

 <p>ISSN NO. 2320-5407</p>	<p>Journal Homepage: - www.journalijar.com</p> <h2>INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)</h2> <p>Article DOI:10.21474/IJAR01/5010 DOI URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/5010</p>	
---	---	---

RESEARCH ARTICLE

BREAST FEEDING PRACTICES AMONGST JANANI SURAKSHA YOJANA BENEFICIARIES, A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY IN CENTRAL UTTAR PRADESH, INDIA.

Dr. Saurabh Kashyap, Dr. Reema Kumari, Dr. Sheetal Verma and Dr. Anas Siddiqui.

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 29 May 2017
Final Accepted: 31 June 2017
Published: July 2017

Abstract

To evaluate the effect of implant platform/abutment design/ crown material combinations on the stress distribution around implant-supported dental restorations. A literature search was made in three databases including PubMed, Cochrane and Web of Science. Inclusion criteria were in vitro studies, switched implant platform versus regular implant platform, titanium implants, internal hex connection and stress values of bone. Two review authors independently screened the articles for inclusion. This was followed by hand searching in the reference lists of all eligible studies for additional studies. Results: the search resulted in 16 eligible studies concerning the effect of platform switching on peri-implant bone stress, however no papers were found studying the effect of different implant platform/ abutment design /crown material complexes on bone stress. From the included studies, platform switching concept can replace conventional platform designs to improve implant survival rate, provided it should be used within its indications.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2017,. All rights reserved.

Introduction:-

Exclusive breast feeding (EBF) plays a very vital role in maintaining the appropriate health and development of infants, as it helps in decreasing the risk for Otitis Media, Respiratory tract infection, Diarrhea, Early Childhood Obesity and many other metabolic diseases^[1]. As per the data it has been showed that EBF reduces infant mortality rates by up to 13% in low-income countries^[2]. It has been proved that the nutritional deficiencies during first two years of child's life is crucial for its cognitive as well as educational development and it also leads to reduced economic productivity^[3, 4, 5]. World Health Organisation (WHO) also recommends exclusive breast feeding for initial six months and complementary feeding from six months onwards for the overall growth and development of infant^[6]. It has been seen that suboptimal exclusive Breast Feeding practices during six years increases the morbidity and mortality of infants^[7, 8, 9]. Exclusive Breast feeding means that no other liquid apart from breast milk should be given with an exception of Vitamin A, Minerals and medicines^[10]. It has been seen that almost 13% of infant deaths are reduced due to exclusive breast feeding in low income countries^[2].

Prelacteal feeding giving food or liquid to a child before initiation of breast feeding has showed a delay on exclusive breast feeding at least for initial six months^[11] and it also interferes with exclusive breast feeding^[12, 13, 14]. There is a vicious cycle between exclusive breast feeding and prelacteal feeding^[15]. The Fourth National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4) of India reported that overall 41.6% of children aged under three years were breastfed within one hour of birth, 54.9% of the children aged zero to five months were exclusively breastfed, and 42.7% of the children aged six to nine months received solid or semi-solid food and breast milk^[16]. In Indian setup the practice of exclusive breast

feeding is being followed but the initiation of breast feeding is still sub optimal as the Colostrum is generally being discarded due to superstitions, and various social and cultural beliefs^[17]. Hence, so as to ensure proper growth and development of child, there is an urgent need for Continuous vigilance over feeding practices and by doing so, necessary policies can be devised for the betterment of Infant health. Therefore, the current study has been conducted to assess the determinants of breastfeeding practices amongst JananiSurakshaYojana Beneficiaries in Central Uttar Pradesh.

Materials and methods:-

Study design:-

The present study is a cross sectional study conducted amongst the women who were admitted at health Centers in three blocks of rural area of Lucknow district.

