other freshwater sources. Similar finding was observed in studies of (Bailey and James, 2000) and (Nielsen et al., 2003). The Bridge recorded higher values of Shannon diversity throughout the research period with a highest diversity index of (2.573) and lowest diversity index value of (2.400). This could be attributed to optimal physical chemical levels for survival of a most species of phytoplankton. On the other hand the sewage recorded the lowest Shannon diversity indices from the month of November to February with the highest Shannon of (1.3841) and lowest value of (1.2985). This could be attributed to unfavorable conditions for production of phytoplankton. For example, the sewage had the lowest dissolved oxygen 2.4 mgL^{-1} which could be an inhibitor to photosynthesis process of phytoplankton. A test by two way ANOVA showed that there was a significant difference of physico-chemical parameters among the stations. This could be attributed to effluents from the sewage which could probably be contributing to more ions to the river. This interferes with phytoplankton production hence lowering phytoplankton diversity and abundance. The water quality deterioration down the river could be as a result of low phytoplankton diversity and abundance downstream.

CONCLUSION

Present study revealed that the phytoplankton in River Chepkoilel during the sampling period was not quiet diverse. It also showed that there was spatial and temporal variation in abundance and diversity of phytoplankton. Physico-chemical parameters were significantly different among the sampling stations.

REFERENCES

- Adirondack Ecologists, L.L.C., (2010). Schroon Lake Phytoplankton Monitoring Project. Schroon Lake Association, New York, USA.
- APHA. (1992). Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater. 18th Edition. American Public Health Association. Washington DC.
- Bailey, P.C.E. and K. James, (2000). Riverine and wetland salinity impacts: Assessment of R and D Needs. LWRDC Occasional Paper No. 25/99, Land and Water Research and Development Corporation. Canberra, Australia.

- Farahani, F., H. Korehi, S. Mollakarami, S. Skandari, S.G.G. Zaferani and Z.M.C. Shashm, (2006). Phytoplankton diversity and nutrients at the Jajerood River in Iran. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 9: 1787-1790.
- Kalff, J. (2002). *Limnology*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Kelly, E.A., A. MacDonald, T. Tally and N.J. Merrit. (1995). Effects of Large Organic Debris on Channel Morphology and Sediment Storage in Selected Tributaries of Redwood Creek, Northwestern, California. U.S. Geologic Survey Professional Paper 1454-P, Menlo Park, CA. 29 p.
- Lund, J.W.G., Kipling, C. and Le Cren, E.D. (1958). The inverted microscope method of estimating algal numbers and the statistical basis of estimations by counting', *Hydrobiologia*, 11, 143–170
- Needham R.P. (1962). *A guide to the study of Fresh-water biology* 5th edition Berkeley, California
- Nielsen, D.L., M.A. Brock, G.N. Rees and D.S. Baldwin, (2003). Effects of increasing salinity on freshwater ecosystems in Australia. *Aust. J. Bot.*, 51: 655-665.
- Shah, M.M.R., M.Y. Hossain, M. Begum, Z.F. Ahmed and J. Ohtomi *et al.*, (2008). Seasonal variations of phytoplanktonic community structure and production in relation to environmental factors of the Southwest Coastal waters of Bangladesh. *J. Fish. Aquatic Science*.
- Shannon, C.E. and W. Weaver, (1949). *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana.
- Simpson, E.H., (1949). Measurement of diversity. *Nature*, 163: 688-688.
- Talling J.F. (1957). The Longitudinal succession of water characteristics in The White Nile. *Hydrobiologia*. 1 1.73-89.
- Vyzmal J., (2008) *A Survey into the management and use of wetland in Kenya*
- Weber, I.C. and Collins, B.G. (1973). Phycoperiphyton (Algae) as indicators of water quality.