Sample size:-

Out of nine blocks in Lucknow district, three Blocks were selected by simple random sampling method. One primary health center (PHC) and two community health centers (CHC), which conduct deliveries on routine basis. A sample size of 266 was estimated by taking the prevalence of contraceptive method usage as 37%. The design effect of 1.5 was taken due to multistage sampling procedure. The starting point was chosen randomly. Thus a total of 400 women who delivered in the labor wards of the health centers were interviewed and enrolled. Ethical issues Permission from ethical committee of the institute was taken, and all beneficiaries were explained about the purpose of study. Written informed consent was obtained from these women after explaining in detail about the study.

Data collection:-

A pre tested semi-structured questionnaire was prepared to know the determinants of family planning practices. Pilot testing was done over 40 beneficiaries. Modified UdaiPareek Scale was used to know socio economic status of the mothers.

Data analysis:-

SPSS 20 version was used to analyze the data and results were presented in the form of frequency and percentages.

Results:-

The Initiation of breastfeeding within one hour was significantly associated with the type of family ($p=0.04$), education of beneficiaries (0.009) and education of husband (0.002). The practice of Colostrum given was significantly associated only with education ($p=0.04$) of husband. The practice of pre-lacteal feed was significantly ($p=0.008$) associated with the caste of the beneficiaries.

Discussion:-

In the current study it has been found that the Initiation of breastfeeding within one hour was significantly associated with the type of family ($p=0.04$), education of beneficiaries (0.009) and education of husband (0.002). **Tatiana O V et al** in Brazil showed a significantly lower rate of breastfeeding in the first hour after delivery among mothers older than 20 years^[18]. **Agho et al** of Nigeria also showed that maternal education was directly related to breast feeding practices^[19]. In the multivariate analysis, the factors significantly associated with cessation of breastfeeding during infancy were the women highest level of education^[20]. **Acharya P et al, Verma A et al and Ku CM et al** have found that mother's with low educational status initiated early breast feeding thereby suggesting the need of education promotion to change feeding practices^[21, 22, 23]. While education has positive impact over exclusive breast feeding, a study conducted by **G Hazel et al** showed that increasing education leads to decreasing trends in exclusive breast feeding as the educated women are mostly working^[20]. **Hameed N et al, Kayyali M et al, Scott, J. A et al, Hawkins, S. S. et al** also showed that education is one of the hindering factor of exclusive breast feeding^[24, 25, 26, 27]. **Kohan S et al** in her study conducted in Iran found that educated husbands promoted the mothers for exclusive breast feeding^[28]. **Abolghasemi N et al** in her study concluded that husbands education was found as significant factor in encouraging their wives for exclusive breast-feeding^[29].

In the current study it was concluded that prelacteal feeding was significantly related with caste of the family. Global studies in Tabora, Tanzania, Malawi, Zambia, Nigeria and India revealed that the common reasons for early initiation of complementary feeding were inadequate breast milk, and mothers' perception that the child was thirsty^[30, 31, 32, 33, 34]. Despite being educated, the magnitude of prelacteal feeding is more in Vietnam^[35] Nepal^[36] and

Uganda^[37], **Legesse M et al** conducted a study in 623 women and found that 33% were giving pre-lacteal feeds to their infants, during initial 6 months of birth^[38]. **Salve D et al** in their study concluded that 42.7% practiced prelacteal feed^[39]. **Patel A et al** found that delayed initiation is statistically significant to prelacteal feeding ($P < .001$)^[40]. A study in Chandigarh conducted by **Parashar A et al** showed that 48.9% of women were giving pre-lacteal feed^[41]. Prelacteal feed was also the feeding trend in 80% Kashmiri women as per the study conducted by **Raina SK et al.**^[42]. **Madhu et al.** conducted a study in Karnataka and reported a lower prevalence of prelacteal feeding than the current study (19%)^[43].

Conclusion:-

Despite so much of awareness regarding exclusive breast feeding the gap is still there. The practice of mothers giving prelacteal feeds to their babies could be reduced by providing knowledge regarding exclusive breast feeding. EBF promotion programmes should target all mothers, but with special focus on poor and illiterate families. Husbands should also be told regarding beneficial effects of exclusive breast feeding. Finally, intervention studies, including peer counselling are needed to improve EBF among mothers.

Table 1:- Relation between biosocial profile of the beneficiaries and initiation of breast feeding within one hour.

Biosocial profile	No. Of beneficiaries	Initiation of breastfeeding within one hour				p-value
		YES		NO		
Age (yrs)		No.	%	No.	%	
18-24	75	22	29.3	53	70.7	0.49
25-30	142	35	24.6	107	75.4	
31-35	107	36	33.6	71	66.4	
36-40	76	22	28.9	54	71.1	
Religion						
Hindu	313	94	30.0	219	70.0	0.28
Muslim	87	21	24.1	66	75.9	
Caste						
General	79	20	25.3	59	74.7	0.25
OBC	152	39	25.7	113	74.3	
SC/ST	169	56	33.1	113	66.9	
Type of family						
Nuclear	265	85	32.1	180	67.9	0.04*
Joint	135	30	22.2	105	77.8	
Education of beneficiaries						
Illiterate	113	43	38.1	70	61.9	0.009*
Just literate	104	34	32.7	70	67.3	
Primary school	51	9	17.6	42	82.4	
Middle & above	132	29	22.0	103	78.0	
Occupation of beneficiaries						
Unemployed	132	31	23.5	101	76.5	0.20
Farm worker	86	25	29.1	61	70.9	
Unskilled worker	99	36	36.4	63	63.6	
Others	83	23	27.7	60	72.3	
Education of Husband						
Illiterate	108	44	40.7	64	59.3	0.002*
Just literate	99	32	32.3	67	67.7	
Primary school	46	8	17.4	38	82.6	
Middle & above	147	31	21.1	116	78.9	
Occupation of husband						
Unemployed	122	30	24.6	92	75.4	0.46
Farm worker	93	29	31.2	64	68.8	
Unskilled worker	102	34	33.3	68	66.7	
Others	83	22	26.5	61	73.5	

<i>Socio economic status</i>						
III	85	19	22.4	66	77.6	0.27
IV	90	25	27.8	65	72.2	
V	225	71	31.6	154	68.4	

Table 2:-Relation between biosocial profile of the beneficiaries and colostrum given .

Biosocial profile	No. Of beneficiaries	Colostrum given				p-value
		YES		NO		
Age (yrs)		No.	%	No.	%	
18-24	75	65	86.7	10	13.3	0.22
25-30	142	123	86.7	19	13.4	
31-35	107	101	94.4	6	5.6	
36-40	76	67	88.2	9	11.8	
Religion						
Hindu	313	275	87.9	38	12.1	0.17
Muslim	87	81	93.1	6	6.9	
Caste						
General	79	75	94.9	4	5.1	0.13
OBC	152	135	88.8	17	11.2	
SC/ST	169	146	86.4	23	13.6	
Type of family						
Nuclear	265	234	88.3	31	11.7	0.53
Joint	135	122	90.4	13	9.6	
Education of beneficiaries						
Illiterate	113	104	92.0	9	8.0	0.14
Just literate	104	87	83.7	17	16.3	
Primary school	51	44	86.3	7	13.7	
Middle & above	132	121	91.7	11	8.3	
Occupation of beneficiaries						
Unemployed	132	114	86.4	18	13.6	0.36
Farm worker	86	77	89.5	9	10.5	
Unskilled worker	99	87	87.9	12	12.1	
Others	83	78	94.0	5	6.0	
Education of Husband						
Illiterate	108	101	93.5	7	6.5	0.04*
Just literate	99	81	81.4	18	18.2	
Primary school	46	40	87.0	6	13.0	
Middle & above	147	134	91.2	13	8.8	
Occupation of husband						
Unemployed	122	107	87.7	15	12.3	0.84
Farm worker	93	85	91.4	8	8.6	
Unskilled worker	102	91	89.2	11	10.8	
Others	83	73	88.0	10	12.0	
Socio economic status						
III	85	74	87.1	11	12.9	0.79
IV	90	80	88.9	10	11.1	
V	225	202	89.8	23	10.2	

Table 3:- Association between biosocial profile of the respondents and pre-lacteal feeding.

Biosocial profile	No. Of beneficiaries	Pre-lacteal feed given				p-value
		YES		NO		
		No.	%	No.	%	
Age (yrs)						
18-24	72	57	79.2	15	20.8	0.11
25-30	132	87	65.9	45	34.1	
31-35	101	63	62.4	38	37.6	
36-40	72	51	70.8	21	29.2	
Religion						
Hindu	296	208	70.3	88	29.7	0.14
Muslim	81	50	61.7	31	38.3	
Caste						
General	74	45	60.8	29	39.2	0.008*
OBC	142	89	62.7	53	37.3	
SC/ST	161	124	77.0	37	23.0	
Type of family						
Nuclear	253	169	66.8	84	33.2	0.33
Joint	124	89	71.8	35	28.2	
Education of beneficiaries						
Illiterate	106	70	66.0	36	34.0	0.62
Just literate	96	69	71.9	27	28.1	
Primary school	49	36	73.5	13	26.5	
Middle & above	126	83	65.9	43	34.1	
Occupation of beneficiaries						
Unemployed	124	89	71.8	35	28.2	0.61
Farm worker	81	53	65.4	28	34.6	
Unskilled worker	91	64	70.3	27	29.7	
Others	81	52	64.2	29	35.8	
Education of Husband						
Illiterate	102	66	64.7	36	35.3	0.29
Just literate	91	65	71.4	26	28.6	
Primary school	43	34	79.1	9	20.9	
Middle & above	141	93	66.0	48	34.0	
Occupation of husband						
Unemployed	114	83	72.8	31	27.2	0.52
Farm worker	87	55	63.2	32	36.8	
Unskilled worker	95	66	69.5	29	30.5	
Others	81	54	66.7	27	33.3	
Socio economic status						
III	77	55	71.4	22	28.6	0.68
IV	86	56	65.1	30	34.9	
V	214	147	68.7	67	31.3	

References:-

1. Ip S, Chung M, Raman G, Chew P, Magula N, DeVine D, Trikalinos T, Lau J: Breastfeeding and maternal and infant health outcomes in developed countries. Rockville, MD; US Department of Health and Human Services; 2007 [<http://www.ahrq.gov/downloads/pub/evidence/pdf/brfout/brfout.pdf>].
2. Jones G, Steketee R, Black R, Bhutta Z, Morris S. the Bellagio Child Survival Study Group. How many child deaths can we prevent this year? *Lancet*. 2003;362(19):65–71. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13811-1.
3. Victora CG, Adair L, Fall C, Hallal PC, Martorell R, Richter L, Sachdev HS, Maternal and Child Undernutrition Study G: Maternal and child undernutrition: consequences for adult health and human capital. *Lancet* 2008, 371:340-357.
4. Grantham-McGregor S, Cheung YB, Cueto S, Glewwe P, Richter L, Strupp B: Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *Lancet* 2007, 369(9555):60-70.
5. Oddy WH, Kendall GE, Blair E, De Klerk NH, Stanley FJ, Landau LI, Silburn S, Zubrick S: Breast feeding and cognitive development in childhood: a prospective birth cohort study. *PaediatrPerinatEpidemiol* 2003, 17(1):81-90
6. Kramer MS, Kakuma R; The optimal duration of breast feeding- a systematic Review, Geneva, World Health Organisation ,2002
7. Lakati AS, Makokha OA, Binns CW, Kombe Y. The effect of prelacteal feeding on full breast feeding in Nairobi, Kenya. *East Afr J Public Health*. 2010;7(3):258-62.
8. Ogbo FA, Agho KE, Page A. Determinants of suboptimal breastfeeding practices in Nigeria : evidence from the 2008 demographic and health survey. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;15:259
9. Setegn T, Belachew T, Gerbaba M, Deribe K, Deribew A, Biadgilign S. factors associated with exclusive breast feeding practices. Geneva: World Health Organisation;2008.
10. Federal ministry of Health, National strategy of infant and young child feeding (IYCF), Federal ministry of health, Family health department,2004
11. World Health Organization, e-library of evidence for nutrition actions (eLENA). 2015;http://www.who.int/Elena/titles/exclusive_breastfeeding/en/.
12. Hossain MM, Reves RR, Radwan MM, Habib M, DuPont HL. The timing of breastfeeding initiation and its correlates in a cohort of rural Egyptian infants. *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics*. 1995;41(6):354–359.
13. WHO. Hypoglycemia of the Newborn. Review of the Literature. WHO/CHD/97.1, WHO/MSM/97.1. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 1997.
14. Jagzape T, Lohkare A, Vagha J, Lakhkar BB. Prevalence of prelacteal feeding practice in Wardha and the effect of antenatal education on it. *Pediatric Oncall* 6. Art#56, 2009.
15. Athavale AV, Athavale SA, Deshpande SG, Zodpey SP, Sangole S. Initiation of breast-feeding by urban women. *Health and Population: Perspectives and Issues*. 2004;27(2):117–125.
16. http://rchiips.org/NFHS/pdf/NFHS4/UP_FactSheet.pdf visited on 20th may 2017
17. IFPRI. Global Nutrition Report:Kenya is a Breastfeeding Success Story but Still has its Challenges. Washington, D.C: IFPRI; 2014.
18. Tatiana O V, Graciete O V, Elsa Regina J G, Carlos MC M, Camilla C M and Luciana R S Determinants of breastfeeding initiation within the first hour of life in a Brazilian population: cross-sectional study,*BMC Public Health*201010:760
19. Kingsley E Agho, Michael J Dibley, Justice I Odiase and Sunday M Ogbonmwan Determinants of exclusive breastfeeding in Nigeria *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*201111:2
20. Gardner H, Green K and Gardner A Infant Feeding Practices of Emirati Women in the Rapidly Developing City of Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates; *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2015, 12, 10923-10940.
21. Acharya P, Khanal V. The effect of mother’s educational status on early initiation of breastfeeding: further analysis of three consecutive Nepal Demographic and Health Surveys. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;15:1069. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-2405-y.
22. Verma A, Dixit P (2016) Knowledge and Practices of Exclusive Breastfeeding among Women in Rural Uttar Pradesh. *J Neonatal Biol* 5: 228. doi: 10.4172/2167-0897.1000228
23. C. Ku and S. K. Y. Chow, “Factors influencing the practice of exclusive breastfeeding among Hong Kong Chinese women: a questionnaire survey,” *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, vol. 19, no. 17-18, pp. 2434–2445, 2010.
24. Hameed, N.; HAl, T.; AAl, S.; Narchi, H. Maternal factors hindering successful breastfeeding in Al Ain city, United Arab Emirates. *J. Women’s Health Care* 2014, 4, doi:10.4172/2167-0420.1000220
25. Kayyali, M.; Al-Tawil, K. Breast feeding practices in Qatar. *J. Obstetr. Gynecol.* 1989, 10, S19–S20.

26. Scott, J.A.; Binns, C.W.; Oddy, W.H.; Graham, K.I. Predictors of breastfeeding duration: Evidence from a cohort study. *Pediatrics* 2006, 117, e646–e655.
27. Hawkins, S.S.; Griffiths, L.J.; Dezateux, C. The impact of maternal employment on breast-feeding duration in the UK Millennium Cohort Study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2007, 10, 891–896.
28. Kohan S , Heidari Z , KeshvariMFacilitators for Empowering Women in Breastfeeding: a Qualitative Study; *Int J Pediatr*, Vol.4, N.1, Serial No.25, Jan 2016; 1287-1296.
29. Abolghasemi N, MerghatiKhoie ES. Determinants of breastfeeding promotion as perceived by health personnel. *Journal of School of Public Health and Institute of Public Health Research* 2012;9(4):33-42.
30. Agnarsson, Mpello A., Gunnlaugsson, G., & Hofvander, Y. G. T.(2001). Infant feeding practices during the first six months of life in rural area in Tanzania. *East Africa Medical Journal*, 78(1),9–13.
31. Fadnes, L. T., Engebretsen, I. M. S., Wamani, H., Semiyaga, N.B., Tylleska`r, T., & Tumwine, J. K. (2009). Infant feeding among HIV-positive mothers and the general population mothers: Comparison of two cross-sectional surveys in Eastern Uganda. *BMC Public Health*, 9(124), 1–14
32. Kamudoni, P. R., Maleta, K., Shi, Z., & Paoli, M. M. D. (2010). Breastfeeding perceptions in communities in Mangochi district in Malawi. *Acta Paediatrica*, 99 367–372.
33. Quresh, A. M., Oche, O. M., Sadiq, U. A., & Kabiru, S. (2011). Using community volunteers to promote exclusive breast feeding in Sokoto State, Nigeria. *Pan African Medical Journal*, 8688,1–16.
34. Aruldas, K., Khan, M., & Hazra, A. (2010). Increasing early and exclusive breastfeeding in rural Uttar Pradesh. *The Journal of Family Welfare*, 56 (special issue 2010), 43–50
35. Nguyen, P.H.; Keithly, S.C.; Nguyen, N.T.; Nguyen, T.T.; Tran, L.M.; Hajeebhoy, N. Pre-lacteal feeding practices in Vietnam: Challenges and associated factors. *BMC Public Health* 2013.
36. Khanal, V.; Adhikari, M.; Sauer, K.; Zhao, Y. Factors associated with the introduction of pre-lacteal feeds in Nepal: Findings from the Nepal demographic and health survey 2011. *Int. Breastfeed. J.* 2013.
37. Ogah, A.O.; Ajayi, A.M.; Akib, S.; Okolo, S.N. A cross-sectional study of pre-lacteal feeding practice among women attending Kampala international university teaching hospital maternal and child health clinic, Bushenyi, Western Ugandan. *Asian J. Med. Sci.* 2012, 4, 79–85.
38. Legesse M, Demena M, Mesfin F and Haile D. Pre-lacteal feeding practices and associated factors among mothers of children aged less than 24 months in Raya Kobo district, North Eastern Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study *International Breastfeeding Journal* 2014;9:189
39. Salve Dawal, S.; Inamdar, I.F.; Saleem, T.; Priyanka, S.; Doibale, M.K. Study of pre lacteal feeding practices and its determinants in a rural area of Maharashtra. *Sch. J. Appl. Med. Sci.* 2014, 2, 1422–1427.
40. Patel A, Banerjee A, Kaletwad A, Factors Associated with Pre-lacteal Feeding and Timely Initiation of Breastfeeding in Hospital-Delivered Infants in India; *Journal of Human Lactation* Vol 29, Issue 4, pp. 572 – 578
41. Pre-lacteal feeding practices and associated factors in Himachal Pradesh Parashar Anupam, Sharma Deepak, Gupta Anmol, Dhadwal Dineshwar Singh Year : 2017 , Volume: 6, Issue Number: 1; Page: 30-34.
42. Raina SK, Mengi V, Singh G. Determinants of pre-lacteal feeding among infants of RS Pura Block of Jammu and Kashmir, India. *J Family Med Prim Care* 2012;1:27-9.
43. Madhu K, Chowdary S, Masthi R. Breast feeding practices and newborn care in rural areas: A descriptive cross-sectional study. *Indian J Community Med* 2009;34:243-6.